

SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH

Through an increased understanding of the Social Determinants of Health, judges and court leaders can be more aware of how social context affects families and are able to have a more comprehensive picture of the barriers and limitations to resources and supports that courtinvolved families experience.

The Constitution of the World Health Organization defines health as a "state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity." While access to quality medical care is critical to good health, medical care itself accounts for only 10-20% of health outcomes for populations. The Social Determinants of Health (SDOH) are of more influence, impacting 80-90% of health outcomes.

The SDOH is a place-based framework that extends beyond individual health behaviors to the social, physical, and environmental factors⁴ that can have a causal role in health outcomes.⁵

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) defines the SDOH as the conditions in the environment where people are born, live, learn, work, play, worship, and age that affect a wide range of health functioning and quality of life outcomes and risks. The Social Determinants are the non-medical conditions that impact physical, mental, and social well-being.⁶

Identifying and understanding the direct and indirect impact of the SDOH on outcomes helps communities select strategies that create conditions where individuals, children, and families thrive.

Social Determinants of Health Domains⁷

Economic Stability

The connection between financial resources and health, including key issues such as employment, income, expenses, and debt.

Education Access and Quality

The connection between learning and development and health and well-being, with a focus on literacy, language, early childhood education, and higher education.

Healthcare Access and Quality

The connection between people's access to and understanding of health services and their health, including key issues such as access to primary care, health insurance coverage, and health literacy.

Neighborhood and Built Environment

The connection between where a person lives and their health and wellbeing, with a focus on quality and availability of housing, access to transportation, water quality, and neighborhoods free of violence.

Food Security

Physical, social, and economic access to adequate and healthy food.⁸

RISK FACTORS

Characteristics that may increase the likelihood of experiencing a negative event.

PROTECTIVE FACTORS

Characteristics
associated with
lower likelihood of
negative outcomes or
that reduce the impact
of a risk factor.

The SDOH and Risk of Child Maltreatment

The SDOH coincide with individual, family, and community risk and protective factors for child maltreatment. When the SDOH are deficient, risk factors for child maltreatment may exist, namely, isolation, poverty, and limited educational and employment opportunities. When the SDOH are addressed, they can become protective factors against child maltreatment, such as steady employment, social support networks, and safe and stable housing.

Addressing structural factors and inequities across the SDOH and improving community supports can prevent families from experiencing the adverse conditions that increase the likelihood that they will come into contact with the child welfare system.

The SDOH as Drivers of Health

Across the SDOH, one of the greatest influences of health is where a person lives and works. Housing, education, support services, access to healthcare, and opportunities for employment are all directly related with neighborhood.⁹

Low-income neighborhoods, especially highpoverty minority neighborhoods, are more likely to have social and environmental conditions that expose residents to multiple social risk factors that contribute to poor health outcomes.¹⁰

Middle- or high-income neighborhoods with economic opportunities, access to medical care and mental health services, and strong social connections, are likely to experience conditions that promote, support, and sustain health.¹¹

Further, where people live often has generational ties. Those who have lived in neighborhoods with pervasive inequity are more likely to be "stuck in place" and less likely to have economic and social mobility opportunities.¹²

The Impact of Economic Stability on Physical Health, Mental Health, and Well-Being

Socioeconomic adversity impacts health and development across the lifespan and contributes to the generational transmission of disadvantage.¹³

- Children raised in high-poverty neighborhoods are more likely to become adults with low economic status.¹⁴
- The chronic stress of poverty can reduce psychological resources and cognitive functioning, impact long-term decisionmaking¹⁵, and cause developmental and mental health problems that can create an intergenerational cycle of poverty and poor health.¹⁶
- The stress of poverty can diminish access to social supports that might otherwise buffer stress.¹⁷
- A families' social class is the greatest predictor of their child's educational attainment.¹⁸
- Being uninsured is a barrier to accessing preventive healthcare, increases poor health, disability, and mortality rate, decreases annual earnings because of sickness and disease, and can result in an advanced stage of illness by the time a medical diagnosis occurs. The uninsured are typically poor, young and represent groups identified as racial and/or ethnic minority.¹⁹
- Low-income neighborhoods are less likely to have safe outdoor spaces for children to play.²⁰
- Children in low-income neighborhoods where their parents did not feel safe are over two times more likely to be obese.²¹

- For mothers with children in the child welfare system there is a significant relationship between perceptions of neighborhood danger, depressive symptoms, increased likelihood of harsh and negative parenting, and increased negative social-behavioral outcomes in young children.²²
- Perceptions about neighborhood safety can lead to increased feelings of powerlessness, mistrust, and social isolation.²³

Addressing Inequities in the SDOH

Distribution of resources across the SDOH and access to opportunities that promote healthy behaviors impact whether individuals, children, and families live in conditions that support, sustain, or undermine physical health, mental health, and well-being.

