HELP TO PUT FOOD ON THE TABLE

FACTS ON NUTRITION ASSISTANCE IN THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN

The COVID-19 public health and economic crisis is bigger than any other we've seen in our lifetimes. Millions of Americans are struggling with food insecurity, unemployment, and falling behind on housing payments.

In good times and tough times, USDA's nutrition assistance programs are among the most far-reaching, powerful tools available to ensure that all Americans, regardless of race, ethnicity, or background, have access to healthy, affordable food. The American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, or ARP, builds on the reach and strength of these programs by investing over \$12 billion in nutrition assistance to address the immediate hardship caused by the economic fallout of the COVID-19 pandemic.

THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN

- EXTENDS THE 15 PERCENT INCREASE IN SNAP BENEFITS THROUGH SEPTEMBER 2021. This increase provides about \$28 more per person, per month, or more than \$100 more per month for a family of four, in additional SNAP benefits. Without the American Rescue Plan, the increase would end in June. The extension will provide an estimated \$3.5 billion in relief directly to over 41 million people living in struggling households. In addition to helping millions of families put food on the table, additional SNAP benefits are shown to have a powerful stimulative impact on the economy. They also contribute to one of the ARP's most powerful estimated impacts, cutting child poverty in half.
- SUPPORTS STATES AS THEY RESPOND TO INCREASED DEMAND FOR SNAP BENEFITS with an additional \$1.135 billion in administrative resources for states over three years, without requiring states to match those funds.
- PROVIDES AN ADDITIONAL \$1 BILLION IN NUTRITION ASSISTANCE FOR PUERTO RICO, THE
 COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS, AND AMERICAN SAMOA. These territories receive
 capped block grants instead of participating in SNAP; the additional funds will allow these jurisdictions to
 increase support for families hard-hit by the pandemic.
- HELPS PREVENT CHILD HUNGER IN SUMMER MONTHS BY EXTENDING THROUGH THE SUMMER THE PANDEMIC
 EBT (P-EBT) PROGRAM, which provides food dollars to families to buy groceries to make up for meals missed
 when schools are closed. The P-EBT program delivered over \$6.7 billion in food benefits through June 2020
 when schools were closed. The Act goes further and ensures that States can provide P-EBT benefits for the full
 duration of the pandemic, including during the summer.

HELP TO PUT FOOD ON THE TABLE

FACTS ON NUTRITION ASSISTANCE IN THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN

THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN

- INVESTS NEARLY \$900 MILLION IN THE WIC PROGRAM, which supports pregnant and postpartum women, infants, and children living at low incomes. ARP funds program modernization, innovation, and outreach to better serve over 6.2 million people that use WIC to support a healthy start for infants and young children. It temporarily increases the portion of amount of fruits and vegetables WIC participants can purchase with their benefits from \$9 to \$35 per month through this coming September, expanding access to fresh local produce and encouraging healthier eating patterns.
- **PROVIDES AN ADDITIONAL \$37 MILLION FOR THE COMMODITY SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM,** which provides nutritious, domestically sourced and produced food packages to low-income elderly individuals.
- **FUNDS MEALS FOR YOUNG ADULTS IN EMERGENCY SHELTERS,** participating in the Child and Adult Care Food Program—critical support for those experiencing homelessness during the public health emergency declaration.
- PROVIDES \$25 MILLION TO USDA TO HELP EXPAND SNAP ONLINE PURCHASING AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF
 MOBILE PAYMENT TECHNOLOGIES for SNAP benefits, as well as to provide technical assistance to retailers and
 farmers markets as they adopt these new technologies. With these investments, we hope to make it easier for
 participants, especially individuals in rural areas, as well as those with physical limitations, to order and pay for
 their groceries online.

USDA is moving quickly to deploy the emergency resources and new flexibilities Congress provided in the American Rescue Plan to supply American communities with the support and relief they urgently need.



