DOCKETED		
Docket Number:	23-FDAS-01	
Project Title:	Pool Controls Rulemaking	
TN #:	248920	
Document Title:	Description: Economic Impact Statement  Economic Impact Statement Form STD 399	
Description:		
Filer:	Amber Beck	
Organization:	Organization: California Energy Commission  Submitter Role: Commission Staff  Submission Date: 2/23/2023 8:48:53 AM	
Submitter Role:		
Submission Date:		
Docketed Date:	2/23/2023	

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

## DocuSign Envelope ID: A7DB2D45-1C04-41A4-82C3-88EAF1244227 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	ECONOMIC IMITACI S	TATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
California Energy Commission	Corrine Fishman	corrine.fishman@energy.ca.gov	916 805 7452
descriptive title from notice register or form 400 Flexible Demand Appliance Standards - I	Pool Controls		NOTICE FILE NUMBER
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and assumpt	tions in the rulemaking record.	1
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	te whether this regulation:		
a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Imposes reporting re	quirements	
	X f. Imposes prescriptive i	nstead of performance	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	$\overline{\hspace{0.1in}\hspace{0.1in}}\hspace{0.1in}$ g. Impacts individuals		
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the above (E	xplain below):	
• •	a through g is checked, complete t	-	
•	is checked, complete the Fiscal Im	paci Statement as appropriate.	
2. The(Agency/Department)	on estimates that the economic i	impact of this regulation (which includes the	fiscal impact) is:
Below \$10 million			
igwedge Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
	is over \$50 million, agencies are required t ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	o submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact As</u>	<u>sessment</u>
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	: 1300		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	orofits): Manufacturers, retailers a	nd distributors of pool controls. El	ectric utilities.
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	: 10%		
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre-	ated: 0 eliminat	red: 0	
Explain: The proposed regulations are	e insu cient to create or elimina	ate businesses in California	
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: $\overline{\times}$	Statewide		
	Local or regional (List areas):		
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 3,459	and eliminated: 707		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	acted: The utility sector is estima	ted to reduce employment while j	ob creation is
anticipated through increased disc	cretionary spending. Net emp	oloyment is expected to increase	·
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc		S 🔀 NO	
If YES, explain briefly:	<del>_</del>		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

## **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.						
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 79 million/10 years.						
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$70		Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: 10		
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$70		Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0			
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$70		Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: 10		
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:					
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share	e of total costs for e	ach industry:			
3.	3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements.  Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. \$25.00					
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	☐ YES 🔀	NO			
		If YES, enter the a	nnual dollar cost per housing unit: \$			
	Number of units:					
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations?	☐ YES 🔀				
	Explain the need for State regulation given the exis	tence or absence o	f Federal regulations: Statutorily require	ed. There are no state or		
	federal regulations related to flexible demand appliance standards on pool controls.					
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or ind	ividuals that may b	e due to State - Federal differences: \$ N/A			
C.	<b>ESTIMATED BENEFITS</b> Estimation of the dollar	value of benefits is	not specifically required by rulemaking law, b	but encouraged.		
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the					
	health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: <u>e benefits include utitlity cost savings to the</u> consumer, lower statewide energy use and lower GHG emissions.					
	consumer, no ver state made energy a	se and lower	5.1.0 (1.11.55.61.51			
2.	Are the benefits the result of: $\boxed{\times}$ specific statutor	y requirements, or	goals developed by the agency based o	on broad statutory authority?		
	Explain: Public Resource Code 25402(f)					
,	3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 805 million/10 years					
3.	what are the total statewide benefits from this reg	ulation over its lifet	ime: \$ 003 Hillion/ 10 years			
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses current					
	The proposed regulations are insu	ient to create	the expansion of businesses in C	alifornia.		
D.	. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include specifically required by rulemaking law, but encou		assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimo	ation of the dollar value of benefits is not		
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them bel	ow. If no alternative	es were considered, explain why not: The C	EC considered alternatives		
	to the proposed regulation. Both mo	re stringent a	nd less stringent alternatives wer	e considered.		

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

## DocuSign Envelope ID: A7DB2D45-1C04-41A4-82C3-88EAF1244227 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

	ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)
2.	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ 805 Million/10yr Cost: \$ 79 Million/10 yr
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ 853 Million/10yr Cost: \$ 79 Million/10yr
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ 606 Million/10yr Cost: \$ 79 Million/10yr
3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison
	of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:
1.	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?
	Explain: To meet statutory requirements, the CEC is proposing that all pool controls shall have a set default schedule. All pool controls must
	have the ability to receive Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol Suite (TCP/IP).
	MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.
	California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.
1.	Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO
	If YES, complete E2. and E3  If NO, skip to E4
2.	Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:
	Alternative 1:
	Alternative 2:
	(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)
3.	For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:
	Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
	Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
	Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
4.	Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?
	☐ YES ☑ NO
	If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.
5.	Briefly describe the following:
	The increase or decrease of investment in the State: In general, the proposed regulation promote investment in clean energy.
	The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: In general, the proposed regulations encourage innovation.
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: <a href="Utility cost savings to">Utility cost savings to</a>
	the consumer, lower statewide energy use and lower GHG emissions.

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

## DocuSign Envelope ID: A7DB2D45-1C04-41A4-82C3-88EAF1244227 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	FFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNM ar and two subsequent Fiscal Yo		es 1 through 6 and attach calculo	ations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the
			nbursable by the State. (Approxi d Sections 17500 et seq. of the G	
\$				
a. F	unding provided in			
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b. F	unding will be requested in the	Governor's Budget Act of		
		Fiscal Year:		
			T reimbursable by the State. (Ap d Sections 17500 et seq. of the G	
\$				
Check red	ason(s) this regulation is not reim	bursable and provide the approp	riate information:	
a. Ir	nplements the Federal mandate	e contained in		
b. Ir	nplements the court mandate s			Court.
	Case of:		vs	
c. In	nplements a mandate of the pe	ople of this State expressed in th	neir approval of Proposition No.	
	Date of Election:			
d. Is	sued only in response to a spec	ific request from affected local e	entity(s).	
	Local entity(s) affected:_			
	-			
e. W	ill be fully financed from the fe	es, revenue, etc. from:		
	Authorized by Section:		of the	Code;
f. P	rovides for savings to each affec	ted unit of local government wh	nich will, at a minimum, offset ar	y additional costs to each;
g. C	reates, eliminates, or changes th	ne penalty for a new crime or inf	raction contained in	
3. Annua	al Savings. (approximate)			
\$				
4. No ad			n-substantive or clarifying change	s to current law regulations.
∑ 5. No fisc	al impact exists. This regulation	does not affect any local entity o	program.	
6. Other.	Explain			

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations a year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	nd assumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	d attach calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
$\boxed{\times}$ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
	January 24, 2023
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secuighest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Bryan Cash	2/14/2023
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections $6601$ - $6616$ require completion of Fisco	al Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE