

#### **DRCOG Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**

# FY 2024-2027 TIP Subregional Share (Call #4) –

# **Boulder County Subregion**

# **Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) Project Application**

What: The Subregional Share Call for Projects for the FY 2024-2027 TIP (Call #4)

**<u>Funding Available</u>**: \$10,750,000 for this subregion and this STBG Track. In the STBG Track, funding is split fairly evenly over all four years.

**APPLICATION OVERVIEW** 

<u>Major Project Eligibility Exceptions</u>: Transit operations projects (Note: these types of projects are only allowed to be submitted with the AQ/MM Track)

Call Dates: November 28, 2022 until January 27, 2023, 3 pm

Application Submittals: submit the items below online through the submittal link on the TIP Data Hub

- 1. REQUIRED: a <u>single PDF document</u> containing 1) this application (before saving to PDF, press Ctrl-A to select all, and F9 to update all formulas), 2) one location map/graphic, 3) cost estimate (your own or the CDOT <u>cost estimate form</u>), 4) CDOT/RTD concurrence response (if applicable), 5) any <u>required</u> documentation based on the application text (i.e., FHWA emissions calculators), and 6) project support letters and/or <u>peer agency support</u>. Please <u>DO NOT</u> attach additional cover pages, embed graphics in the application, or otherwise change the format of the application form
- 2. OPTIONAL: Submit one additional PDF document containing any supplemental materials, if applicable
- 3. REQUIRED: Submit a single zipped GIS shapefile of your project. The shapefile should consist of only your project limits. No particular attributes need to be included. Requests for assistance with creating a shapefile should be submitted to <a href="mailto:tipapplications@drcog.org">tipapplications@drcog.org</a> no later than December 30, 2022

#### Other Notable items:

- <u>Eligibility</u>: Projects must align with the eligibility guidelines in <u>Appendices B and C</u> of the TIP Policy. Proposed work on roadways must primarily be located on the <u>DRCOG Regional Roadway System</u> to be eligible for TIP funding (the DRCOG RRS can also be viewed within the <u>TIP Data Tool</u>). Further details can be found in the <u>Policies for TIP Program Development</u> document (a <u>quick-guide</u> is also available for reference)
- <u>TIP Trainings</u>: To be eligible to submit an application, at least one person from your agency must have attended one of the two mandatory TIP training workshops (<u>February 10</u> and <u>February 16, 2022</u>)
- <u>CDOT/RTD Concurrence</u>: If required, <u>CDOT and/or RTD concurrence</u> must be provided with the application submittal. The CDOT/RTD concurrence request is due to CDOT/RTD no later than December 9, 2022, with CDOT/RTD providing a response no later than January 13, 2023. Submit requests to the following: CDOT Region 1 JoAnn Mattson, CDOT Region 4 Josie Thomas, RTD Chris Quinn
- <u>If a submitted application in Calls #1-3 was not funded</u>, and you wish to resubmit the same application for this call, please <u>contact DRCOG</u>. In these cases, we can unlock the application, change the title, and save the applicant some work in the resubmittal process
- Application Data: To assist sponsors in filling out the application, DRCOG has developed a TIP Data Tool. A link
  to the TIP Data Tool and instructions on how to use it are available on the <u>TIP Data Hub</u>. Additionally, sponsors
  may download datasets to run their own analyses from this same site. Requests for additional data or
  calculations from DRCOG staff should be submitted to <u>tipapplications@drcog.org</u> no later than December 30,
  2022
- <u>Project Affirmation</u>: The application must be affirmed by either the applicant's City or County Manager, Chief Elected Official (Mayor or County Commission Chair) for local governments, or agency director or equivalent for other applicants
- Evaluation Process: DRCOG staff will review submittals for eligibility, develop scoring sheets, and post all applications (Jan. 30-Feb. 3, 2023). On Feb. 6, a public comment period will open until Feb. 24. Also at that time, details will be provided to each subregion to begin scoring, discussing, and recommending their projects back to DRCOG by March 15. Each forums' recommendation will then be forwarded to the DRCOG committee process for incorporation into a new 24-27 TIP anticipated to be adopted in August 2023
- If you have any questions or need assistance, reach out to us at <u>tipapplications@drcog.org</u>

#### APPLICATION FORMAT

The STBG Subregional Share application contains two parts: project information and evaluation questions.

#### **Project Information**

Applicants enter **foundational** information for the *project/program/study* (hereafter referred to as *project*), including a problem statement, project description, and concurrence documentation from CDOT and/or RTD, if applicable. This section is not scored.

#### **Evaluation Questions**

This part includes four sections (A-D) for the **applicant to provide qualitative and quantitative responses** to use for scoring projects. The checkboxes and data entry fields should <u>guide</u> the applicant's responses. They are not directly scored but provide context as reviewers consider the full response to each question. Applicants may access the TIP Data Tool and additional data resources which applicants may find useful here.

**Scoring Methodology**: Each section will be scored on a scale of 0 to 5, <u>relative</u> to other applications received. All questions will be factored into the final score, with any questions left blank receiving 0 points. The four sections are weighted and scored as follows:

#### Section A. Subregional Impact of Proposed Projects......25%

Projects will be evaluated on the degree to which they address a significant subregional problem or benefit people throughout the subregion. Relevant quantitative data should be included within narrative responses.

	5	The project benefits will <b>substantially</b> address a <b>major</b> subregional problem and benefit people and businesses in multiple communities.
	4	The project benefits will significantly address a major subregional problem primarily benefiting people and
ı	4	businesses in one community.
	2	The project benefits will either moderately address a major subregional problem or significantly address a
	3	moderate-level subregional problem.
	2	The project benefits will moderately address a moderate-level subregional problem.
	1	The project benefits will address a minor subregional problem.
	0	The project does not address a subregional problem.

#### 

The TIP's investments should implement the 2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan (2050 MVRTP) regional project and program investment priorities, which contribute to addressing the Board-adopted Metro Vision objectives and the federal performance-based planning framework required by the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration as outlined in current federal transportation legislation and regulations. Therefore, projects will be evaluated on the degree to which they address the six priorities identified in the 2050 MVRTP: safety, active transportation, air quality, multimodal mobility, freight, and regional transit. It is anticipated that projects may not be able to address all six priorities, but it's in the applicant's interest to address as many priority areas as possible. Relevant quantitative data is required to be included within narrative responses. The table below demonstrates how each priority area will be scored.

	_	The project provides demonstrable substantial benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area and is determined to
-	•	be in the <b>top fifth</b> of applications based on the magnitude of benefits in that priority area.
4	4	The project provides demonstrable significant benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area.
_	3	The project provides demonstrable <b>moderate</b> benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area and is determined to
		be in the middle fifth of applications based on the magnitude of benefits in that priority area.
2	2	The project provides demonstrable <b>modest</b> benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area.
	1	The project provides demonstrable <b>slight</b> benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area and is determined to be in
_		the <b>bottom fifth</b> of applications based on the magnitude of benefits in that priority area.
(	0	The project does not provide demonstrable benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area.

Score	% non-Subregional Share funds
5	60% and above
4	50-59.9%
3	40-49.9%
2	30-39.9%
1	20.1-29.9%
0	20%

5	<b>Substantial</b> readiness is demonstrated and all known obstacles that are likely to result in project delays have been mitigated.
4	<b>Significant</b> readiness is demonstrated and several known obstacles that are likely to result in project delays have been mitigated.
3	<b>Moderate</b> readiness is demonstrated and some known obstacles that are likely to result in project delays have been mitigated.
2	<b>Slight</b> readiness is demonstrated and some known obstacles that are likely to result in project delays have been mitigated.
1	Few mitigation or readiness activities have been demonstrated.
0	No mitigation or readiness activities have been demonstrated.

	F	Project Infor	matio	n			
1.	Project Title			CO 119 Commuter Bikeway – Foothills Parkway to Jay Road Commuter Bikeway			
2.			point: CO 119: Existing bicycle path adjacent to Pleasant View Sports plex Foothills Parkway Intersection				
	Page 1)	i map, as appropriate (see		oint: CO 119	9: South of Jay Roa	d Intersection	
			OR Ge	ographic A	rea:		
3.		or (entity that will be sible for the project)	Boulde	er County			
4.	Project Contac	ct Person:					
Na	me: Stacey Proc	ctor			Title: Boulder Cou Project Manager	unty Community Planning & Permitting	
Ph	one: 303-441-11	107			Email: sproctor@	bouldercounty.org	
5.	Right-of-Way,		roadway, access RTD property, or request ervice?    Solution			If yes, provide applicable concurrence	
		If this project is lis MVRTP), provide				egional Transportation Plan (2050	
6.	Local/Regional/ Subregional plan: What planning document(s)		Planning Document Title: Boulder County Transportation Master Plan; City of Boulder Transportation Master Plan; Envision Longmont; Northwest Area Mobility Plan; CDOT 10 Year Plan (links are provided in Supplemental Materials Exhibit A)  Adopting agency (local agency Council, CDOT, RTD, etc.): Boulder County Commissioners; Boulder City Council; Longmont City Council; RTD Board of Directors; CDOT Transportation Commission Provide date of adoption by council/board/commission, if				
doc refe num or p doc	identifies this project?  vide link to rument(s) and erenced page riber if possible, provide rumentation in supplement	Please describe p review/engageme date:		Provide date of adoption by council/board/commission, if applicable: 2-18-20; 9-17-19; 6-28-16; 8-14-14; December 2019  During the development of the PEL, 18% of the 475 comments from the public on the proposed vision for the corridor mentioned the need for a separated bikeway on the corridor. RTD also hosted popup events and conducted both an on-board (the BOLT and J) survey existing transit riders and an online survey for the general public.  During the planning phase for the bikeway, three rounds of inperson meetings were held in Boulder, Longmont, and Niwot between 2017 and 2019 using both the "open house" and "public hearing" formats. We have begun additional public engagement as part of the preliminary and final design process. In 2021, the project team:  • Conducted a virtual public meeting with over 130 attendees with simultaneous Spanish interpretation  • Issued a survey with over 1,100 respondents			

		pecial events, and		
		Developed an email list for the project with		
	Created a <u>project website</u> as well as a <u>proj</u> multimodal corridor vision for CO 119			
		Created a Community Advisory Committee     Advisory Committee to provide ongoing inp	The state of the s	
		Presented at the Commuting Solutions Me	embership Meetings	
	Since 2021, Boulder County has gathered intwo citizen advisory committees, met with borganizations and a disability rights organization advisory boards of Boulde summer of 2022 the project team held a virtour over 90 people attended. Throughout the project partners have maintained a continue presence, including a solicitation for input at While Boulder County is the Project Spons application, if awarded funds, we will part and construct the project improvements. Construct the project improvements. Construct the project improvements.			
		nticipated schedule of phase milestones. e to be Initiated" in the Funding Breakdown table below	<i>(</i> )	
Phases to be included:	Ма	jor phase milestones:	Anticipated completion date (based on 8/16/2023 DRCOG approval date):  (MM/YYYY)	
	$\square$ Preconstruction (includi	ng studies) $oxtimes$ Construction $oxtimes$	Both	
REQUIRED FOR ALL PHASES		ment (IGA) executed with CDOT/RTD nonths; any work performed before sable)	09/2023	
□Design	Design scoping meeting h FIR (Field Inspection Revie	Design contract Notice to Proceed (NTP) issued (if using a consultant):  Design scoping meeting held with CDOT (if no consultant):  FIR (Field Inspection Review):		
□Environmental	FOR (Final Office Review):  Environmental contract Notice to Proceed (NTP) issued (if using a consultant):  Environmental scoping meeting held with CDOT (if no consultant):			
☐ Right-of-Way Initial set of ROW plans submitted Estimated number of parcels to a				
	ROW acquisition complete			
⊠ Construction	Required clearances:		01/2024	
E3 CONSTITUCTION	Project publicly advertised	d:	01/2024	

