FY 2022 Assistance to **Firefighters Grants Self-Evaluation Sheet: Operations and Safety**

This Self-Evaluation Sheet is designed to help you understand the criteria that you must address in your Narrative Statement when applying for the Assistance to Firefighters Grant (AFG). Panel Reviewers will review all the criteria in the Narrative Statement toassess your agency's financial need, the degree to which your proposal best describes yourcommunity risks, the requirements you have listed that will reduce those risks, and how your project(s) aligns with the AFG priorities.

1. Financial Need

Describe your financial need and how it is consistent with AFG's intent. Include details describing your organization's financial distress such as summarizing budget constraints, unsuccessful attempts to secure other funding, and how the financial distress is out of your control.

- Applicants should provide a comprehensive overview of their organization's budget, including but not limited to describing sources of revenue/funding and expenses.
- Does the applicant clearly describe their financial distress?
- Does the applicant explain why they do not have the means to fund their project?
- Does the applicant include evidence of sacrifice due to budget constraints?

Below are the same scoring dimensions that the Panel Reviewers will use to rate your application. Using the criteria below, rate your own application and assess how the Peer Reviewers might rate your application.

- Strongly Agree: The applicant's financial difficulties are clearly identified, contributing to the current financial need. The applicant provides strong evidence showing the distress is beyond the applicant's control and federal intervention is necessary.
- Agree: The financial needs of the applicant are explained. The applicant describes why the project cannot be completed with current funds and describes some attempts to gain other funding, but more details are needed.
- Neither Agree nor Disagree: The applicant identifies a financial need but lacks details. The applicant demonstrates the organization has limited funding but does not provide an adequate explanation why they cannot fund the project. It is unclear what the applicant has done to address its operational or safety needs.



- <u>Disagree</u>: The applicant identifies a possible financial need, but little to no detail is provided about other funding attempts, why funds are lacking, and/or why this problem is out of their control.
- Strongly Disagree: The applicant's financial needs are not identified, nor are they articulated. It is unclear if the lackof operational assets and/or resources are directly related to the financial need of the applicant.

2. Project Description

Clearly explain the organization's project objectives and the relationship to your organization's budget (e.g., personnel, equipment, contracts) and risk analysis by providing statistics to justify the needs. Describe the various activities to be implemented, including program priorities or facility modifications, to include details on how these are consistent with project objectives, your organization's mission and national, state, and/or local requirements. Provide details that link the proposed expenses to operations and safety, as well as to the completion of the project's goals.

- Does the applicant demonstrate they understand the stated program priorities?
- Does the applicant produce evidence to support its requested needs?
- Does the applicant show evidence the project is based on a risk analysis?
- Does the applicant clearly associate the completion of project goals to proposed expenses?
- Does the applicant show evidence that they have conducted good market research (e.g., bids and specs ready to go)?

Below are the same scoring dimensions that the Panel Reviewers will use to rate your application. Using the criteria below, rate your own application and assess how the Peer Reviewers might rate your application.

- Strongly Agree: The applicant clearly identifies all aspects of the project and budget. Project goals are evident, articulated, and directly tied to the applicant's mission. The itemized budget items are proven necessary, directly relate to the risk assessment, and are not excessive. The project(s) is clearly and coherently aligned with Medium and/or High AFG priorities.
- Agree: The applicant's project is sufficiently explained. Budget items and risk analysis are sufficiently described, but the relationship between the risk analysis, the mission, and the proposed project needs strengthening. The project(s) is sufficiently aligned with Medium and/or High AFG priorities.
- Neither Agree nor Disagree: Some project goals are discussed, but it is unclear how some of the project's elements address the organization's needs or mission. A budget and a risk analysis are addressed but lack sufficient information regarding how it will benefit the organization. The project(s) aligns with some Medium and/or High AFG priorities but lacks clarity on implementation and/or functionality.
- Disagree: The applicant includes little detail about the project and how it relates to the organization's mission or needs. The information regarding the budget and risk analysis, and how those factors will benefit the organization, is insufficient or non-existent. The alignment of the project(s) to AFG priorities is unclear, confusing, and/or incomplete.
- Strongly Disagree: The applicant does not identify their budget, needs, mission, or risk assessment, and/or how the project will complement the organization. The project(s) does not coherently align to any AFG priorities.