Unequal distribution of power and historical and present-day oppression of groups results in inequitable access to the resources necessary for health²⁴, thereby creating health inequities that unfairly impact groups and communities that have been marginalized.

Addressing inequities across the SDOH cannot happen within the confines of any one system – it requires multi-sector collaboration and targeted, place-based, community-driven solutions.

The Way Forward

The interaction between the SDOH and outcomes for families is complex, but it is possible to improve the trajectory of families facing risk factors that negatively impact health.

Efforts to address the underlying social conditions that impact health should take a multi-sector approach focused on transforming the structures, institutions, resource flows, policies, practices, and program decisions that have often systemically

oppressed and marginalized those most at risk of poor health outcomes and contributed to the conditions that increase risk and harm to children, families, and communities, particularly communities of color.

Courts are well-positioned to be conveners of the multi-sector approach, but the real power to change the conditions comes from the community and the entities providing services to them. The following steps offer recommendations for how multi-sector approaches can begin to address gaps and inequities in the SDOH.

1. Meaningfully Engage the Community

Collectively, those most impacted and closest to the issues have the expertise, wisdom, historical context, and knowledge of community strengths, resources, and challenges. Meaningful and authentic engagement of diverse community stakeholders helps to identify root causes and community-driven solutions. Taking an assets-based approach and focusing on the strengths of the community and its members recognizes the ability of individuals and communities to be drivers of change.²⁵

Building trust among stakeholders requires self-awareness, mindfulness, empathy, and shared decision-making,²⁶ which in turn requires sharing of information and vulnerability. Strong collaborative relationships take time to develop; however, community collaboratives that work to establish strong trusting relationships are better positioned to successfully tackle complex issues.

2. Understand Community Context

There can be vastly different outcomes between groups by identity, neighborhood, and zip code. Communities should use multiple sources of information to understand:

- Who lives in the community
- Distribution of resources and opportunities between neighborhoods and groups
- Distribution of social risk factors such as housing instability, unemployment, and mental health needs
- Root causes for the unequal distribution of resources, opportunities, and social risk factors
- Disparities in physical health, mental health, and well-being
- Conditions that protect health and distribution of protective factors
- Neighborhood historical and cultural context

3. Commit to a Community-Driven Action Plan

The collective group of multi-sector leaders and representatives and community members should aim to document a concrete action plan with responsibilities assigned to individuals, timelines, communication expectations, and an evaluation component. There should be a plan for coordinating resources to support the efforts, including administrative support for scheduling meetings and taking notes.

All stakeholders should be given the opportunity to apply their skills, influence, formal or informal power, and social networks to contribute to and execute the community-driven action plan.

Every stakeholder should ask themselves, how am I connected to this community? How can I contribute? And who can I ask for help?

Conclusion

The SDOH have a strong impact on the health, safety, and well-being of children, families, and communities. Focusing efforts, resources, and community partnerships on strengthening the SDOH can positively shift outcomes.

The aim of these efforts is not only on incremental improvements that benefit the community in the short-term, but also on sustainable long-term transformation of the systems and institutions that directly influence the SDOH.



ENDNOTES

- ¹ World Health Organization. Constitution. https://www.who.int/about/governance/constitution
- ² Hood, C. M., Gennuso, K. P., Swain, G.R., & Catlin., B.B. (2016). County Health Rankings: Relationships Between Determinant Factors and Health Outcomes. American Journal of Preventive Medicine 50(2):129-135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.08.024
- ³ Magnan, S. (2017). Social Determinants of Health 101 for Health Care: Five Plus Five. NAM Perspectives. Discussion Paper, National Academy of Medicine, Washington, DC. https://doi. org/10.31478/201710c
- ⁴ Centers for Disease Control. About Social Determinants of Health. https://www.cdc.gov/socialdeterminants/about.html
- ⁵ Bharmal, N., Derose. P. K., Felician, M., & Weden, M. M. (2015). Understanding the Upstream Determinants of Health. RAND Working Paper. https://www.rand.org/pubs/working_papers/WR1096.html
- ⁶ Centers for Disease Control. About Social Determinants of Health. https://www.cdc.gov/ socialdeterminants/about.html
- ⁷ Ibid.
- ⁸ Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2003). Trade Reforms and Food Security: Conceptualizing the Linkages. https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/bcab5bfe-a5d9-5c78-9c74-7f5fbf7be2be/
- ⁹ Cubbin, C., Pedregon, V., Egerter S., & Braveman, P. (2011). Issue Brief Series: Exploring the Social Determinants of Health: Neighborhoods and Health. For the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.