□Study	Kick-off meeting held after consultant NTP (or internal if no consultant):					
☐ Equipment Purchase (Procurement)	RFP/RFQ/RFB (bids) issued:					
☐ Other Phase not Listed Describe: Describe	First invoice submitted to CDOT/RT	D:				
8. Problem Statem	nent: What specific subregional prob	lem/issue will the transportation pro	oject address?			
primary connection make up about two of the corridor: it ha	CO 119 between Longmont and Boulder is the second most travelled corridor in Boulder County. This corridor is the primary connection between Boulder County's two largest municipalities, Boulder and Longmont, which together make up about two thirds of the total population of Boulder County. Daily travel volumes demonstrate the importanc of the corridor: it has the second highest travel volumes in Boulder County, behind only US 36 connecting Boulder and Denver. However, this vital link has no safe, direct, comfortable, and appealing bicycle connection.					
unincorporated Bou 119 too stressful to of untapped potenti Bikeway will provide Longmont and the c	nd-highest number of severe bicycle lder County, and survey data shows to consider cycling there. With only 9 mal for bicycle commuting, particularly the infrastructure needed to make to manunities in between.	that the majority of the population failes separating Boulder and Longmo y as e-bikes become more popular. The the average commuter feel safe biking	inds the shoulders of CO nt, the corridor has a lot the CO 119 Commuter ng between Boulder and			
9. Identify the proj	ect's <b>key elements</b> . A single project i	may have multiple project elements.				
☐ Operational Improvements		□ Safety Improvements				
•	urpose Capacity (2050 MVRTP)					
	Lanes (2050 MVRTP)	Active Transportation Improvements  ⊠ Bicycle Facility				
_	Reconstruction/Rehab					
☐Bridge Rep	place/Reconstruct/Rehab	☑ Pedestrian Facility				
Grade Separatio	n	☑ Air Quality Improvements				
□Roadway		☐ Improvements Impacting I				
□Railway			reignt			
⊠Bicycle		Multimodal Mobility (i.e., accommodating a broad				
⊠ Pedestria	n	range of users)				
Regional Trans	it <sup>1</sup>	⊠Complete Streets Improvements				
☐ Rapid Transit Capacity (2050 MVRTP) ☐ Mobility Hub(s) ☐ Transit Planning Corridors						
		☐ Study				
☐ Transit Facilities (Expansion/New)		☐ <b>Other</b> , briefly describe:				
	For any project with transit elements, the sponsor must coordinate with RTD to ensure RTD agrees to the scope and cost. Be sure to include RTD's concurrence in your application submittal.					

10. Define the scope and specific elements of the project (including any elements checked in #9 above).
<u>DO NOT</u> include scope elements that will not be part of the DRCOG funded project or your IGA scope of work (i.e., adjacent locally funded improvements or the project merits and benefits). Please keep the response to this question tailored to details of the scope only and no more than five sentences.

The proposed project would fund construction of approximately 0.85 miles of a planned 9.12-mile commuter bikeway along Colorado Highway 119 (CO 119) between Boulder and Longmont in Boulder County, a pedestrian/bikeway bridge across Fourmile Canyon Creek, and an underpass south of Jay Road. The segment includes construction of the separated bike facility starting immediately north of the Foothills Parkway intersection near the Pleasant View Fields to immediately south of the Jay Road intersection. The commuter bikeway will be 12-feet wide located primarily within the median of CO 119 and will be a hard surface concrete facility that will be maintained for year-round use.

Preliminary design plans for the CO 119 Commuter Bikeway are included in Supplemental Materials Exhibit B.

**11.** What is the current status of the proposed scope as defined in Question 10 above? *Note that overall project readiness is addressed in more detail in Section D below.* 

The proposed scope has been previously identified in multiple planning documents including the Boulder County Transportation Master Plan (2020 Update), CDOT 10 Year Plan, DRCOG 2050 MetroVision Regional Transportation Plan, and the RTD Northwest Area Mobility Study. RTD has completed a Planning & Environmental Linkages (PEL) Study for the corridor, CDOT completed a conceptual design for the commuter bikeway, and Boulder County is currently underway with final design for the CO 119 Commuter Bikeway between CO 157 (Foothills Parkway) and Hover Street, which includes the proposed scope for this project. Boulder County completed preliminary design in June 2022 and will complete final design in 2023 which will enable CDOT to be ready to begin construction in late 2023/ early 2024.

NOTE: CDOT is in the process of getting approval to construct this project using a Construction Management/General Contractor (CMGC) delivery method. If approved the advertisement for the project would be in the  $2^{nd}$  quarter of 2023. Construction phasing would be determined in coordination with the contractor, but it is anticipated that the initial construction package would begin in the  $4^{th}$  quarter of 2023.

**12.** Would a smaller DRCOG-allocation than requested be acceptable, while maintaining the original intent of the project?

 $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

If yes, smaller meaningful limits, size, service level, phases, or scopes, along with the cost, MUST be defined.

Smaller DRCOG funding request: This project can be completed in phases and with a smaller allocation, a smaller segment could be completed rather than the full 0.85 miles. There are two options for smaller segments, Segment A and Segment B. Segment A would span between Foothills Parkway and Fourmile Canyon Creek, have a distance of 0.32 miles, and the DRCOG Allocation would consist of \$1,678,000. Segment B would span between Fourmile Canyon Creek and Jay Road, have a distance of 0.53 miles, and the DRCOG Allocation would consist of \$4,314,000.

Outline the differences between the scope outlined above and the reduced scope: The difference in scope is the length of bikeway that could be completed, as well as the inclusion or exclusion of a pedestrian/bikeway bridge and underpass. The full request would complete 0.85 miles of bikeway. With a reduced scope, either 0.32 miles could be completed as well as the bridge across Fourmile Canyon Creek (Segment A); or 0.53 miles of bikeway and an underpass under the south-bound lanes of CO 119 south of Jay Road (Segment B). No other scope elements would change under these alternative funding scenarios.

(All funding amounts in \$1,000s)

Total amount of Subregional Share Funding Request (in \$1,000's)  (Not to exceed 80% of the total project cost)	\$5,992	79.89% of total project cost
Match Funds (in \$1,000's)  List each funding source and contribution amount.	Contribution Amount	% Contribution to Overall Project Total
Boulder County	\$458	6.1%
CDOT	\$1,050	14.0%
	\$	0.0%
	\$	0.0%
	\$	0.0%
	\$	0.0%
Total Match (private, local, state, regional, or federal)	\$1,508	20.1%
Project Total	\$7,500	

Funding Breakdown (in \$1,000s) (by program year) <sup>1</sup> (Total funding should match the Project Total from above)  To update the formulas below, enter your information, highlight the formulas (or Ctrl-A), and press F9. OR close and reopen the file.						
	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	Total	
DRCOG Requested Funds <sup>2</sup>	\$5,992	\$	\$	\$	\$5,992	
CDOT or RTD Supplied Funds <sup>3</sup>	\$1,050	\$	\$	\$	\$1,050	
Local Funds (Funding from sources other than DRCOG, CDOT, or RTD)	\$458	\$	\$	\$	\$ 458	
Total Funding	\$7,500	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$7,500	
Phase to be Initiated	Construction	ante				
1. Fiscal years are October 1 through September 30 (e.g., FY 2024 is October 1, 2023 through September 2024). The proposed funding plan is not guaranteed if the project is selected for funding. While DRCO will do everything it can to accommodate the applicants' request, final funding will be assigned at DRCOG's discretion within fiscal constraint. Funding amounts must be provided in year of expenditure dollars using a recommended 3% inflation factor.  2. For the 2024-2027 Subregional Share STBG Call, 23% of DRCOG funding is available in FY 2024, 25% in 2025, 26% in FY 2026, and 27% in FY 2027  3. Only enter funding in this line if CDOT and/or RTD specifically give permission via concurrence letters other written source.  By checking this box, the applicant's Chief Elected Official (Mayor or County Commission Chair/City or County Manager/Agency Director) has certified it allows this application to be submitted for potential DRCOG-allocated funding and will follow all local, DRCOG, state, and federal policies and regulations if funding is awarded.					g. While DRCOG assigned at of expenditure Y 2024, 25% in FY	
					pplication to	

# **Evaluation Questions**

## A. Subregional Impact of Proposed Project

WEIGHT

25%

Provide <u>qualitative and quantitative</u> responses to the following questions on the subregional impact of the proposed project. Be sure to provide all required information for each question. Quantitative data from DRCOG is available <u>here</u>.

1. Why is this project subregionally important? *Relevant quantitative data in your response is <u>required</u>.

CO 119 is a vital regional transportation corridor serving the economic health of Boulder County. This corridor is the primary connection between Boulder and Longmont, Boulder County's two largest municipalities, which together make up about two thirds of the total population of Boulder County. Nine of Boulder County's 11

DRCOG-designated urban centers lie directly on the CO 119 corridor, as does the University of Colorado-Boulder, the state's largest university. In 2020, there were 57,000 households and 136,000 jobs within a 0.5 mile buffer of the full CO 119 corridor.* 

The annual average daily traffic (AADT) on segments of CO 119 between Boulder and Longmont is currently 40,000 daily vehicles and is forecast to rise 15% to 56,000 daily vehicles by 2040, making this the second busiest regional corridor in Boulder County, behind only US 36 connecting Boulder and Denver (see Supplemental Materials Exhibit D). The RTD BOLT route which connects Boulder and Longmont is one of the busiest bus routes in Boulder County.

Currently, the corridor has a significant barrier to the growth of active transportation modes; Boulder and Longmont are only 9 miles apart, but to many prospective bicycle commuters it appears as daunting as 999 miles due to the adjacent vehicle traffic traveling at 65+ miles per hour. This section of CO 119 has the second highest number of serious injury and fatal bike and pedestrian crashes of any corridor in unincorporated Boulder County. The CO 119 Commuter Bikeway will provide this infrastructure to encourage active transportation along the corridor and will link to local bike networks in Longmont, Boulder, and Niwot.

The municipalities of the Northwest metro area, Boulder County, CDOT, and RTD have long recognized the need for change on this corridor and have developed a vision for Bus Rapid Transit and associated operational and safety improvements, including a commuter bikeway. This corridor has been the number one short-term transportation priority for Boulder County since the Northwest Area Mobility Study was completed in 2014.

CDOT, RTD, Boulder County, the City of Boulder, the City of Longmont and other stakeholders have now come together to fulfill this vision. CDOT is leading the design for the "CO 119 Safety and Mobility Project," which includes roadway, bus rapid transit and safety improvements. Boulder County is leading the design for the CO 119 Commuter Bikeway, which will provide a separated multi-use path in the median of the highway for bicyclists and pedestrians. This proposed project will help complete the commuter bikeway component of the corridor vision.

**2.** How will the proposed project address the specific transportation problem described in the **Problem Statement** (as submitted in Project Information, #8)? Relevant quantitative data in your response is <u>required</u>.

The CO 119 Commuter Bikeway will improve safety on the CO 119 corridor for people using the corridor to bike or walk. This project will complete a key segment of the commuter bikeway. The commuter bikeway will provide a safe replacement for bicycling on the existing shoulders of CO 119. The project will improve transportation safety by creating a facility that will greatly reduce the number of bicycle and pedestrian crashes on the CO 119 corridor by removing the conflicts between cyclists and motor vehicles. Physically separating the bikeway from the highway will remove the primary reason people cite for not bike commuting: fear of interacting with much faster moving motor vehicle traffic. The project will also improve transportation security by creating a facility that feels safe, comfortable, and inviting.

The bikeway will be 12-feet wide, paved, maintained year-round, and designed for all user types. The facility will also allow e-bikes, which will make biking the 9-miles distance between Longmont and Boulder feasible for more users. Recent survey results indicate that there are many people who do not currently bike CO 119, who would be likely to bike if a separated bike facility were available. For example, of the 521 respondents who currently commute along the CO 119 corridor but do not currently bike, 62% said that they would be likely or very likely to commute by bike once a bikeway facility was built.

Improving safety on the CO 119 corridor is critical to achieving Boulder County's, DRCOG's and CDOT's safety and vision zero goals. Boulder County's Traffic Crash Analysis identified this corridor as having the highest number of serious injury and fatal crashes in unincorporated Boulder County, and the second highest number of bicycle and pedestrian injury and fatal crashes in unincorporated Boulder County (see Supplemental Materials Exhibit E). CO 119 represents 12% of all Major Injury bicycle crashes (5 of 42 total) in unincorporated Boulder County from 2009 to 2018, as well as 15% of all the Minor Injury bicycle crashes (16 of 108 total). The lack of separation between cyclists and vehicular traffic, as well as high vehicular traffic volumes and speeds, creates a high-stress route for both commuter and recreational cyclists.

Improving perceived safety and comfort on the CO 119 corridor is also critical to inducing more people to walk and bike to transit stations on the corridor, and to travel by bicycle between Boulder, Niwot, and Longmont.

When people decide whether or not to travel by foot or bike, most people do not consult a crash history, but rather decide based on how a route feels. Indeed, a recent DRCOG Active Transportation Plan survey found that 70% of respondents said they would ride more if they felt safer from traffic while bicycling (Source, DRCOG, https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/DRCOG\_ATP.pdf).

Perceived safety has been quantified as a Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) rating system to describe which types of bicycle facilities will appeal or be comfortable to which types of users:

- LTS 1- Suitable for children
- LTS 2- A level of traffic stress that most adults can tolerate, suitable for the "interested but concerned."
- LTS 3- A level of traffic stress acceptable to those classified as "enthused and confident."
- LTS 4- A level of stress acceptable only to those classified as "strong and fearless."

Source: Northeastern University, <a href="https://peterfurth.sites.northeastern.edu/2014/05/21/criteria-for-level-of-traffic-stress/">https://peterfurth.sites.northeastern.edu/2014/05/21/criteria-for-level-of-traffic-stress/</a>

With traffic volumes ranging from 30,000 to 60,000, the shoulders on CO 119 fall clearly in the LTS 4 category, meaning that only about 1% of the population is willing to ride on them.

The proposed project will address both the crash history and patterns on the corridor, as well as the perception of safety by incorporating a separated bikeway, which is a prove safety countermeasure to mitigate crashes involving bicyclists or pedestrians.

Boulder County's Traffic Crash analysis identified the most common types of bicycle crashes in unincorporated Boulder County as: "Hit From Behind" (rear end), "Passing Bike," and "Right Turn Into Bike"- all crash types that can occur with bicyclists using the shoulders of CO 119. While not all of these bicycle crash types are present within the proposed project extents, a safe systems approach dictates that given the high risk for these crash types, they should be proactively mitigated instead of waiting for a crash history to materialize. A separated bikeway virtually eliminates the possibility of "Hit From Behind" and "Passing Bike" crashes. While crashes involving turning vehicles can still occur with a separated bikeway, they can be greatly reduced through the use of underpasses, which are included at major intersections along the corridor (though not included in the scope of this project).

Overall, the safety improvements included in the project scope are estimated to result in a 58% reduction in bicycle crashes within the project scope area.

Addressing the perception of safety, a separated bikeway would achieve an LTS 1 rating, and would appeal to approximately 60% of the population, a 60x increase in potential riders over the existing shoulders. Additionally, hard-surface bicycle and pedestrian facilities can be plowed and would provide users assurances that their commute will remain unimpacted by winter weather. This is particularly important to providing a year-round option as Boulder County's snowiest months (Feb-April) coincide with the second-highest season for cycling (as measured on the comparable US 36 Bikeway).

A recent community survey indicates that there are many people who do not currently bike CO 119, who would be likely to bike if a separated bike facility were available. For example, of the 521 respondents who currently commute along the CO 119 corridor but do not currently bike, 62% said that they would be likely or very likely to commute by bike once a bikeway facility was built. In addition, 25% of survey respondents anticipate using the CO 119 Bikeway at least a few times per week, with an additional 37% saying they will use the bikeway a few times per month. Finally, 23% of respondents said that they anticipate using an e-bike on the CO 119 Bikeway (see Supplemental Materials Exhibit F).

**3.** Does the proposed project benefit multiple municipalities and/or subregions? If yes, which ones and how? Also describe any funding partnerships (other subregions, regional agencies, municipalities, private, etc.) established in association with this project.

The proposed project will benefit multiple communities in Boulder County, including, the City of Boulder, the City of Longmont, and the unincorporated community of Niwot. CO 119 between Longmont and Boulder is the second most travelled corridor in Boulder County and serves residents, employees, and visitors from all across the county and beyond. However, no direct, reliable, safe, year-round bicycle facility connects these two cities, which account for two-thirds of Boulder County's population. The Commuter Bikeway will provide an option for residents and employees in these communities with a facility that encourages active transportation. Both the City of Longmont and the City of Boulder have developed multi-use paths and bike lanes connecting important destinations with the municipalities. The CO 119 Commuter Bikeway closes the gap and provides an important connection to the extensive bicycle facilities within the cities of Longmont and Boulder (see Supplemental Materials Exhibit G). Once complete, bicyclists will easily be able to go from downtown Longmont to downtown Boulder on low-stress bicycle facilities.

Geographically the CO 119 Bikeway will cross the City of Longmont, Boulder County, and the City of Boulder jurisdictions. 2019 StreetLight (a "big data" company that uses cell phone data to develop travel metrics) data analysis by Boulder County shows that the City of Longmont is the largest source of "in-commuters" to the City of Boulder (people who work in the City of Boulder but live somewhere else).

In keeping with the multi-agency benefits this project will deliver, the project's local match is being provided by CDOT (\$1,050,000) and Boulder County (\$458,000). It should also be noted that this project represents another increment towards a larger vision for the entire CO 119 corridor, with significant funding commitments from CDOT, RTD, the City of Boulder, City of Longmont, and Boulder County.

**4.** Disproportionately Impacted and Environmental Justice Communities

<u>This data is available in the TIP Data Tool</u>. *Completing the below table and referencing <u>relevant</u> quantitative data in your response is <u>required</u>.* 

To update the fo	To update the formulas below, enter your information, highlight the formulas (or Ctrl-A), and press F9. OR close and reopen the file.						
	DI & EJ Population Groups	Number within ½ mile	% of Total	Regional %			
Use 2015-2019	a. Total population	9092	-	-			
American	b. Total households	4215	-	-			
Community	c. Individuals of color	1853	20%	33%			
Survey Data	d. Low-income households	334	8%	9%			
	e. Individuals with limited English proficiency	114	1%	3%			
(In the TIP	f. Adults age 65 and over	1283	14%	13%			
Data Tool, use	g. Children age 5-17	1120	12%	16%			
a 0.5 mile	h. Individuals with a disability	333	4%	9%			
buffer)	i. Households without a motor vehicle	307	7%	5%			
	j. Households that are housing cost-burdened	1085	26%	32%			
	For Lines c. – i. use definitions in the <u>DRCOG Title VI Implementation Plan</u> . For Line j., as defined in C.R.S. 24-38.5-						
302(3)(b)(I): "'co	302(3)(b)(I): "'cost-burdened' means a household that spends more than thirty percent of its income on housing."						

Describe how this project will improve access and mobility for each of the applicable disproportionately impacted and environmental justice population groups identified in the table above, *including the <u>required</u> quantitative analysis:* 

The quantitative analysis shows that within the project area, 12% of residents are children ages 5-7, 14% of the residents are 65 or over, and 20% are individuals of color. In addition, while 8% of the households are low-income, 26% of the households are housing cost-burdened. As shown above, this segment has a higher proportion of adults over the age of 65 and households without a motor vehicle. Additionally, this segment has a percentage of people of color higher than Boulder County as a whole, in which 10.1% of residents are people of color.

After housing, transportation often accounts for the second largest share of household spending and travelling via private vehicle is an expensive way to travel. Providing mobility options will reduce the cost-of-living for residents in Boulder County, which may allow some of the project area's housing cost-burdened residents to remain in Boulder County even as housing costs continue to rise.

Using the DRCOG region average of 25.5 miles per day per person (Source: DRCOG, <a href="https://metrovision.drcog.org">https://metrovision.drcog.org</a>), and the IRS mileage rate of 59¢ per mile, individual annual transportation costs for private vehicle travel come to \$4,200-\$5,200 per year. By contrast, 12 months of an RTD regional monthly pass costs \$2,400 per year, and the <a href="https://without.ncbi.nlm.n

By constructing this bikeway segment, the project will be complete between Jay Road and Airport Road, with just the two end segments remaining to complete the fully separated bikeway on the CO 119 corridor. This facility will open up bicycle travel to those who are physically capable but unwilling to bicycle on the shoulders of the existing highway unprotected from 60-70 mph vehicle traffic. A primary design goal for the CO 119 Commuter Bikeway is to design a facility that is accessible to all users, including children, people with disabilities, and older adults. By greatly improving the viability of much cheaper modes of travel, this project will support low-income households, households that are housing cost-burdened, and households without a motor vehicle by significantly reducing their annual transportation costs.

For children who are too young to drive, older adults who can no longer drive, and individuals with disabilities that prevent them from driving, this project will improve their personal mobility and provide newfound independence by making bicycling a viable option and improving access to transit.

This project will promote equity within Boulder County, a county that is becoming increasingly diverse. Latinos are the largest minority population in the county and currently have lower levels of education and are more likely to live in poverty than the population as a whole (Source: 2017-2019 Community Foundation Boulder County Trends Report- https://www.commfound.org/files/trends/TRENDS-2017-2019.pdf). According to the 2015 American Community Survey estimates, 27% of Longmont residents identify as Latino, as compared to 21% for the entire State of Colorado. Investing in this vital corridor will help connect individuals of all backgrounds with meaningful employment and higher educational opportunities allowing them to increase their ability to realize economic mobility.

- **5.** How will this project move the subregion toward achieving the shared <u>regional transportation outcomes</u> established in Metro Vision in terms of...
  - Land Use, community, urban development, housing, employment? (Improve the diversity and livability of communities. Contain urban development in locations designated for urban growth and services. Increase housing and employment in urban centers. Diversify the region's housing stock. Improve the region's competitive position.)
    - The commuter bikeway will improve the diversity and livability of Boulder County by making it more feasible for residents without cars to live and work in Boulder, Longmont, and the communities in between. In addition to providing a safe option for active transportation along the corridor, the bikeway will connect to future BRT along the corridor. Access to transportation as well as recreational opportunities are important components of a community's livability.
    - This project additionally improves connections to numerous healthcare facilities, including Longmont United Hospital and Boulder Community Hospital. Enabling people to reach healthcare opportunities via bicycle will reduce transportation costs and allow households to re-allocate their financial resources to other needs.
    - Multimodal projects increase equity by providing mobility options for the many residents and employees of our region that cannot drive a personal car for health or financial reasons. This project improves connections to the two largest education centers in Boulder County: CU-Boulder and the Longmont campus of Front Range Community College. The CO 119 corridor is home to some of the county's largest employers including, IBM, Crocs, Inc., Intrado, and Digital Globe. The CO 119 corridor also includes a number of manufacturing facilities including Celestial Seasonings, Dynamic Design Manufacturing, and Claremont Foods. Improved multimodal connections to these employers provides employment opportunities for residents who may not have a vehicle or are unable to drive.
    - The urban centers that are connected by this project are zoned for increased job and housing density, and this project will ensure their ability to thrive by providing alternate means of travel to single occupancy vehicles, whose numbers limit economic growth in the form of traffic congestion.
  - Multimodal transportation, safety, reliability, air quality? (Improve and expand the region's multimodal transportation system, services, and connections. Operate, manage, and maintain a safe and reliable transportation system. Improve air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Reduce the risk of hazards and their impact.)
    - This project will complete one segment of the CO 119 Commuter Bikeway, which is a key component of the CO 119 multimodal corridor vision. Pedestrians and bicyclists travelling along the corridor and accessing the transit stations at Foothills Parkway and Jay Road will see improved safety and comfort from the commuter bikeway.
    - o This project will improve air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions by converting single occupant vehicle trips into walking, biking, and transit trips by providing safe and comfortable facilities for bicycling along the corridor or accessing transit stops.
  - Connection/accessibility to particular locations supporting healthy and active choices? (Connect people to natural resource and recreational areas. Increase access to amenities that support healthy, active choices. Improve transportation connections to health care facilities and service providers. Improve access to opportunity.)
    - o The segment identified for this project traverse and provides connections to the City of Boulder and Boulder County Open Space properties and trails. Once complete, the bikeway will provide connections into local bike routes within the cities of Boulder and Longmont. Providing this key segment of the bikeway along the CO 119 corridor will entice more people to travel via bicycle between Boulder and Longmont and complete a major missing link in the DRCOG Active Transportation network.

6.	<ul> <li>Items marked with an asterisk (*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool.</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Is there a DRCOG designated urban center within ½ mile of the project limits?*</li> </ul>						
☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, please provide the name:							
	<ul> <li>Does the project connect two or more urban centers?*</li> </ul>						
	$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No If yes, please provide the names:						
	<ul> <li>Is there a transit stop or station within ½ mile of the project limits?*</li> </ul>						
Bus stop: ⊠ Yes ☐ No If yes, how many:14							
Rail station: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, how many:							
<ul> <li>Is the project in a locally-defined priority growth and development area and/or an area with zonir</li> </ul>							
	supports compact, mixed-use development patterns and a variety of housing options?						
	If yes, provide a link to the relevant planning document:						
	If yes, provide how the area is defined in the relevant planning document:						
	Provide households and employment data* 2020 2050						
	Haveabalda viithin 1/ mila						

Provide households and employment data*	2020	2050
Households within ½ mile	4215	5247
Jobs within ½ mile	3898	6071
Household density (per acre) within ½ mile	2.3	2.83
Job density (per acre) within ½ mile	2.84	4.73

Describe how this project will improve transportation options in and between key geographic areas including DRCOG-defined urban centers, multimodal corridors, mixed-use areas, Transit Oriented Development (transit near high-density development), or locally defined priority growth areas, *including the <u>required</u> quantitative analysis*:

The full CO 119/ US 287 corridor connects 9 of Boulder County's 11 DRCOG urban centers, although no Urban Centers are located directly along this segment.

While this project is only a portion of the full vision, once complete, the proposed CO 119 Commuter Bikeway will fill an important gap in the active transportation network between Boulder and Longmont. The bikeway will allow users to access urban centers within Longmont and Boulder by bike especially the 29th St. Boulder Valley Regional Center and the Twin Peaks Activity Center. It will also allow for easier access to the new RTD stop at 47<sup>th</sup> Street.

Additionally, Boulder County has Land Use specific IGAs with the Cities of Boulder and Longmont, which channel development into urbanized areas and thereby encouraging development in support of alternative travel modes.

**7.** Describe how this project will improve **access** and **connections** to <u>key employment centers or subregional destinations</u>. In your answer, define the key destination(s) and clearly explain how the project improves **access** and/or **connectivity**.

CO 119 in its existing state poses numerous barriers to access and connections between key regional destinations in Boulder and Longmont. In the peak periods, travel times are highly variable and significantly longer than during off-peak periods, and it is the highest crash corridor in unincorporated Boulder County, which greatly limits the demand for trying to access transit on the corridor via walking or biking, or trying to bike along the corridor. The fully completed bikeway project will improve access and connectivity by providing a safe biking facility with direct access to planned transit stations at 63<sup>rd</sup> Street, CO 52 and Niwot Road.

Both the City of Longmont and the City of Boulder have developed multi-use paths and bike lanes connecting to important destinations with the municipalities. The CO 119 Commuter Bikeway closes the gap and provides an important connection to the extensive bicycles facilities within the cities of Longmont and Boulder (see Supplemental Materials Exhibit G). Once complete, bicyclists will easily be able to go from downtown Longmont to downtown Boulder on low-stress bicycle facilities.

This project provides a direct connection to the City of Boulder. Several large employers are located in Boulder including Google, Boulder Community Hospital, Federal labs, and companies at IRIS Business Park. The CO 119 corridor provides direct access to the four highest density employment and housing locations in Boulder County: downtown Boulder, downtown Longmont, Boulder Junction, and the University of Colorado- Boulder, the latter of which is also the largest university in the state. The corridor also provides access to the Longmont campus of Front Range Community College, which is half a mile from the northern terminus of the planned CO 119 Commuter Bikeway. CO 119 transit service also provides connections to Boulder High School, Longmont High School, and Silver Creek High School (Longmont), and will help transport high school students to and from class.

Boulder is a major hub for medical services in Boulder County and the Northwest Metro Area. Boulder County's largest shopping districts- 29th Street Mall in Boulder and Village at the Peaks in Longmont, will benefit from this project.

By improving access to downtown Boulder, CU-Boulder, and downtown Longmont, the project will improve access to the three largest cultural hubs in Boulder County, anchored by the Boulder Theater, Macky Auditorium, and the Longmont Theater Company respectively.

#### **B. MVRTP Priorities**

WEIGHT

60%

- **Qualitative and quantitative** responses are REQUIRED for the following items on how the proposed project contributes to the project and program investment priorities in the adopted 2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan. To be considered for full points, you must fully answer all parts of the question, including incorporating quantitative data into your answer. (see scoring section for details). Quantitative data from DRCOG is available here.
- Checkboxes and data tables help to provide context and guide responses, but do not account for the full range of potential improvements and are not directly scored, but are required to be completed.
- Not all proposed projects will necessarily be able to answer all questions, however it is in the applicant's interest to address as many priority areas as possible.

Multimoda
Mobility

#### Provide improved travel options for all modes.

	Multimodal Mobility	(drawn from 2050 MVRTP priorities; federal travel time reliability, infrastructure condition, & transit asset management performance measures; & Metro Vision objective 4)  Examples of Project Elements: combinations of improvements that support options for a broad range of users, such as complete streets improvements, or an interchange project that incorporates transit and freight improvements, etc.		
•		ill project improvements directly address? Bicycling $oxtimes$ Transit $oxtimes$ SOV $oxtimes$ Freight $oxtimes$ Other:		
•		its of this project which will address the above modes (i.e., sidewalk, shared use path, bus stop new general purpose or managed lanes, etc.): Multiuse path with connections to transit stoops		
•	Will the completed project be a complete street as described in the <u>Regional Complete Streets Toolkit</u> ? <u>This data is available in the TIP Data Tool</u> .  ☑ Yes ☐ No If yes, describe how it implements the Toolkit's strategies in your response.			
•	Does this projed  ⊠ Yes □ No	ct improve travel time reliability?		
•		ct improve asset management of roadway infrastructure, active transportation facilities, and/or or vehicle fleets?		
•	Does this project made hazards?	ct implement resilient infrastructure that helps the subregion mitigate natural and/or human-		

Question: Describe how this project will help increase mobility choices for people, goods, and/or services. Please include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response. *Note that a majority of the proposed roadway operational improvements must be on the DRCOG <u>Regional Roadway System</u> and/or <u>Regional Managed Lanes System</u>.* 

The fully completed CO 119 Commuter Bikeway will provide a safe and accessible bike and pedestrian facility between Boulder and Longmont and the communities in between. The CO 119 Commuter Bikeway will also provide access to the three transit platforms and two Park-n-Ride facilities along the corridor.

DRCOG identifies this section of CO 119 as a Regional Connector Street, which primarily functions to "facilitate long distance trips for transit and driving." For this street typology, the Complete Streets Toolkit places high emphasis on sidewalks and pedestrian lighting, travel lanes and transit lanes and stops, with a medium emphasis on bicycle facilities. This project will provide the "bicycle facility" element outlined in the toolkit for Regional Connector roads. Other elements of the complete street will be completed as part of the CDOT and RTD Safety and Mobility project (i.e. transit signal priority, transit lanes, transit stops, medians, etc.)

Users of the bikeway will have consistent travel times throughout the day and the year. The bikeway will not be impacted by traffic congestion and will be plowed in the winter for year-round use. As e-bikes become more popular, commuters will be able to easily travel the distance between Longmont and Boulder along the bikeway.

In addition, the bikeway will provide connections to the Bus Rapid Transit system along the corridor, which will include improvements for transit travel time reliability such as Transit Signal Priority and Queue Bypass Lanes for buses.

This project will also improve asset management of active transportation facilities by replacing the existing high-stress, high-crash bicycle route (the shoulders of CO 119) with a low-stress, separated facility that meets current AASHTO and NACTO guidelines given the characteristics of CO 119. The existing shoulders provide no separation from high-speed vehicle traffic, and at intersections requires bicyclists and right turning vehicles to merge across each others' paths. Once complete, the Commuter Bikeway will address these issues.

The CO 119 Commuter Bikeway is being designed to be resilient from flooding, including designing creek crossings to pass the 100-year flood as well as installing pump systems at underpasses to ensure adequate drainage allowing for year-round use. Providing multiple options for travel is a key component to Boulder County's transportation resilience. The CO 119 Bikeway will provide an alternative transportation option during a natural or human-made disaster. In addition, the bikeway will provide access to the transit system. As in the case of many recent Boulder County disasters (2013 floods, 2016 Cold Springs Fire, and 2021 Marshall Fire), people often either lose their vehicle in a disaster, or have significant rebuilding costs that diminish their ability to afford operating and maintaining their vehicle. By providing viable alternatives to driving, this project addresses both cases. The 2019 Floodplain and Transportation Resilience Study and Action Plan identified increasing "transit service in response to economic or natural disasters" as a top recommendation to improving resilience in Boulder County. The bikeway will provide access to the transit system, which is critical during disasters.

# Air Quality Air Quality | Company | Company

Emissions Reduced	СО	NOx	VOCs	PM 10	CO₂e
(kg/day)	0.362	0.022	0.017	0.004	33.578

Use the <u>FHWA CMAQ Calculators</u> or a similar reasonable methodology to determine emissions reduced. Base your calculations on the year of opening. Please attach a screenshot of your work (such as the FHWA calculator showing the inputs and outputs) as part of your submittal packet.

Note: if not using the FHWA Calculators, please note your methodology in your narrative below.

Question: Describe how this project helps reduce congestion and air pollutants, including but not limited to carbon monoxide, ground-level ozone precursors, particulate matter, and greenhouse gas emissions. Please include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response.

Providing a safe, year-round bike facility will increase the number of bicycle and pedestrian trips along the CO 119 corridor. The methodology for determining the number of new bicycle trips, and the automobile trips they would be replacing (100 trips per day), is described within the Active Transportation Section of this application. By shifting SOV trips to bicycling and walking, the proposed project will reduce VMT and SOV travel and will therefore reduce the emissions association with transportation on this corridor.

See Attachment D for the backup calculations for the above data.

## Regional Transit

Expand and improve the subregion's transit network.

(drawn from 2050 MVRTP priorities, Coordinated Transit Plan, RTD's Regional Bus Rapid Transit Feasibility Study)

Examples of Project Elements: transit lanes, station improvements, etc.

Note: For any project with transit elements, the sponsor must coordinate with RTD to ensure RTD agrees to the scope and cost. Be sure to

include RTD's concurrence in your application submittal.
Items marked with an asterisk (*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool.
<ul> <li>Does this project implement a portion of the regional bus rapid transit (BRT) network (as defined in the <u>2050</u> MVRTP)?*</li> </ul>
$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No If yes, which specific corridor will this project focus on:
<ul> <li>Does this project involve a regional transit planning corridor (as defined in the <u>2050 MVRTP</u>)?*</li> </ul>
$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No If yes, which specific corridor will this project focus on:
<ul> <li>Does this project implement a mobility hub (as defined in the <u>2050 MVRTP</u>)?</li> <li>☐ Yes ☒ No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Does this project improve connections between transit and other modes?</li> <li>         ⊠ Yes □ No If yes, please describe in your response.     </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Does this project add and/or improve transit access to or within a DRCOG-defined urban center?*</li> <li>☐ Yes ☒ No</li> </ul>
Question: Describe how this project improves connections to or expands the subregion's transit system, as outlined in the 2050 MVRTP. Please include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response. Note that rapid transit improvements must be on the Regional Rapid Transit System.
The CO 119 Commuter Bikeway will provide a connection to the planned Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) stations at N. 63rd St., CO 52, and Niwot Road as well as Boulder-specific stops including Iris Ave and 28 <sup>th</sup> Street, Valmont and 28 <sup>th</sup> Street, Pearl and 28 <sup>th</sup> Street, and Canyon Blvd and 28 <sup>th</sup> Street. Similar to the US 36 bikeway, some users may ride the entire corridor, others may use the bikeway as a way to connect to the closest transit stop. The proposed segment for this project will connect to bike and pedestrian infrastructure at the south end of the corridor and through the connection to the transit system, will also provide access to the following DRCOG-defined urban centers: Twin Peaks Activity Center, Ken Pratt Extension, CBD of Longmont, North Main Street AC, SH66 Mixed Use Corridor, 28th/30th Streets Boulder Valley Regional Center, Downtown Boulder, and University Hill, and improves transit service within the Gunbarrel Activity Center Urban Center.

#### Safety

#### Increase the safety for all users of the transportation system.

(drawn from 2050 MVRTP priorities, Taking Action on Regional Vision Zero, CDOT Strategic Transportation Safety Plan, & federal safety performance measures)

Examples of Project Elements: bike/pedestrian crossing improvements, vehicle crash countermeasures, traffic calming, etc.

#### <u>Items marked with an asterisk (\*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool.</u>

 Does this project address a location on the <u>DRCOG High-Injury Network or Critical Corridors</u> or corridors defined in a local Vision Zero or equivalent safety plan?\*

 $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

Does this project implement a safety countermeasure listed in the <u>countermeasure glossary</u>?

Provide the current number of crashes involving motor vehicles, bicyclist: (using the 2015-2019 period – in the TIP Data Tool, use a 0.02 mile buffer of your NOTE: if constructing a new facility, report crashes along closest existing alternative	Sponsor must use industry accepted crash modification factors (CMF) or crash	
Fatal crashes	0	reduction factor (CRF) practices (e.g., CMF
Serious Injury crashes	5	Clearinghouse, NCHRP Report 617, or
Other Injury crashes	59	<u>DiExSys</u> methodology).
Property Damage Only crashes	111	
Estimated reduction in crashes <u>applicable to the project scope</u> (per the five-year period used above)		Provide the methodology below:
Fatal crashes reduced	0	Cookalaw for mathedalagy
Serious Injury crashes reduced	0	See below for methodology
Other Injury crashes reduced	2.25	description.
Property Damage Only crashes reduced	0	

Question: Describe how this project will implement safety improvements (roadway, active transportation facility, etc.), particularly improvements in line with the recommendations in <u>Taking Action on Regional Vision Zero</u>. Please include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response. *Note that any improvements on roadways must be on the DRCOG <u>Regional Roadway System</u>.* 

Improving safety on the CO 119 corridor is critical to achieving Boulder County's, DRCOG's and CDOT's safety and vision zero goals. Boulder County's Traffic Crash Analysis identified the CO 119 corridor as a high crash corridor through multiple analyses: the highest number of serious injury and fatal crashes in unincorporated Boulder County, and the second highest number of bicycle and pedestrian injury and fatal crashes in unincorporated Boulder County (see Supplemental Materials Exhibit E). CO 119 represents 12% of all Serious Injury bicycle crashes (5 of 42 total) in unincorporated Boulder County from 2009 to 2018, as well as 15% of all the Other Injury bicycle crashes (16 of 108 total).

Improving perceived safety and comfort on the CO 119 corridor is also critical to inducing more people to walk and bike to transit stations on the corridor, and to the travel by bicycle between Boulder, Niwot, and Longmont. When people decide whether or not to travel by foot or bike, most people do not consult a crash history, but rather decide based on a how a route feels. Indeed, a recent DRCOG Active Transportation Plan survey found that 70% of respondents said they would ride more if they felt safer from traffic while bicycling *Source, DRCOG, https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/DRCOG\_ATP.pdf* 

Perceived safety has been quantified as a Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) rating system to describe which types of bicycle facilities will appeal or be comfortable to which types of users:

- LTS 1- Suitable for children
- LTS 2- A level of traffic stress that most adults can tolerate, suitable for the "interested but concerned."
- LTS 3- A level of traffic stress acceptable to those classified as "enthused and confident."
- LTS 4- A level of stress acceptable only to those classified as "strong and fearless."

Source: Northeastern University, <a href="https://peterfurth.sites.northeastern.edu/2014/05/21/criteria-for-level-of-traffic-stress/">https://peterfurth.sites.northeastern.edu/2014/05/21/criteria-for-level-of-traffic-stress/</a>

With traffic volumes ranging from 30,000 to 60,000, the shoulders on SH 119 fall clearly in the LTS 4 category, meaning that only about 1% of the population is willing to ride on them.

Addressing the perception of safety, a separated bikeway would achieve an LTS 1 rating, and would appeal to approximately 60% of the population, a substantial increase in potential riders over the existing shoulders. Additionally, hard-surface bicycle and pedestrian facilities can be plowed and would provide users assurances that their commute will remain unimpacted by winter weather. This is particularly important to providing a year-round option as Boulder County's snowiest months (Feb-April) coincide with the second-highest season for cycling (as measured on the comparable US 36 Bikeway).

The proposed project is a critical component of the CO 119 Bikeway that will provide a safe alternative to riding along the shoulders, drawing existing cyclists from parallel routes and improve the perception of safety for future users. The safety countermeasures that are specifically included as part of this project include the protected/ separated bikeway, sidewalk connections to crossing corridors, and the pedestrian underpass to access the median of CO 119. These safety countermeasures are designed to mitigate crashes involving bicyclists or pedestrians.

Boulder County's Traffic Crash analysis identified the most common types of bicycle crashes in unincorporated Boulder County as: "Hit From Behind" (rear end), "Passing Bike," and "Right Turn Into Bike"- all crash types that can occur with bicyclists using the shoulders of CO 119 or while riding along adjacent routes. A separated bikeway virtually eliminates the possibility of "Hit From Behind" and "Passing Bike" crashes. While crashes involving turning vehicles can still occur with a separated bikeway, they can be greatly reduced through the use of underpasses and enhanced crossings along the bikeway corridor. Although not within the time-frame of the official crash data, it is important to note that a bicycle fatality occurred along the corridor in October 2021.

There were 175 crashes along CO 119 within the project area according to the DRCOG TIP Data Tool. A review of the 2015 – 2019 crash data from the DRCOG Regional Data Catalog indicated that 3 of these were "Other Injury" bicycle crashes and none involved pedestrians. FHWA estimates that bicycle lanes reduce crashes between 30% and 49% and that separate facilities can reduce crashes even further (<a href="https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/provencountermeasures/bike-lanes.cfm">https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/provencountermeasures/bike-lanes.cfm</a>). We estimated that the project will result in a 75% reduction in bicycle crashes in the project area.

However, crashes involving pedestrians or bicycles represent less than 1% of crashes within DRCOG in areas outside of any city limits, and even when overrepresented along a corridor are statistically unlikely events. While the proposed project extents represent only a portion of the overall CO 119 Bikeway project, a safe-systems approach dictates proactive mitigation given the high risk of vulnerable users rather than waiting for crashes to occur along specific sections of the CO 119 Bikeway. Under the premise that the completed bikeway will have a greater systemic benefit than the sum of its component project segments, we also evaluated this project in terms of its proportional contribution to the completed bikeway project. According to the DRCOG crash data (2015 – 2019) there were 22 bicycle crashes along the CO 119 corridor or along adjacent routes within 1-mile of CO 119 that would be impacted or mitigated by the CO 119 Bikeway project. Of the 22 bicycle crashes 1 was a fatal crash, 6 resulted in serious injuries, 14 in minor injuries, and 1 was reported as property damage only.

- There were 7 crashes along CO 119 that would be directly addressed by the bikeway project: the bikeway is expected to reduce these crashes by as much as 95%.
- There were 4 crashes involving cyclists crossing CO 119 towards the median: the intersection improvements associated with these projects are expected to reduce these crashes by 15%.
- There were 11 bicycle crashes along parallel or adjacent routes within 1-mile of CO 119: utilizing the bikeway in lieu of surface street routes is expected to reduce exposure to conflicting traffic by as much as 50% with a commensurate reduction in crashes.

The bikeway could reduce bicycle crashes along CO 119 and parallel routes by as much as 58%. As shown in the table below, the proposed project represents approximately 12% of the completed bikeway project by length, and therefore contribute to the reduction in serious injury and fatal collisions as part of the systemic improvement.

	Bicycle Crashes	Bicycle Crash Reduction	Foothills Pkwy to Jay Road
	(Full CO 119 Bikeway)	(Full CO 119 Bikeway)	(12% Proportion)
Fatal crashes	1	0.50	0.06
Serious Injury crashes	6	4.80	0.58
Other Injury crashes	14	6.95	0.83
Property Damage Only crashes	1	0.50	0.06

#### Freight

#### Maintain efficient movement of goods within and beyond the subregion.

(drawn from 2050 MVRTP priorities; Regional Multimodal Freight Plan; Colorado Freight Plan, federal freight reliability performance measure; Metro Vision objective 14)

Examples of Project Elements: bridge improvements, improved turning radii, increased roadway capacity, etc.

Items marked with an asterisk (\*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool.

	the marked with an asterior / / select are available in the fire some
•	Is this project located in or impact access to a <a href="Freight Focus Area">Freight Focus Area</a> ?* <a href="Mailto:Writer Indiana">Writer Indiana</a> No If yes, please provide the name: Northwest Metro
	If this project is located in a Freight Focus Area does it address the relevant Needs and Issues identified in the Plan
	(see text located within each Focus Area)?
	$oxtimes$ Yes $\oxtimes$ No If yes, please describe in your response.
•	Is the project located on the <u>Tier 1 or Tier 2 Regional Highway Freight Vision Network</u> ?*
	⊠ Yes □ No
•	Check any items from the <u>Inventory of Current Needs</u> which this project will address:
	☐ Truck Crash Location ☐ Rail Crossing Safety (eligible locations)
	$\square$ Truck Delay $\square$ Truck Reliability $\square$ Highway Bottleneck
	☐ Low-Clearance or Weight-Restricted Bridge
	Please provide the location(s) being addressed:
•	Does this project include any innovative or non-traditional freight supportive elements (i.e., curb management
	strategies, cargo bike supportive infrastructure, etc.)?
	$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No If yes, please describe in your response.

Question: Describe how this project will improve the efficient movement of goods. In your response, identify those improvements identified in the <u>Regional Multimodal Freight Plan</u>, include quantitative information, and include any items referenced above. *Note that any improvements on roadways must be on the DRCOG <u>Regional Roadway System</u>.* 

The proposed project is located on the Tier 2 Regional Highway Freight Vision Network within the Northwest Metro Freight Focus Area. The Regional Multimodal Freight Plan identified several "Needs and Issues" for the Northwest Metro Freight Focus Area, including the safety of local truck movements and residential delivery demand and multimodal and nonmotorized traveler safety. The CO 119 Commuter Bikeway will address non-motorized traveler safety by relocating bicyclists from the existing shoulder of CO 119 to a separated and protected facility.

Currently, trucks turning right off of or onto CO 119 must merge across and weave with bicyclists on the shoulder to access the right turn lanes or merge from the acceleration lanes into the general purpose lanes. This existing configuration is highly stressful for truck drivers, who have limited visibility of smaller and vulnerable roadway users and is dangerous for bicyclists. The provision of a separated facility will reduce stress and improve safety by providing these disparate modes with separate operating space within the right-of-way.

# Active Transportation

#### Expand and enhance active transportation travel options.

(drawn from 2050 MVRTP priorities; Denver Regional Active Transportation Plan; & Metro Vision objectives 10 & 13) Examples of Project Elements: shared use paths, sidewalks, regional trails, grade separations, etc.

Iter	ns marked with an asterisk (*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool	•			
ı	Does this project close a gap or extend a facility on a <u>Regional Active Transportation Corridor</u> or locally-defined priority corridor?*  ☑ Yes □ No				
	Does this project improve active transportation choices in a short trip $\square$ Yes $\square$ No	opportunity zone?*			
	Does this project include a high-comfort bikeway (like a sidepath, shar boulevard)?	ed-use path, separate	ed bike lane, bicycle		
Bic					
NOT	TE: if constructing a new facility, report bike usage along closest existing alternative route  o update the formulas below, enter your information, highlight the formulas (or Ctrl	-A), and press F9. OR clos	e and reopen the file.		
1.	Current Average Single Weekday Bicyclists:		201		
	Bicycle Use Calculations	Year	2050		
	·	of Opening	Weekday Estimate		
2.	Enter estimated additional average weekday one-way bicycle trips on the facility after project is completed.	250	400		
3.	Enter number of the bicycle trips (in #2 above) that will be diverting from a different bicycling route.  (Example: {#2 X 50%} or other percent, if justified on line 10 below)	125	200		
4.	= Initial number of new bicycle trips from project (#2 – #3)	125	200		
5.	Enter number of the new trips produced (from #4 above) that are replacing a trip made by another non-SOV mode (bus, carpool, vanpool, walking, etc.).	25	40		
6	(Example: {#4 X 30%} (or other percent, if justified on line 10 below)  = Number of SOV trips reduced per day (#4 - #5)	100.00	160.00		
6. 7.	Enter the value of <b>{#6 x 2 miles}</b> . (= the VMT reduced per day)				
	(Values other than 2 miles must be justified by sponsor on line 10 below)	200	320		
8.	= Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#7 x 0.95 lbs.)	190.00	304.00		
9.	If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of difference N/A	ence:			
10.	10. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here: For item #5 we are estimating to only pull 20% of these trips from other non-SOV modes due to the regional nature of this facility. Since SOVs are the dominant mode on CO 119, we expect that the vast majority of new bicycle trips would be pulled from SOV travel, instead of other non-SOV modes. All of the other values were suggested values.				
NOT	destrian Use FE: if constructing a new facility, report pedestrian usage along closest existing alternative route To update the formulas below, enter your information, highlight the formulas (or Ctrl	-A), and press F9. OR clos	e and reopen the file.		
1.	Current Average Single Weekday Pedestrians (including users of non-pedaled		1		
	devices such as scooters and wheelchairs):	Vacu	_		
2	Pedestrian Use Calculations  Enter estimated additional everage weekday pedestrian and way tring on the	Year of Opening	2050 Weekday Estimate		
2.	Enter estimated additional average weekday pedestrian one-way trips on the facility after project is completed	20	50		
3.	Enter number of the new pedestrian trips (in #2 above) that will be diverting from a different walking route (Example: <b>{#2 X 50%}</b> or other percent, if justified on line 10 below)	10	25		
4.	= Number of new trips from project (#2 – #3)	10	25		
5.	Enter number of the new trips produced (from #4 above) that are replacing a trip made by another non-SOV mode (bus, carpool, vanpool, bike, etc.). (Example: {#4 X 30%} or other percent, if justified on line 10 below)	3	7.5		

6.	= Number of SOV trips reduced per day (#4 - #5)	7.00	17.50
7.	Enter the value of <b>{#6 x .4 miles}</b> . (= the VMT reduced per day) (Values other than .4 miles must be justified by sponsor on line 10 below)	2.8	7
8.	= Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#7 x 0.95 lbs.)	2.66	6.65
9.	If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of differe	ence:	
	N/A		

**10.** If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here: All suggested values were used.

Question: Describe how this project helps expand the active transportation network, closes gaps, improves comfort, and/or improves connections to key destinations, particularly improvements in line with the recommendations in the <u>Denver Regional Active Transportation Plan</u>. Please include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response.

The Denver Regional Active Transportation Plan identifies the CO 119 corridor between Boulder and Longmont as a "Future Regional Active Transportation Corridor." In addition, CDOT has identified the CO 119 corridor as a Tier 1 "High Demand Bicycle Corridor," which was "selected based on bicycle levels of use, connectivity to the transportation network, crash rates, and bicycle level of stress."

Currently CO 119 is a gap in the regional active transportation network. Boulder and Longmont are only 9 miles apart, but for those desiring to travel by bike (or foot), it is isolated from each due to the lack of a direct, safe, year-round bicycle and pedestrian facility. Currently, users can choose between the shoulders of CO 119 (the second-highest bicycle/pedestrian crash corridor in unincorporated Boulder County) and a longer, non-contiguous soft-surface route, which cannot be maintained in winter and is usually covered in ice for several months.

The proposed project would create and extend an active transportation facility on the CO 119 corridor and begin to close this regional gap. This project will provide a key segment of a high-comfort, low-stress, fully separated facility for bicyclists and pedestrians, and will provide a direct, safe, high comfort, year-round active transportation connection between Boulder and Longmont, the two largest economic, cultural, and essential services hubs in Boulder County.

Currently, there are an average of 201 daily bicyclists using CO 119. We are estimating that the construction of this project will initially more than double bicycle use on the CO 119 corridor due to a protected facility offering a much safer and attractive route as compared to the existing shoulders.

c.	Project Leveraging			WEIGHT	5%
	What percent of outside funding sources (non- Subregional Share funding) does this project		60%+ outside fund 50-59.9%	_	
	have?  (number will automatically calculate based on values entered	20.1%	40-49.9% 20-39.9%		3 pts
	in the Funding Request table. If this has not updated, select the box to the right and click F9)		10.1-19.9% 10%		1 pt
D.	Project Readiness			WEIGHT	10%
	Provide responses to the following items to demonst projects that have a higher likelihood to move forwa delay.				_
Sec	ction 1. Avoiding Pitfalls and Roadblocks				
a.	Has a licensed engineer (CDOT, consultant, local ag have on utilities, railroads, ROW, historic and environment been mitigated as much as possible to date before	onmental resour			
	$\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A (for projects which do If yes, please type in the engineer's name below whe evaluated and mitigated as much as possible before	ich certifies thei	r review and that impac	ts have be	en
b.	Please describe the status to date on each, including activities taken to date:  • Utilities: The project design team has completed the entire CO 119 corridor. This information is material relocations that will be required for the propose appropriate utility companies and ensure that the Railroad: No impacts to railroads are anticipated.  • Right-of-Way: The proposed project would occut two portions extending to Boulder County Open Society to be a suited by RTD during their PEL and we are in project design team has completed the data col and is in the process of consultation with the US the project team will attempt to avoid them when work would be done to mitigate. We have that has been collected. There are prairie dog comperforming floodplain modeling and is anticipated is this application for a single project phase only (i.e. study, equipment purchase, etc.)?  □ Yes □ No  If yes, are the other prerequisite phases complete?  If this project is for construction, please note the North the North teach is the project of the North teach the North teach teach the North teach tea	d a Quality Level ow allowing us to ed project and we he utilities will be d. r mostly within to pace and City of in is going to buil the process of lection for wetlate anyon Corps of erever possible. an online GIS da blonies that have anyon Creek Floor ing that a CLOM e., design, environ	C and D review of the sto identify any potential ill give us ample time to e cleared for construction the existing CDOT ROW, Boulder ROW.  d off the historic review reviewing and updating and updating and sand other resource Engineers. Wetlands hat If for some reason they atabase of all environments been identified and with odplain. The project team R will NOT be needed. Sommental, ROW acquisit	ubsurface I conflicts of coordination.  with the extended previously that informs within the verbeen idea cannot be ental resourt ill require of more current in the current is current.	utilities for or te with the exception of exception. The ne corridor lentified and exoided, arce info mitigation.
c.	Has all required ROW been identified? ⊠ Yes □	No □ N/A			

	Has all required ROW already been acquired and cleared by CDOT? $$
d.	Based on the current status provided in Project Information, question 11, do you foresee being able to execute your IGA by October 1 of your first year of funding (or if requesting first year funding, beginning discussions on your IGA as soon as possible), so you can begin your project on time? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
	Does your agency have the appropriate staff available to work on this project? $\ oxin S$ Yes $\ oxin S$ No
	If yes, are they knowledgeable with the federal-aid process? $\ oxtimes$ Yes $\ oxtimes$ No $\ oxtimes$ N/A
e.	Have other stakeholders in your project been identified and involved in project development?  ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A  If yes, who are the stakeholders?  The proposed project is being designed as part of a larger project for the entire CO 119 corridor connecting Boulder and Longmont, with CDOT leading the design of the roadway improvements, and Boulder County leading the design for the bikeway improvements.  Stakeholders for this project include CDOT, RTD, Boulder County, City of Boulder, City of Longmont, FHWA, HPTE, and Commuting Solutions. For this project, a leadership structure has been developed with an Executive Committee of elected officials and director level staff providing executive oversight, a Project Leadership Team of policy-level staff providing policy oversight, and a Project Management Team comprised of technical staff working through the design and technical decisions (see Supplemental Materials Exhibit H).  Please provide any additional details on any of the items in Section 1, if applicable.
	While Boulder County is the Project Sponsor for the grant application, if awarded funds, we will partner with
	CDOT to manage and construct the project improvements. CDOT has provided a letter of support/concurrence for the project along with local community partners (see Attachment E).
Sec	ction 2. Local Match Availability
a.	Is all the local match identified in your application currently available and not contingent on any additional decisions, and if a partnering agency is also committing match, do you have a commitment letter?
b.	
	⊠ Yes □ No
	Please describe: CDOT's local match of \$1,050,000 will come from CO 119's identified funds in their current 10 Year Plan. Boulder County is committing \$458,000 in local match for this project and has identified these funds in the Transportation Sales Tax Budget.
Sec	tion 3. Public Support
a.	Has the proposed project previously been through a public review process (public comment period, public hearing, etc.)?
	⊠ Yes □ No
b.	Has the public had access to translated project materials in relevant languages for the local community? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No

#### Please describe:

The public has provided input on the CO 119 Commuter Bikeway during all of the planning phases, including as part of the Northwest Area Mobility Study, the CO 119 Planning and Environmental Linkages Study (PEL), and the development of the Boulder County Transportation Master Plan. During the planning phase for this project, RTD was the lead agency for the roadway improvements, including the transit queue bypass lanes, and CDOT was the lead agency for the commuter bikeway elements. During this phase, three rounds of inperson meetings were held in Boulder, Longmont, and Niwot between 2017 and 2019. These meetings were a combination of both "open house" and "public hearing" formats. Also, during this time, RTD conducted an onboard (the BOLT and J) survey of existing transit riders, an online survey for the general public, community events, and several rider "pop up" events, with materials available in English and Spanish. Together, over 1,000 people were reached.

During the PEL study for the CO 119 corridor, 18% of the 475 comments from the public on the proposed vision for the corridor mentioned the need for a separated bikeway on the corridor.

Additional public engagement has begun as part of the preliminary and final design process. In 2021, the project team:

- Conducted a virtual public meeting with over 130 attendees with simultaneous Spanish interpretation
- Issued a survey with over 1,100 respondents
- Presented to 11 advocacy organizations, special events, and businesses
- Developed a listserv for the project with over 1,100 subscribers
- Created a project website as well as a project video highlighting the multimodal corridor vision for CO 119
- Created a Community Advisory Committee and a Latinx Equity Advisory Committee to provide ongoing input on the project
- Presented at the Commuting Solutions Membership Meetings

We heard that safety, comfort, accessibility, and directness of the bikeway are priorities for the community (see <u>Summary of Public Input</u>) and we are working to incorporate this feedback into the design of the project. Additional public outreach occurred in summer 2022, when FIR level designs for the project became available, including a virtual public meeting, online comment period, and presentations to local governments and community organizations. Throughout the project's development, the project partners have maintained a continued social media and online presence, including a solicitation for input at any time.

Key project materials have been translated into Spanish, including public meeting presentation materials and press releases/emails about the project. In addition, the public meetings in 2021 and 2022 included Spanish interpretation. Moving forward, key materials will continue to be translated into Spanish and Spanish interpretation will be provided at public meetings.

	interpretation will be provided at public meetings.
c.	Have any adjacent property owners to the proposed project been contacted and provided with the initial project concept?
	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A

Please provide any additional details on the items in Section 3, if applicable.

