

### **Project Information Date Submitted** 5/30/2019 **Application ID** 2019-D08-04 **Project Name** HAM IR 75 10.10 (14.61) **ODOT District** District 8 County Hamilton **ODOT PID** 76256 **Project Mode** Roadway Tier III - Development & Multi-Phase What is the current TRAC Tier **Projects** Tier I - Construction What is the requested TRAC Tier Please identify the project mode (roadway, transit

#### **Project Description:**

or freight):

Processed: 6/6/2019

Roadway

Phase 8 project limits are from 14.50 to 16.50, adds a fourth lane in both directions, auxiliary lanes from Sharon Road to Glendale Milford Road and from Sharon Road to IR275, improving the capacity at the Sharon Road interchange and the intersection of Sharon Road/Chester Road.

Connects the southern four lane section of IR75 near Glendale Milford to the Kemper overpass just south of IR275 outer belt. This project will complete IR75 from the split all the way to the Dayton/Montgomery Co. line.



## **Project Sponsor Information**

Project Name	HAM IR 75 10.10 (14.61)			
Sponsoring Agency	Ohio Department of Transportation District 8			
Project Contact	Scott Kramer			
Phone	(513) 933-6610			
Email	Scott.Kramer@dot.ohio.gov			
Address	505 South SR 741			
City	Lebanon			
State	Ohio <b>Zip Code:</b> 45036-9518			

What Type of Application Are you Submitting?

Processed: 6/6/2019

Existing TRAC Project - Tier I, II or III project seeking additional funds to advance to the next stage of project development.



## **Project Schedule**

New projects are required to supply project milestone information. Please select the status of each milestone below as either Not Yet Started, In Progress or Completed. Additionally please enter the corresponding date for the milestone and status.

Ctatus

	Status	Date
Planning Studies	Complete	6/1/2007
Interchange Modification Study (IMS) / Interchange Justification Studies (IJS)	Complete	4/5/2011
Preliminary Engineering Studies	Complete	3/18/2010
Environmental / NEPA Approval	Complete	10/22/2018
Detailed Design	Complete	6/5/2018
Right-of-way Acquisition	In Progress	10/1/2019
Utility Relocation	Not Started	3/1/2022



## **Transportation Information**

In an effort to understand the complex issues associated with the project TRAC collects a variety of transportation related data and information in the evaluation process including: congestion data, safety data, truck traffic, and model derived transportation values; such as, cost/benefit analysis and air quality. The roadway segments associated with the application is the basis of this analysis. Transit and Freight related projects should identify the roadway segments that will receive the benefit from projects implementation.

New project applications must identify the <u>primary roadway segment</u> that will be improved by the project and ancillary roadways that will be improved as part of the project.

	ODOT NLFID	Begi	n Point	<b>End Point</b>
Primary Roadway Segment	SHAMIR00075*	**C 1	4.5	16.5
	1			T
	CHAMCR00235	**C 4	.37	4.39
				<u> </u>
Secondary Roadway				
Segments				
		-		
				Γ
New Roadways / N	ew Alignment			
New Modeways / Ne	CW Allgillicit			
Begin Latitude	Begin longitude	<b>End Latitude</b>		End longitude



## Transit Multi-Modal Project Information

Not Applicable
Not Applicable

## Freight Multi-Modal Project Information

Freight Type	Not Applicable
Route/Number	Not Applicable
Existing Freight Volume	Not Applicable
Existing Freight Capacity	Not Applicable
Existing Freight Volume / Existing Freight Capacity Ratio	Not Applicable
Freight Capacity Increase	Not Applicable
Truck Miles Traveled (TMT) Reduction	Not Applicable



#### Strategic Transportation System (STS) Connections

The Strategic Transportation System (STS), identified as part of Access Ohio 2040 - ODOT's long range transportation plan - stratifies Ohio's significant transportation corridors and inter-modal hubs across Ohio. Additional information about the STS and Access Ohio 2040 can be view at the Access Ohio 2040 Website

Is the project part of an STS corridor?	Yes		
Will the project facilitate a connection between two or more corridors or modal hubs identified on the STS?	No		
Please provide a brief explanation as to how this proj two or more STS resources.	ject will facilitate a connection between		
Will the project connect an STS resource with a local freight or transit facility or resource?	No		
Please provide a brief explanation as to how this project will connect an STS resource with a local freight or transit facility or resource			



## **Local Investment Factors**

#### **Built Out Local Attributes**

Local Investment factors analysis and evaluation of the dollar value of existing, local built-out attributes such as streets, water, and land use. For this analysis TRAC has standardized the project area as a one-mile "rectangular" buffer around the primary roadway segment. Additionally TRAC also considers percentage of acres served by local services within the rectangular buffer with the following formula: (Length of the primary roadway segment in miles + 2 miles) x 2 miles x 640 acres)

What is the length of the primary roadway segment being improved by the project?	2
Estimated Project Area Acreage	5,120
What is the estimated percentage of acres being serestimated project area calculated above?	ved by the local services within the
Local Streets and Roadways	98%
Electrical Service	98%
Water and Sewer Service	98%
What is the estimated square footage for the following area?	g building types within the calculated project
Light Industrial	6,200,000
Heavy Industrial	7,700,000
Warehouse	9,200,000
Commercial	3,700,000
Institutional	2,500,000



What is the estimated percentage of road route miles served by transit routes (excluding ADA/Para Transit) within the project area?

What is the estimated percentage of existing building square footage that is currently vacant?

37%
5%

#### **New Local Investments**

TRAC will consider the monetized value of public investments or commitments for new, non-project infrastructure and private investments within 5-year time horizon prior to and after the date of this TRAC Application.

What is the dollar value of committed or recent public investment in new, non-project infrastructure within the project area? (Millions)

\$ -

What is the present value of private investment in existing facilities within the project area? (Millions)

\$ 498

## **Economic Distress - Poverty & Unemployment Rates**

As part of the application scoring process, ODOT collects county level information as the standard measurement for poverty and unemployment factors; however, if a project sponsor provides defensible data at a sub-county or census tract level, TRAC can consider that level of geographic analysis for scoring and evaluation.

Do you want to enter distress values for a subcounty area to be used in the evaluation of this application?

No - I want to use the information collected by ODOT.

County
Sub-County Poverty Rate
Poverty Rate Data Source
Sub-County Unemployment Rate
Unemployment Rate Data Source



## **Project Funding Plan**

## **TRAC Funding Request**

Please indicate the amount of funding being requested by phase and fiscal year. Funding requests should consider the funding that will be needed with the next two fiscal years.

Which phase(s) you are requesting new TRAC funding for as part of this application?		CO - Construction		
	Amount (Mill	ions)	Reque	sted Fiscal Year
PE Funding Request				
DD Funding Request				
RW Funding Request				
CO Funding Request	\$	37.90		2021
Tota		\$	37.90	
•	y committed funding for the nstruction of this project?		Yes	
PE	DD	RW	•	со
\$ 6.90	\$ 3.10	\$ 3.30		
	funds be needed for future pment or construction?		No	
PE	DD	RW		СО
			_	



#### **Local Funding Commitments**

Project Development and construction can be funded with multiple local funding sources. The questions below will help identify the funding sources for this project.

development or construction of this project?		Yes	
What is the total number of local funding sources for the development and construction of this project?		1	
Local fu	unding Source (1)	4BG7 - Local Go	vt Funds Match
PE	DD	RW	СО
\$ 0.12			
Local fu	unding Source (2)		
PE	DD	RW	СО
Local fo	unding Source (3)		
PE	DD	RW	СО
Local funding Source (4)			
PE	DD	RW	СО
Local funding Source (5)			
PE	DD	RW	со
Local Funding Totals			
PE	DD	RW	со
\$ 0.12			



#### **ODOT Program or Earmark Funding Commitments** Will funding from another ODOT program or No legislative earmarks be used in the development or PE **RW** CO DD **OTIC Turnpike Bond Revenue Funding Have Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission** No (OTIC) Revenue Bonds been committed for the PE DD **RW** CO **Funding Summary** PE DD RW CO \$ 0.12 **Local Funding ODOT / Earmark Funding OTIC Bond Funding Previous TRAC Funding** \$ 6.90 3.10 3.30 **New TRAC Funding** 37.90 **Future TRAC Funding** \$ \$ **Funding Totals** 7.02 3.10 3.30 37.90 **Total Project Cost** 51.32 **Total Local Total ODOT Total OTIC Total TRAC** \$ 0.12 51.20 % Local % ODOT % OTIC % TRAC 0.2% 99.8%



## **Tier I Construction Estimate**

Projects requesting Tier I status are required to submit a budgetary construction estimate. Additionally estimates must be inflated to the anticipated year of construction.

Roadway	\$ 23.20
Drainage	\$ 2.00
Traffic Control	\$ 3.10
Structures	\$ 4.20
Retaining Walls	\$ 0.80
Railroad	
Contingency	\$ 4.70
Total Estimate	\$ 38.00
Date of Inflated Estimate	6/7/2018
Year of Inflation Estimate	2023



## **MPO & District Acknowledgement**

MPO Acknowledgement										
Is this project within the boundaries of a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)?	Yes									
Please identify the respective MPO.	OKI									
Has the MPO provided a letter or support or acknowledgment for this project?	Yes									
	adromont									
<u>District Acknowledgement</u>										
Has the appropriate ODOT District Planning Office been contacted about the development and construction of this project?	Yes									
Has the ODOT District Office provided a letter or support or acknowledgment for this project?	Yes									

**Attachments** 

### **2019 TRAC Funding Application**

# Phase 8 HAM-75-14.61 PID 76256 Phases 1 & 2 HAM-75-12.60 PID 82288 Phase 5 HAM-75-10.87 PID 88132 Phase 6 HAM-75-10.62 PID 88133 Phase 4 HAM-75-11.09 PID 88129 Phase 3 HAM-75-10.10 PID 88124

# CURRENT TRAC COMMITMENTS AND FUTURE FUNDING NEEDS

Ask CO Need RW Future RW CO Committed PE DD RW CO

	Pr	oject	Func	ding P	lan	COMMITMENTS (STATE FISCAL YEARS)										
PID	TRAC	TOGO	LOCAL	UNFU	TOTAL	TRAC PRE 2018	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2026		
82288	40.7	77.2	0.3	0.0	118.2	33.3	7.2									
88124	0.8	52.6	0.0	0.0	53.4	0.7		0.1					51.4 MLMR			
76256	13.3	0.3	0.1	37.9	51.6	10.2	0.2	1.8	1.1	37.9						
88129	2.8	0.0	0.0	32.5	35.3	2.0		0.1	0.7		2.0					
	_•-								0.5		30.0					
88132	3.7	0.0	0.0	47.0	50.7	1.7			2.0	<mark>0.5</mark>	0.5		46.0			
88133	7.0	0.0	0.0	176.6	183.6	3.9		0.4	0.3		<b>7.6 2.4</b>		30.0	139		

Totals 69.3 (Includes 1.0M for PID 93362 FY13 Demo Project)



# Phase 8 HAM-75-14.61 PID 76256 Phases 1 & 2 HAM-75-12.60 PID 82288 Phase 5 HAM-75-10.87 PID 88132 Phase 6 HAM-75-10.62 PID 88133 Phase 4 HAM-75-11.09 PID 88129 Phase 3 HAM-75-10.10 PID 88124

# UTILIZATION OF PREVIOUS TRAC COMMITMENTS

Committed

PE D

RW

CO

	Prev. Project Funding						COMMITMENTS (STATE FISCAL YEARS)										
PID	TRAC	ODOT	LOCAL	UNFU	TOTAL	2004	2005	2006	2007	2009	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
93362	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0								1.0				
82288	33.3	74.7	0.3	0.0	108.3						3.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	3.6
02200	02200 33.3 74.7 0.3		0.0 100.5						0.5	7.0	0.2	0.3		0.3	16.3		
88124	0.7	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.9						0.4					0.1	
0012-	0.7	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.7							0.4					0.2
76256	10.2	0	0.1	0.0	10.3	0.5	1.9	1.1	2.9	0.5	2.4	2.4		0.7			
70230														0.2			
88129	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0							0.9	0.4		0.3		0.4
88132	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7										1.7		
88133	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9											3.9	

Totals 52.8

