STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE SUPREME COURT

In re EXECUTIVE MESSAGE OF THE GOVERNOR REQUESTING THE AUTHORIZATION OF A CERTIFIED QUESTION.

GRETCHEN WHITMER, on behalf of the State of Michigan,

Plaintiff,

v

JAMES R. LINDERMAN, Prosecuting Attorney of Emmet County, DAVID S. LEYTON, Prosecuting Attorney of Genesee County, NOELLE R. **MOEGGENBERG**, Prosecuting Attorney of Grand Traverse County, CAROL A. SIEMON, Prosecuting Attorney of Ingham County, JERARD M. JARZYNKA, Prosecuting Attorney of Jackson County, JEFFREY S. GETTING, Prosecuting Attorney of Kalamazoo County, CHRISTOPHER R. BECKER, Prosecuting Attorney of Kent County, PETER J. LUCIDO, Prosecuting Attorney of Macomb County, MATTHEW J. WIESE, **Prosecuting Attorney of Marguette** County, KAREN D. McDONALD, Prosecuting Attorney of Oakland County, JOHN A. McCOLGAN, Prosecuting Attorney of Saginaw County, ELI NOAM SAVIT, Prosecuting Attorney of Washtenaw County, and KYM L. WORTHY, Prosecuting Attorney of Wayne County, in their official capacities.

Defendants.

Supreme Court No. 164256

Oakland Circuit Court No. 22-193498-CZ

HON. JACOB JAMES CUNNINGHAM

This case involves a claim that state governmental action is invalid.

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

GOVERNOR WHITMER'S NOTICE OF INTERVENING DEVELOPMENT

GOVERNOR WHITMER'S NOTICE OF INTERVENING DEVELOPMENT

The Governor respectfully submits this notice of intervening development to alert the Court that the US Supreme Court's decision in *Dobbs v Jackson Women's Health Organization*, No. 19-1392, has already resulted in uncertainty, confusion, and efforts to contract abortion access in Michigan, notwithstanding the preliminary injunction entered by the Court of Claims against the enforcement of Michigan's criminal abortion ban. See MCL 750.14.

The same day that *Dobbs* was issued, the President and CEO of Beaumont Health and Spectrum Health (BHSH), which operates in Metro Detroit and West Michigan, advised staffers that the ruling meant that Michigan's 1931 abortion ban "is now in effect."¹ The President and CEO accordingly announced a change to BHSH's policies and practices.² While BHSH had "previously . . . generally allowed pregnancy termination for medical indications, such as when necessary to prevent serious risks to the woman's health or in situations where the fetus is not likely to survive," going forward—because of the US Supreme Court's ruling—BHSH would "follow the guidance of the Michigan 1931 law and only allow pregnancy termination when necessary to preserve the life of the woman."³ BHSH later clarified that it would continue to "perform[] abortions when the mother's life [i]s at

¹ Ruling adds confusion to Beaumont-Spectrum system abortion access, The Detroit News (June 25, 2022) https://www.detroitnews.com/story/news/local/michigan /2022/06/25/merged-beaumont-spectrum-system-ceo-addresses-abortionaccess/7731815001/ (accessed June 25, 2022).

 $^{^{2}}$ Id.

 $^{^{3}}$ Id.

risk" and had established a "multidisciplinary committee . . . 'to provide guidance to [its] physicians and clinical teams."⁴ This committee was necessary, in BHSH's view, because "[t]he 'legal ambiguity' about enforcement with a challenge to the injunction places 'physicians and clinical teams at risk of criminal liability.'" *Id*.

The next day, BHSH reversed course, and, citing the "uncertainties and confusion surrounding" the potential enforcement of MCL 750.14, announced that it would continue its practice "of performing abortions when medically necessary."⁵ In that press release, BHSH "urge[s] Michigan courts to bring clarity as quickly as possible."⁶

Relatedly, defendants Jarzynka and Becker have publicly stated, through their lawyer, that they are not bound by the Court of Claims' preliminary injunction.⁷ After the release of *Dobbs*, Jarzynka and Becker's lawyer "argued his clients still could enforce the law if they were given a case that met the elements of the 1931 ban."⁸ He added, " 'If I were a doctor and I'm in Kent County or Jackson

⁶ Id.

 $^{^{4}}$ Id.

⁵ BHSH System Reinstates Practices to Terminating Pregnancy When Medically Necessary - Spectrum Health Newsroom, https://newsroom.spectrumhealth.org/ bhsh-system-reinstates-practices-to-terminating-pregnancy-when-medicallynecessary/ (accessed June 27, 2022).

⁷ See Beth LeBlanc, *What loss of* Roe *means for women who want abortions in Michigan*, The Detroit News (June 24, 2022) https://www.detroitnews.com/story /news/local/michigan/2022/06/24/michigan-abortion-laws-what-supreme-courtruling-means-roe-v-wade/7625224001/ (accessed June 25, 2022).

County or any county with pro-life prosecutors, I wouldn't be performing abortions."⁹

The Governor firmly disagrees with the positions espoused by the two prosecutors. Contrary to their apparent belief, they are explicitly bound by the Court of Claims order. *Planned Parenthood of Michigan v Attorney General*, Case No 22-44 (Ct Claims May 17, 2022) (enjoining the Attorney General and "anyone acting under [her] control and supervision" and citing MCL 14.30, which states that "[t]he attorney general shall supervise the work of, consult and advise the prosecuting attorneys, in all matters pertaining to the duties of their offices"). And other hospital systems have reached the correct conclusion that, due to the injunction, abortion "remains legal in Michigan while challenges to various statelaw criminal statutes continue to proceed."¹⁰

But as BHSH's rapid changes in policy demonstrate, these intervening developments have sown confusion about abortion access in Michigan and underscore the need for this Court's immediate intervention. The Governor fully agrees with BHSH on one point: the courts should "bring clarity as quickly as possible." And only this Court has the power to fully and finally resolve whether Michigan's criminal abortion ban can continue to be enforced post-*Dobbs*.

> Respectfully submitted, Christina Grossi (P67482) Deputy Attorney General

⁹ Id.

¹⁰ Michigan Medicine Headlines, *A message from Michigan leaders* (June 24, 2022) https://mmheadlines.org/2022/06/a-message-from-michigan-medicine-leaders-2/ (accessed June 25, 2022).

<u>/s/ Linus Banghart-Linn</u> Linus Banghart-Linn (P73230) Christopher Allen (P75329) Assistant Solicitors General

Kyla Barranco (P81082) Assistant Attorney General

Michigan Dep't of Attorney General P.O. Box 30212 Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 335-7628 Banghart-LinnL@michigan.gov

Lori A. Martin (*pro hac vice* pending) Alan E. Schoenfeld (*pro hac vice* pending) Emily Barnet (*pro hac vice* pending) Cassandra Mitchell (*pro hac vice* pending) Benjamin H.C. Lazarus (*pro hac vice* pending) Special Assistant Attorneys General Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 7 World Trade Center 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007 (212) 230-8800 lori.martin@wilmerhale.com

Kimberly Parker (*pro hac vice* pending) Lily R. Sawyer (*pro hac vice* pending) Special Assistant Attorneys General Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20006 (202) 663-6000 kimberly.parker@wilmerhale.com

Dated: June 27, 2022

Attorneys for Governor Gretchen Whitmer