Learn more at fema.gov January 2023 2

3. Cost Benefit

Describe how you plan to address the operations and personal safety needs of your organization, including cost effectiveness and sharing assets. The Operations and Safety Cost-Benefit statement should also include details about gaining the maximum benefits from grant funding by citing reasonable or required costs, such as specific overhead and administrative costs. The request should also be consistent with your organization's mission and identify how funding will benefit your organization and affected personnel.

- Does the applicant fully explain all aspects of the request?
- Does the applicant give evidence that funds are directly tied to operations and safety?
- Does the applicant include information on sharing some or all of the assets with neighboring jurisdictions?
- Does the applicant show evidence that they have conducted good market research so as not to request more funds than they need?

Below are the same scoring dimensions the Panel Reviewers will use to rate your application. Using the criteria below, rate your own application and assess how the Peer Reviewers might rate your application.

- Strongly Agree: The applicant clearly identifies and fully articulates the proposed achievements, which are consistent with the applicant's mission. The project's goals benefit the organization and affected personnel and are very advantageous when compared to the costs.
- Agree: An analysis of the cost-benefit is given, but the applicant excludes in-depth details. The affected
 personnel and operational needs are somewhat identified, but some of the project costs may be excessive.
 Most of the funding is geared toward the applicant's mission, but more details are needed.
- Neither Agree nor Disagree: The applicant identifies the request but includes little detail to fully understand. The affected personnel and operational needs are somewhat identified but lack details. The applicant's operational needs and/or how costs will address those needs are not clear.
- **Disagree**: The applicant fails to define the relationship between the request and their mission and/or affected personnel. The applicant provides little to no detail to understand the benefits of the project. The costs requested are underdeveloped, excessive and/or superfluous.
- <u>Strongly Disagree</u>: The applicant does not identify, nor articulate, the benefits of the request. The applicant does not adequately address the benefits to the organization or affected personnel and does not adequately explain how they are cost efficient.

4. Statement of Effect

Explain how this funding request will enhance the organization's overall effectiveness. Describe how the grant award will improve daily operations and reduce the organization's risk(s) including how frequently the requested item(s) will be used and in what capacity. Indicate how the requested item(s) will help the community and increase the organization's ability to save additional lives and property. Jurisdictions that demonstrate their commitment and proactive posture to reducing fire risk, by explaining their code enforcement (to include Wildland Urban Interface code enforcement) and mitigation strategies (including whether or not the jurisdiction has a FEMA-approved mitigation plan) may receive stronger consideration under these criteria.

Learn more at fema.gov January 2023 3

- Does the applicant demonstrate a high benefit for the cost incurred and maximize the level of funding going directly into the delivery of the project?
- Are the costs reasonable for the target population that will be reached?
- Does the applicant provide justification for the budget items relating to the cost of the project?
- Does the applicant include sufficient details to understand their organization's most common risk?
- Does the applicant explain how the project is directly tied to protecting life and property?
- Does the applicant include daily benefits?
- Does the applicant include details on mitigation strategies to reduce fire risk?

Below are the same scoring dimensions that the Panel Reviewers will use to rate your application. Using the criteria below, rate your own application and assess how the Peer Reviewers might rate your application.

- Strongly Agree: The applicant clearly demonstrates the items requested are necessary for daily use, contribute to protecting lives and property, and support the organization's mission. It is apparent the items will be used frequently, and the outcomes of the program are clearly evident.
- Agree: The applicant sufficiently explains how the request complements the mission and will increase the
 organization's efficiency, but a portion of the items requested have little to no daily operational use or little
 effect on the saving of lives and property.
- Neither Agree nor Disagree: The applicant describes how their request provides a benefit to daily operations and saves lives and property but lacks in-depth information. It is not absolutely clear how effective the items will be, how frequently the items will be used, and/or how the items benefit the organization's mission.
- <u>Disagree</u>: The applicant does not adequately relate their request to the needs of the organization. The applicant
 excludes details as to how the requested items will improve the organization's mission, daily operations, or
 ability to save lives and property.
- Strongly Disagree: The applicant does not prove the items requested in the application are necessary for its
 daily operations and would not contribute to the applicant's ability to protect life and property.

Learn more at fema.gov January 2023 4