ESTIMATED INCREASE IN SNAP BENEFITS

BY STATE, FROM 15 PERCENT INCREASE IN MAXIMUM BENEFIT

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •		F.4		• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	A .1 .12.12 1
State	SNAP Participants (Thousands)*	Increase in Average Monthly Benefit Per Person	in Tota	ed Increase l Benefits <u>e (millions)</u> 3 Months	Income < 50% of Federal Poverty Level	Children	Additional 3 Yr. Admin. Funds (millions)
Alabama	794	\$29	\$23	\$69	42%	72%	\$19.30
Alaska	83	\$35	\$3	\$9	46%	67%	\$1.59
Arizona	816	\$27	\$22	\$66	45%	69%	\$17.51
Arkansas	360	\$28	\$10	\$30	40%	74%	\$9.25
California	4,245	\$28	\$118	\$355	50%	66%	\$111.82
Colorado	508	\$27	\$14	\$41	37%	66%	\$14.39
Connecticut	360	\$28	\$10	\$30	31%	55%	\$8.84
Delaware	119	\$27	\$3	\$10	38%	66%	\$2.54
District of Columbia	137	\$29	\$4	\$12	54%	54%	\$4.97
Florida	3,510	\$28	\$98	\$293	32%	60%	\$130.78
Georgia	1,726	\$27	\$47	\$141	44%	73%	\$53.91
Guam	43	\$36	\$2	\$5	38%	78%	\$0.63
Hawaii	185	\$52	\$10	\$29	37%	60%	\$5.85
Idaho	135	\$26	\$4	\$11	34%	74%	\$2.73
Illinois	1,878	\$29	\$55	\$165	38%	67%	\$61.81
Indiana	638	\$28	\$18	\$54	41%	74%	\$15.53
Iowa	295	\$28	\$8	\$25	31%	69%	\$6.11
Kansas	199	\$27	\$5	\$16	34%	72%	\$4.05
Kentucky	592	\$28	\$17	\$50	44%	71%	\$15.94
Louisiana	976	\$30	\$29	\$87	48%	73%	\$25.50
Maine	154	\$28	\$4	\$13	20%	55%	\$3.91
Maryland	760	\$29	\$22	\$66	39%	62%	\$26.10
Massachusetts	879	\$28	\$25	\$75	29%	53%	\$27.93
Michigan	1,264	\$24	\$31	\$92	33%	61%	\$38.22
Minnesota	453	\$25	\$11	\$34	34%	64%	\$12.38
Mississippi	423	\$29	\$12	\$36	42%	73%	\$8.35
Missouri	697	\$28	\$19	\$58	38%	71%	\$15.94

Sources: SNAP Administrative Data, FY19 SNAP Quality Control Data

^{*}Based on November 2020 administrative data from the National Data Bank for most States. Adjustments were made when November data differed substantially from September and October.



ESTIMATED INCREASE IN SNAP BENEFITS

BY STATE, FROM 15 PERCENT INCREASE IN MAXIMUM BENEFIT

Nebraska 156 \$27 \$4 \$13 37% 72% \$5 Nevada 478 \$28 \$14 \$41 40% 62% \$5 New Hampshire 70 \$27 \$2 \$6 17% 64% \$5 New Jersey 776 \$28 \$22 \$65 25% 64% \$5 New Jersey 776 \$28 \$22 \$65 25% 64% \$5 New Mexico 493 \$24 \$12 \$35 41% 67% \$5 New York 2,720 \$30 \$81 \$242 28% 54% \$5 North Carolina 1,430 \$28 \$40 \$119 40% 70% \$5 North Dakota 50 \$28 \$1 \$4 38% 68% \$5 Ohio 1,465 \$28 \$41 \$122 39% 65% \$5 Oklahoma 616 \$26 \$16 \$47 <th>litional Admin. unds llions)</th>	litional Admin. unds llions)
Nevada 478 \$28 \$14 \$41 40% 62% \$3. New Hampshire 70 \$27 \$2 \$6 17% 64% \$3. New Jersey 776 \$28 \$22 \$65 25% 64% \$3. New Mexico 493 \$24 \$12 \$35 41% 67% \$5. New York 2,720 \$30 \$81 \$242 28% 54% \$5. North Carolina 1,430 \$28 \$40 \$119 40% 70% \$5. North Dakota 50 \$28 \$1 \$4 38% 68% \$5. Ohio 1,465 \$28 \$41 \$122 39% 65% \$5. Oklahoma 616 \$26 \$16 \$47 48% 71% \$5. Oregon 686 \$28 \$19 \$58 33% \$2% \$5. Pennsylvania 1,810 \$25 \$46	2.08
New Hampshire 70 \$27 \$2 \$6 17% 64% \$ New Jersey 776 \$28 \$22 \$65 25% 64% \$ New Mexico 493 \$24 \$12 \$35 41% 67% \$ New York 2,720 \$30 \$81 \$242 28% 54% \$ North Carolina 1,430 \$28 \$40 \$119 40% 70% \$ North Dakota 50 \$28 \$1 \$4 38% 68% \$ Ohio 1,465 \$28 \$41 \$122 39% 65% \$ Oklahoma 616 \$26 \$16 \$47 48% 71% \$ Oregon 686 \$28 \$19 \$58 33% 52% \$ Pennsylvania 1,810 \$25 \$46 \$138 25% 61% \$ Rhode Island 138 \$27 \$4 \$11	3.14
New Jersey 776 \$28 \$22 \$65 25% 64% \$3 New Mexico 493 \$24 \$12 \$35 41% 67% \$3 New York 2,720 \$30 \$81 \$242 28% 54% \$3 North Carolina 1,430 \$28 \$40 \$119 40% 70% \$3 North Dakota 50 \$28 \$1 \$4 38% 68% \$3 Ohio 1,465 \$28 \$1 \$4 38% 68% \$3 Ohio 1,465 \$28 \$41 \$122 39% 65% \$3 Oklahoma 616 \$26 \$16 \$47 48% 71% \$3 Oregon 686 \$28 \$19 \$58 33% \$52% \$3 Pennsylvania 1,810 \$25 \$46 \$138 25% 61% \$3 Rhode Island 138 \$27 \$4 \$11	L2.70
New Mexico 493 \$24 \$12 \$35 41% 67% \$. New York 2,720 \$30 \$81 \$242 28% 54% \$. North Carolina 1,430 \$28 \$40 \$119 40% 70% \$. North Dakota 50 \$28 \$1 \$4 38% 68% \$. Ohio 1,465 \$28 \$41 \$122 39% 65% \$. Oklahoma 616 \$26 \$16 \$47 48% 71% \$. Oregon 686 \$28 \$19 \$58 33% 52% \$. Pennsylvania 1,810 \$25 \$46 \$138 25% 61% \$. Rhode Island 138 \$27 \$4 \$11 27% 54% \$. South Carolina 605 \$28 \$17 \$50 47% 75% \$. South Dakota 77 \$27 \$2	1.55
New York 2,720 \$30 \$81 \$242 28% 54% \$5 North Carolina 1,430 \$28 \$40 \$119 40% 70% \$3 North Dakota 50 \$28 \$1 \$4 38% 68% \$3 Ohio 1,465 \$28 \$41 \$122 39% 65% \$3 Oklahoma 616 \$26 \$16 \$47 48% 71% \$3 Oregon 686 \$28 \$19 \$58 33% 52% \$3 Pennsylvania 1,810 \$25 \$46 \$138 25% 61% \$3 Rhode Island 138 \$27 \$4 \$11 27% 54% \$3 South Carolina 605 \$28 \$17 \$50 47% 75% \$3 South Dakota 77 \$27 \$2 \$6 42% 70% \$3 Texas 3,674 \$28 \$102 <	21.01
North Carolina 1,430 \$28 \$40 \$119 \$40% 70% \$30	L2.42
North Dakota 50 \$28 \$1 \$4 38% 68% \$3 Ohio 1,465 \$28 \$41 \$122 39% 65% \$3 Oklahoma 616 \$26 \$16 \$47 48% 71% \$3 Oregon 686 \$28 \$19 \$58 33% 52% \$3 Oklahoma 1,810 \$25 \$46 \$138 25% 61% \$47 \$48% \$3 Oregon 686 \$28 \$19 \$58 33% 52% \$3 Oklahoma 1,810 \$25 \$46 \$138 25% 61% \$47 \$48% \$3 Oregon 686 \$28 \$19 \$58 33% 52% \$3 Oklahoma 1,810 \$25 \$46 \$138 25% 61% \$47 \$48% \$3 Oklahoma 1,810 \$25 \$46 \$138 25% 61% \$47 \$48% \$3 Oklahoma 1,810 \$27 \$4 \$11 27% 54% \$4 Oklahoma 1,810 \$47 \$47 \$47 \$47 \$47 \$47 \$47 \$47 \$47 \$47	73.51