At CO 119 and Jay Rd, the largest adjacent land owner is the City of Boulder Open Space & Mountain Parks (OSMP) Department. The project team has been working with OSMP staff to address their concerns, which will be primarily satisfied by keeping most of the project scope within the CDOT right-of-way. The areas of this segment outside of CDOT ROW are on City of Boulder and Boulder County Open Space land.

Residents who live along the corridor, most of whom live in Longmont and Niwot, have been provided project concepts and opportunities for feedback at the multiple rounds of public meetings described above. We have also reached out to businesses along the corridor to talk about the project concept and get their input. In addition, the project is currently going through Boulder County's 1041 review process. As part of this process, postcards with details about the project and opportunities to provide input have been sent to approximately 2,000 addresses within 1,500 feet of the corridor.

Submit completed applications through the TIP Data Hub no later than 3pm on January 27, 2023.

Prior to submitting, press Ctrl+A to select all, then press F9 to update all formulas. You can then print to PDF.

# **Required Attachments:**

Attachment A- Project Location Map

Attachment B- Cost Estimate

Attachment C- Concurrence Response

Attachment D- Air Quality CMAQ Calculation

Attachment E- Letters of Support



# **Community Planning & Permitting**

CO-119 Segment 1


2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302 303-441-3930 www.bouldercounty.org

Segment 1

BRT Station

BRT Park-N-Ride

CO 119 Bikeway Project



The user agrees to all Terms of Use set forth by Boulder County. For Terms of Use, please visit: www.bouldercounty.org/mapdisclaimer

1.5

Miles Area of Detail

#### BOULDER COUNTY PROJECT NO. 102541 BOULDER COUNTY

## CO 119 BIKEWAY - SEGMENT 1 (FOOTHILLS PARKWAY TO JAY ROAD)

#### FIR-LEVEL ENGINEERS OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

PREPARED BY
MULLER ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.
January 25, 2023

		PROJECT TOTALS			
ITEM			TOTAL		
NUMBER	ITEM	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	COST
201-00000	CLEARING AND GRUBBING	LS	1	\$17,000.00	\$17,000.00
	REMOVAL OF TREE	EACH	3	\$600.00	\$1,800.00
	REMOVAL OF RIDE	EACH	1	\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00
	REMOVAL OF PIPE	EACH	1	\$1,750.00	\$1,750.00
	REMOVAL OF END SECTION	EACH	1	\$450.00	\$450.00
	REMOVAL OF SIDEWALK	SY	426	\$25.00	\$10,651.36
	REMOVAL OF CONCRETE PAVEMENT	SY	332	\$30.00	\$9,960.00
	REMOVAL OF GROUND SIGN	EACH	1	\$450.00	\$450.00
	REMOVAL OF SIGN PANEL	EACH	1	\$300.00	\$300.00
202-01000	REMOVAL OF FENCE	LF	371	\$5.00	\$1,856.70
203-00000	UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION	CY	3,727	\$27.74	\$103,404.98
206-00000	STRUCTURE EXCAVATION	CY	2,226	\$35.00	\$77,910.00
206-00100	STRUCTURE BACKFILL (CLASS 1)	CY	1,280	\$36.00	\$46,080.00
	FILTER MATERIAL (CLASS A)	CY	3	\$100.00	\$300.00
	FILTER MATERIAL (CLASS B)	CY	155	\$38.00	\$5,890.00
206-01750	SHORING	LS	1	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00
207-00205	TOPSOIL	CY	1,399	\$40.00	\$55,957.39
	EROSION CONTROL	LS	1	\$102,000.00	\$102,000.00
211-03005	DEWATERING	LS	1	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
240-00000	WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST	HOUR	32	\$11.90	\$380.80
240-00010	REMOVAL OF NESTS	HOUR	80	\$10.20	\$816.00
	NETTING	SY	200	\$1.70	\$340.00
304-06000	AGGREGATE BASE COURSE (CLASS 6)	TON	681	\$40.00	\$27,225.95
304-06007	AGGREGATE BASE COURSE (CLASS 6)	CY	1,169	\$40.00	\$46,740.61
304-09000	AGGREGATE BASE COURSE (SPECIAL)	CY	745	\$15.00	\$11,178.98
304-09014	AGGREGATE BASE COURSE (SPECIAL)	TON	322	\$25.00	\$8,040.09
412-01200	CONCRETE PAVEMENT (12 INCH)	SY	332	\$200.00	\$66,400.00
503-00030	DRILLED SHAFT (30 INCH)	LF	120	\$450.00	\$54,000.00
504-06400	SOIL NAIL WALL	SF	1,555	\$200.00	\$311,000.00
504-08050	STONE LANDSCAPE WALL	SF	837	\$175.00	\$146,457.50
506-00209	RIPRAP (9 INCH)	CY	4	\$125.00	\$500.00
514-00042	PEDESTRIAN RAILING (42 INCH)	LF	42	\$250.00	\$10,500.00
515-00120	WATERPROOFING (MEMBRANE)	SY	465	\$25.00	\$11,625.00
601-03030	CONCRETE CLASS D (BOX CULVERT)	CY	193	\$1,000.00	\$193,000.00
601-03040	CONCRETE CLASS D (BRIDGE)	CY	73	\$1,000.00	\$73,000.00
601-03050	CONCRETE CLASS D (WALL)	CY	70	\$1,000.00	\$70,000.00
602-00020	REINFORCING STEEL (EPOXY COATED)	LB	26,572	\$2.25	\$59,787.00
603-01185	18 INCH REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE (COMPLETE IN PLACE)	LF	264	\$100.00	\$26,400.00
603-02365	45X29 INCH REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE ELLIPTICAL (COMPLETE IN PLACE)	LF	192	\$350.00	\$67,200.00
	18 INCH REINFORCED CONCRETE END SECTION	EACH	7	\$2,000.00	\$14,000.00
603-05136	45X29 INCH REINFORCED CONCRETE END SECTION ELLIPTICAL	EACH	1	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00
603-50015	15 INCH PLASTIC PIPE	LF	310	\$100.00	\$31,000.00
604-00305	INLET TYPE C (5 FOOT)	EACH	1	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
604-00505	INLET TYPE D (5 FOOT)	EACH	2	\$6,000.00	\$12,000.00
604-13005	INLET TYPE 13 (5 FOOT)	EACH	4	\$5,000.00	\$20,000.00
604-30005	MANHOLE SLAB BASE (5 FOOT)	EACH	1	\$6,000.00	\$6,000.00
606-00302	GUARDRAIL TYPE 3 (31 INCH MIDWEST GUARDRAIL SYSTEM)	LF	1,400	\$35.00	\$49,000.00
606-02003	END ANCHORAGE (NONFLARED)	EACH	2	\$3,800.00	\$7,600.00
607-11525	FENCE (PLASTIC)	LF	78	\$5.00	\$390.00
608-00026	CONCRETE BIKEWAY (6 INCH)	SY	5,777	\$58.78	\$339,577.66
609-21020	CURB AND GUTTER TYPE 2 (SECTION II-B)	LF	1,167	\$40.00	\$46,689.60
609-24002	GUTTER TYPE 2 (2 FOOT)	LF	291	\$50.00	\$14,556.00
613-00125	1-1/4 INCH ELECTRICAL CONDUIT	LF	274	\$25.00	\$6,850.00
613-01125	1-1/4 INCH ELECTRICAL CONDUIT (PLASTIC)	LF	20	\$25.00	\$500.00

ITEM NUMBER	ITEM	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	COST		
613-01200	2 INCH ELECTRICAL CONDUIT (PLASTIC)	LF	429	\$20.00	\$8,580.0		
613-01300	3 INCH ELECTRICAL CONDUIT (PLASTIC)	LF	93	\$20.00	\$1,860.0		
613-07001	TYPE ONE PULL BOX	EACH	3	\$1,000.00	\$3,000.0		
613-07002	TYPE TWO PULL BOX	EACH	1	\$1,100.00	\$1,100.0		
613-10000	WIRING	LS	1	\$12,500.00	\$12,500.0		
613-13002	LUMINAIRE (LED) (2,000 LUMENS)	EACH	2	\$1,500.00	\$3,000.0		
	LUMINAIRE (LED) (4,000 LUMENS)	EACH	1	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.0		
	LUMINAIRE (LED) (6,000 LUMENS)	EACH	23	\$2,500.00	\$57,500.0		
613-40000	CONCRETE FOUNDATION PAD	EACH	1	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.0		
613-40010	LIGHT STANDARD FOUNDATION	EACH	3	\$1,500.00	\$4,500.0		
613-50100	LIGHTING CONTROL CENTER	EACH	1	\$8,500.00	\$8,500.0		
613-50210	TEMPORARY LIGHTING	LS	1	\$833.33	\$833.3		
620-00002	FIELD OFFICE (CLASS 2)	EACH	2	\$2,975.00	\$5,950.0		
620-00012	FIELD LABORATORY (CLASS 2)	EACH	2	\$2,550.00	\$5,100.0		
620-00020	SANITARY FACILITY	EACH	2	\$297.50	\$595.0		
622-00720	PUMP STATION	LS	1	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.0		
625-00000	CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING	LS	1	\$102,000.00	\$102,000.00		
626-00000	MOBILIZATION	LS	1	\$340,000.00	\$340,000.0		
628-00170	BRIDGE GIRDER AND DECK UNIT (165 FEET TO 170 FEET)	EACH	1	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.0		
700-70010	F/A MINOR CONTRACT REVISIONS	FA	1	\$117,000.00	\$117,000.0		
700-70016	F/A FUEL COST ADJUSTMENT	FA	1	\$8,160.00	\$8,160.0		
700-70019	F/A ASPHALT CEMENT COST ADJUSTMENT	FA	1	\$1,700.00	\$1,700.00		
700-70082	F/A FURNISH & INSTALL ELECTRICAL SERVICE	FA	1	\$8,500.00	\$8,500.0		
700-70100	F/A RELOCATE UTILTIES	FA	1	\$21,250.00	\$21,250.0		
700-70380	F/A EROSION CONTROL	FA	1	\$4,250.00	\$4,250.0		
700-70589	F/A ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH & SAFETY MANAGEMENT	FA	1	\$340.00	\$340.0		
626-0111X	PUBLIC INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (TIER TBD)	DAY	520	\$34.00	\$17,680.0		
630-0000X	CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC CONTROL	LS	1	\$255,000.00	\$255,000.00		
SUBTOTAL	(A)				\$4,071,614		
	Total Construction Items	·			\$4,071,613.9		
Subtotal B:	Utility Relocation Cost <sup>1</sup>				\$481,900.0		
Subtotal C:	Inflation <sup>2</sup>				\$714,901.69		
Subtotal D: Contingency (15% of Subtotal A and Subtotal B)							
Subtotal E: CE/Indirect Costs (26% of Subtotals A-D)							
	ROW Costs				\$1,547,375.1 TBI		
TOTAL CO	DNSTRUCTION COST			Ι	\$7,498,818		
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST (ROUNDED)							

#### Notes

<sup>1.</sup> Utility relocation costs were estimated based on a preliminary estimate of relocation length for known utilities at proposed underpasses and ditches.

<sup>2.</sup> Inflation calculated at 5% per year between July 2022 and June 2025 (approximate midpoint of construction)

Region 4 Regional Director's Office 10601 10<sup>th</sup> Street Greeley, CO 80634-9000

December 20, 2022

Stacey Proctor Project Manager Boulder County PO Box 471 Boulder, CO 80306

RE: CDOT Region 4 Concurrence Request for DRCOG TIP Subregional Call FY24-27

**Dear Stacey Proctor:** 

This letter is to inform you that the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) Region 4 concurs with the Boulder County application for the DRCOG Subregional FY24-27 TIP Call. This concurrence applies only for the projects below in the event these projects are selected by DRCOG as a subregional project in this Call. If these projects are awarded DRCOG funds at a later date, the local agency will need to submit a separate request for CDOT's concurrence and funding contribution at that time.