- https://www.rwjf.org/en/library/research/2011/05/neighborhoods-and-health-.html
- ¹⁰ Edmonds, E., Braverman, A., Arkin, E., & Jutte, D. (2015). Making the Case for Linking Community Development and Health. https://buildhealthyplaces.org/tools-resources/community-resource-library/resource/making the-case-for-linking-community-development-and-health/
- ¹¹ Cubbin, C., Pedregon, V., Egerter S., & Braveman, P. (2011). Issue Brief Series: Exploring the Social Determinants of Health: Neighborhoods and Health. For the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. https://www.rwjf.org/en/library/research/2011/05/neighborhoods-and-health-.html
- ¹² Sharkey, P. (2013). Stuck in Place: Urban Neighborhoods and the End of Progress toward Racial Equality. The University of Chicago Press.
- ¹³ Cheng. T. L., Johnson S.B., Goodman E. (2016). Breaking the Intergenerational Cycle of Disadvantage: The Three Generation Approach. Pediatrics. 137(6):e20152467. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-2467
- ¹⁴ Wagmiller, L. R & Adelman, M. A. (2009). Childhood and Intergenerational Poverty The Long-Term Consequences of Growing Up Poor. National Center for Children in Poverty. http://www.nccp.org/publication/ childhood-and-intergenerational-poverty/
- 15 Wadsworth, E. M, & Rienks, L. S. (2012). Stress as a Mechanism of Poverty's III Effect on Children: Making a Case for Family Strengthening Interventions that Counteract Poverty Related Stress. American Psychological Association. https://www.apa.org/pi/families/resources/ newsletter/2012/07/stress-mechanism

- ¹⁶ McLoyd, V. C. (1998). Socioeconomic Disadvantage and Child Development. American Psychologist. 53(2), 185 204. https://doi. org/10.1037/0003-066X.53.2.185
- ¹⁷ Samaan, R.A. (2000). The Influences of Race, Ethnicity, and Poverty on the Mental Health of Children. Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved. 11(1), 100-110. https://doi.org/10.1353/hpu.2010.0557
- ¹⁸ Garcia, E., & Weiss A. (2017). Education inequalities at the school starting age. Economic Policy Institute. https://www.epi.org/publication/education-inequalities-at-the-school-starting-gate/
- ¹⁹ Hadley, J. (2006). Covering Missouri Project: Report 1 Consequences of Lack of Health Insurance on Health and Earnings. Urban Institute for the Missouri Foundations for Health. https://www. urban.org/research/publication/consequences-lack-health-insurance-health-and-earnings
- ²⁰ Sacks, V. (2018) 5 Way Neighborhood of Concentrated Disadvantage Harm Children. Child Trends. https://www.childtrends.org/publications/5ways-neighborhoods-of-concentrateddisadvantage-harm-children
- ²¹ Reis, W. P., Ghamsary, M., Galustian, C., Galust, H., Herring, P., Gaio, J., & Dos Santos, H. (2020). Childhood Obesity: Is the Built Environment More Important Than the Food Environment? Clinical Medicine Insights: Pediatrics. https://doi.org/10.1177/1179556520932123
- ²² Saitadze, I. (2021) The Effects of Neighborhood Factors, Maternal Depression and Parental Emotional Support on Social – Behavioral Outcomes of Children Involved in the Child Welfare System. Journal of Public Child Welfare. https://doi.org/10.1 080/15548732.2021.1874593

- ²³ Booth, J.M., Ayers, S.L., & Marsiglia F.F. (2014). Perceived Neighborhood Safety and Psychological Distress: Exploring Protective Factors. Journal of Sociology & Social Welfare. (2012). Vol. 39, No. 4 Article 1. Available at: https://scholarworks.wmich.edu/jssw/vol39/iss4/1
- ²⁴ Baciu, A., Negussie, Y., Geller, A., et al., editors (2017). The Root Causes of Health Inequity. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Health and Medicine Division; Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice; Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States;. Communities in Action: Pathways to Health Equity. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK425845/
- ²⁵ Chavez-Duenas, N. Y., & Adames, Y. H. (2015). Building Trust With Communities of Color. Stanford Social Innovation Review. Available: https://ssir.org/ articles/entry/building_trust_with_communities_of_ color#bio-footer
- ²⁶ Morgan, A., & Ziglio, E. (2007). Revitalising the evidence base for public health: an assets model. Promotion & education, Suppl 2, 17–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/10253823070140020701x