Ohio 1,465 \$28 \$41 \$122 39% 65% \$3 Oklahoma 616 \$26 \$16 \$47 48% 71% \$5 Oregon 686 \$28 \$19 \$58 33% 52% \$3 Pennsylvania 1,810 \$25 \$46 \$138 25% 61% \$6 Rhode Island 138 \$27 \$4 \$11 27% 54% \$5 South Carolina 605 \$28 \$17 \$50 47% 75% \$5 South Dakota 77 \$27 \$2 \$6 42% 70% \$5 Tennessee 882 \$28 \$24 \$73 46% 71% \$5 Texas 3,674 \$28 \$102 \$307 42% 79% \$6 Utah 164 \$27 \$4 \$13 40% 77% \$5 Vermont 68 \$26 \$2 \$5 21% 54% \$5 Virginia 758 \$28 \$21 \$6	39.39
Oklahoma 616 \$26 \$16 \$47 48% 71% \$3 Oregon 686 \$28 \$19 \$58 33% 52% \$3 Pennsylvania 1,810 \$25 \$46 \$138 25% 61% \$4 Rhode Island 138 \$27 \$4 \$11 27% 54% \$5 South Carolina 605 \$28 \$17 \$50 47% 75% \$5 South Dakota 77 \$27 \$2 \$6 42% 70% \$5 Tennessee 882 \$28 \$24 \$73 46% 71% \$5 Texas 3,674 \$28 \$102 \$307 42% 79% \$6 Utah 164 \$27 \$4 \$13 40% 77% \$5 Vermont 68 \$26 \$2 \$5 21% 54% \$5 Virginia 758 \$28 \$21 \$63 41% 69% \$5	1.02
Oregon 686 \$28 \$19 \$58 33% 52% \$3 Pennsylvania 1,810 \$25 \$46 \$138 25% 61% \$4 Rhode Island 138 \$27 \$4 \$11 27% 54% \$5 South Carolina 605 \$28 \$17 \$50 47% 75% \$5 South Dakota 77 \$27 \$2 \$6 42% 70% \$5 Tennessee 882 \$28 \$24 \$73 46% 71% \$5 Texas 3,674 \$28 \$102 \$307 42% 79% \$6 Utah 164 \$27 \$4 \$13 40% 77% \$5 Vermont 68 \$26 \$2 \$5 21% 54% \$5 Virginia 758 \$28 \$21 \$63 41% 69% \$5	34.84
Pennsylvania 1,810 \$25 \$46 \$138 25% 61% \$4 Rhode Island 138 \$27 \$4 \$11 27% 54% \$5 South Carolina 605 \$28 \$17 \$50 47% 75% \$5 South Dakota 77 \$27 \$2 \$6 42% 70% \$5 Tennessee 882 \$28 \$24 \$73 46% 71% \$5 Texas 3,674 \$28 \$102 \$307 42% 79% \$6 Utah 164 \$27 \$4 \$13 40% 77% \$5 Vermont 68 \$26 \$2 \$5 21% 54% \$5 Virginia 758 \$28 \$21 \$63 41% 69% \$5	L4.95
Rhode Island 138 \$27 \$4 \$11 27% 54% \$3 South Carolina 605 \$28 \$17 \$50 47% 75% \$3 South Dakota 77 \$27 \$2 \$6 42% 70% \$3 Tennessee 882 \$28 \$24 \$73 46% 71% \$3 Texas 3,674 \$28 \$102 \$307 42% 79% \$3 Utah 164 \$27 \$4 \$13 40% 77% \$3 Vermont 68 \$26 \$2 \$5 21% 54% \$3 Virginia 758 \$28 \$21 \$63 41% 69% \$3	22.68
South Carolina 605 \$28 \$17 \$50 47% 75% \$3 South Dakota 77 \$27 \$2 \$6 42% 70% \$3 Tennessee 882 \$28 \$24 \$73 46% 71% \$3 Texas 3,674 \$28 \$102 \$307 42% 79% \$3 Utah 164 \$27 \$4 \$13 40% 77% \$3 Vermont 68 \$26 \$2 \$5 21% 54% \$3 Virginia 758 \$28 \$21 \$63 41% 69% \$3	14.15
South Dakota 77 \$27 \$2 \$6 42% 70% \$ Tennessee 882 \$28 \$24 \$73 46% 71% \$ Texas 3,674 \$28 \$102 \$307 42% 79% \$ Utah 164 \$27 \$4 \$13 40% 77% \$ Vermont 68 \$26 \$2 \$5 21% 54% \$ Virginia 758 \$28 \$21 \$63 41% 69% \$	3.57
Tennessee 882 \$28 \$24 \$73 46% 71% \$3 Texas 3,674 \$28 \$102 \$307 42% 79% \$3 Utah 164 \$27 \$4 \$13 40% 77% \$3 Vermont 68 \$26 \$2 \$5 21% 54% \$3 Virginia 758 \$28 \$21 \$63 41% 69% \$3	L3.58
Texas 3,674 \$28 \$102 \$307 42% 79% \$28 Utah 164 \$27 \$4 \$13 40% 77% \$307 Vermont 68 \$26 \$2 \$5 21% 54% \$307 Virginia 758 \$28 \$21 \$63 41% 69% \$307	1.50
Utah 164 \$27 \$4 \$13 40% 77% \$ Vermont 68 \$26 \$2 \$5 21% 54% \$ Virginia 758 \$28 \$21 \$63 41% 69% \$	18.86
Vermont 68 \$26 \$2 \$5 21% 54% \$ Virginia 758 \$28 \$21 \$63 41% 69% \$3	37.73
Virginia 758 \$28 \$21 \$63 41% 69% \$3	3.16
	1.66
Virgin Islands 23 \$36 \$1 \$2 50% 66% \$	19.22
25 450 41 42 5070 6070	0.57
Washington 930 \$27 \$25 \$76 37% 55% \$25	26.79
West Virginia 303 \$28 \$8 \$25 38% 59% \$	6.60
Wisconsin 725 \$27 \$20 \$59 31% 65% \$2	21.77
Wyoming 26 \$31 \$1 \$2 39% 73% \$	0.68
U.S. 41,447 \$28 \$1,160 \$3,479 38% 66% \$1,	135.00

Sources: SNAP Administrative Data, FY19 SNAP Quality Control Data

^{*}Based on November 2020 administrative data from the National Data Bank for most States. Adjustments were made when November data differed substantially from September and October.