- CO 119 Commuter Bikeway Segment 9 Project (CDOT Funding Request \$532,000)
- CO 119 Commuter Bikeway Segment 1 Project (CDOT Funding Request \$1,050,000)
- CO 119 Commuter Bikeway Segment 11 Project (CDOT Funding Request \$1,260,000)

Based on CDOT's existing priorities and limited funds, CDOT Region 4 is able to provide \$2,842,000 of the \$2,842,000 requested, in the event this project is selected by DRCOG. This determination applies to the FY24-27 TIP Subregional Call. If DRCOG funds the project at a lower amount than requested, then CDOT's funding contribution will need to be reaffirmed. These CDOT funds are available during State FY23-26, however only a portion of CDOT match funds is available in each fiscal year, to be provided to local agencies on a first come, first serve basis. CDOT staff recommends that local agencies proactively work to budget funds early in the fiscal year in which your project is ready to move forward. If the DRCOG Board rescinds their funding for a project due to project delays, then this CDOT contribution may be rescinded as well.

Projects impacting state highways should assume that CDOT will manage the project and the local agency is responsible for payment of CDOT's work including indirect charges. Please note that per the DRCOG TIP Policy, if project costs increase on DRCOG-selected projects, sponsors must make up any shortfalls.

This concurrence and funding contribution are conditionally granted based on the scope as described and pending CDOT funding availability. CDOT does however retain final decision-making authority for all improvements and changes within CDOT's right-of-way. As the project progresses, the local agency will need to work closely with CDOT Region staff to ensure CDOT's continued concurrence.

This project must comply with all CDOT and/or FHWA requirements including those associated with clearance for right-of-way, utilities, and environmental. All costs associated with clearances including right-of-way acquisition, utilities relocation, and environmental mitigation measures, such as wetland creation, must be included in the project costs. CDOT staff will assist you in determining which clearances are required for your project. The CDOT Local Agency Manual includes project requirements to assist with contracting, design, and construction, which can be accessed at:

http://www.coloradodot.info/business/designsupport/bulletins\_manuals



CDOT Region 4 Concurrence Request for DRCOG TIP Regional Call FY24-27 Stacey Proctor December 20, 2022 Page 2 of 2

Should you have any questions regarding this concurrence, or if your agency would like to schedule time to meet with CDOT specialty units, please contact Josie Thomas at (970) 888-4006.

Sincerely,

Heather Paddock CDOT Region 4 Transportation Director

cc: Dan Marcucci, CDOT Region 4 Professional Engineer
Josie Thomas, CDOT Region 4 Planning & Local Agency Environmental Manager
James Eussen, CDOT Region 4 Planning & Environmental Manager
Deanna McIntosh, CDOT Region 4 Planner
Whitney Holcombe, CDOT Region 4 STIP and Project Creation Technician



# REQUEST FOR CDOT / RTD SUPPORT OF DRCOG PROJECT FY22-25 TIP Regional Call **FY22-25 TIP Subregional Call** Select **one** of the following: Non-MPO MMOF Call FY24-27 TIP Regional Call **FY24-27 TIP Subregional Call** Select the agency from which support is being requested. Complete a separate form for each. Colorado Department of Transportation **Regional Transportation District** APPLICANT INFORMATION 1.SUBREGION / AGENCY REQUESTING SUPPORT: **Boulder County** 2. SPONSOR AGENCY: 3. SUPPORTING AGENCIES: **Boulder County** City of Longmont, City of Boulder 4. CONTACT PERSON TITLE: EMAIL: Project Manager Stacey Proctor sproctor@bouldercounty.org 5. AGENCY MAILING ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: Boulder CO 80306 PO Box 471 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 6. PROJECT NAME: CO 119 Commuter Bikeway Segment 9 7. PROJECT LOCATION/ADDRESS: 8. PROJECT LIMITS: (mileposts, intersecting roads, rivers, etc...) CO 119 North of Niwot Road to Airport Road 9. COUNTY: 10. MUNICIPALITY: 11. PROJECT LENGTH: Boulder County, unincorporated 2 miles Boulder 12. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Segment 9 of the separated hard-surface Commuter Bikeway in the median of CO 119. Segment 9 will go from north of Niwot Road to south of Airport Road. 13. PRIOR WORK / PHASES COMPLETED IN THIS LOCATION: Northwest Area Mobility Study, SH 119 BRT Study & PEL, CO 119 Safety & Mobility Project design in progress, CO 119 Bikeway design in progress 14. PRIORITY RANKING WITHIN SUBREGION: N/A 15. IS THIS PROJECT ON THE STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM? Yes 16. WILL THIS PROJECT IMPACT ADJACENT PROPERTIES, INCLUDING ROW OR EASEMENTS? No 17. WILL THIS PROJECT REQUIRE COORDINATION WITH ONE OR MORE RAILROADS? No

# SUPPORT REQUEST 18. TYPE OF SUPPORT REQUESTED PLEASE NOTE: CDOT AND RTD HAVE VERY LIMITED FUNDS, AND MAY NOT BE ABLE TO PROVIDE MATCHING FUNDS TO A PROJECT. IF CDOT/RTD DETERMINES THAT IT WILL PROVIDE MATCH TO SUPPORT A PROJECT, THIS SUPPORT IS LIMITED TO THE PROJECT SELECTED WITHIN THIS SUBREGIONAL CALL FOR PROJECTS, BASED ON THE FUNDS AVAILABLE WHEN THE CALL IS OPENED. ANY SUBSEQUENT CALL FOR PROJECTS OR ADDITION OF FUNDS WILL REQUIRE A SEPARATE REQUEST FORM AND WILL BE EVALUATED INDEPENDENTLY OF THIS CALL FOR PROJECTS. REQUESTING CDOT / RTD CONCURRENCE:

REQUESTING CDOT FUNDING CONTRIBUTION:

AMOUNT SPONSOR IS REQUESTING FROM CDOT FOR THIS PROJECT: \$532,000

19. PROVIDE FINANCIAL PACKAGE FOR THIS PROJECT BY SOURCE, INCLUDING ANY FUNDS REQUESTED ABOVE:

Total Project Budget- \$3,800,000 Federal Request- \$3,036,000 Local Match CDOT- \$532,000 Local Match Boulder County- \$232,000

20. IF THIS IS A BIKE / PEDISTRIAN CONNECTION OR A TRAIL PROJECT, HOW AND BY WHOM WILL ELEMENTS OF THIS PROJECT BE MAINTAINED?

Boulder County will maintain the CO 119 Commuter Bikeway.

Send completed form to:

CDOT Region 1 - JoAnn Mattson at JoAnn.Mattson@state.co.us CDOT Region 4 - Josie Hadley at Josie.Hadley@state.co.us RTD - Chris Quinn at Chris.Quinn@rtd-denver.com

REQUEST FOR CDOT / RTD SUPPORT OF DRCOG PROJECT				
Select <b>one</b> of the following:  Non-MPO MMOF Call	FY22-25 TIP R FY24-27 TIP R	_	FY22-25 TIP Subregion FY24-27 TIP Subregion	_
Select the agency from which support is being requested. Complete a separate form for each.  Colorado Department of Transportation  Regional Transportation District				
APPLICANT INFORMATION				
1.SUBREGION / AGENCY REQUESTIN Boulder County	G SUPPORT:			
2. SPONSOR AGENCY: Boulder County	3. SUPPORTING AGENCIES: City of Longmont, City of Boulder			
4. CONTACT PERSON	TITLE:		EMAIL:	
Stacey Proctor	Project Man	ager	sproctor@bouldercounty.org	
5. AGENCY MAILING ADDRESS:	CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
PO Box 471	Boulder		CO	80306
PROJECT DESCRIPTION				
<ul><li>6. PROJECT NAME:</li><li>CO 119 Commuter Bikeway Segn</li></ul>	nent 1			
7. PROJECT LOCATION/ADDRESS:		8. PROJECT LIMITS: (mileposts, intersecting roads, rivers,		
CO 119		etc) Foothills Pkwy/Pleasant View Fields to south of Jay Road		
9. COUNTY:	10. MUNICIPA	ALITY:	11. PROJECT LENGTH:	
Boulder County Unincorporated	City of Bould	ler	4,500 feet	
12. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT	T:			
This project is to complete Segment 1 of the separated hard-surface Commuter Bikeway. Segment 1 will begin near the Pleasant View Fields in Boulder, connect to the Fourmile Canyon Creek trail, cross Fourmile Canyon Creek via a bridge, and then cross under the south-bound lanes of CO 119 to enter the median. This segment will end just south of Jay Road. This is the southern terminus of the CO 119 Bikeway that will connect into the existing multiuse path system within the City of Boulder.				
13. PRIOR WORK / PHASES COMPLE	TED IN THIS LO	DCATION:		
Northwest Area Mobility Study, SH 119 BRT Study & PEL, CO 119 Safety & Mobility Project design in progress, CO 119 Bikeway design in progress				
14. PRIORITY RANKING WITHIN SUBI	REGION:			
N/A				
15. IS THIS PROJECT ON THE STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM?				
Yes				
16. WILL THIS PROJECT IMPACT ADJACENT PROPERTIES, INCLUDING ROW OR EASEMENTS?				
There are two small portion of this segment that will go outside of the CDOT ROW. One area where the project connects into the City of Boulder; and one area where grading will be needed outside of the ROW.				
17. WILL THIS PROJECT REQUIRE COORDINATION WITH ONE OR MORE RAILROADS?				
No				

SUPPORT REQUEST
18. TYPE OF SUPPORT REQUESTED
PLEASE NOTE: CDOT AND RTD HAVE VERY LIMITED FUNDS, AND MAY NOT BE ABLE TO PROVIDE MATCHING FUNDS TO
A PROJECT. IF CDOT/RTD DETERMINES THAT IT WILL PROVIDE MATCH TO SUPPORT A PROJECT, THIS SUPPORT IS
LIMITED TO THE PROJECT SELECTED WITHIN THIS SUBREGIONAL CALL FOR PROJECTS, BASED ON THE FUNDS
AVAILABLE WHEN THE CALL IS OPENED. ANY SUBSEQUENT CALL FOR PROJECTS OR ADDITION OF FUNDS WILL
REQUIRE A SEPARATE REQUEST FORM AND WILL BE EVALUATED INDEPENDENTLY OF THIS CALL FOR PROJECTS.
REQUESTING CDOT / RTD CONCURRENCE:
DECLIFOTING ODOT FUNDING CONTRIBUTION

REQUESTING CDOT FUNDING CONTRIBUTION:

AMOUNT SPONSOR IS REQUESTING FROM CDOT FOR THIS PROJECT:

19. PROVIDE FINANCIAL PACKAGE FOR THIS PROJECT BY SOURCE, INCLUDING ANY FUNDS REQUESTED ABOVE:

\$1,050,000

Total Project Budget- \$7,500,000 Federal Request- \$5,992,000 Local Match CDOT- \$1,050,000 Local Match Boulder County- \$458,000

20. IF THIS IS A BIKE / PEDISTRIAN CONNECTION OR A TRAIL PROJECT, HOW AND BY WHOM WILL ELEMENTS OF THIS PROJECT BE MAINTAINED?

Boulder County will maintain the CO 119 Commuter Bikeway.

Send completed form to:

CDOT Region 1 - JoAnn Mattson at JoAnn.Mattson@state.co.us CDOT Region 4 - Josie Hadley at Josie.Hadley@state.co.us RTD - Chris Quinn at Chris.Quinn@rtd-denver.com

REQUEST FOR COOT / RTD SUPPORT OF DRCOG PROJECT					
Select <b>one</b> of the following:  Non-MPO MMOF Call	FY22-25 TIP R FY24-27 TIP R	_	Y22-25 TIP Subregior Y24-27 TIP Subregior	_	
Select the agency from which support is being requested. Complete a separate form for each.  Colorado Department of Transportation  Regional Transportation District					
APPLICANT INFORMATION					
1.SUBREGION / AGENCY REQUESTIN Boulder County	IG SUPPORT:				
2. SPONSOR AGENCY: Boulder County	3. SUPPORTING AGENCIES: City of Longmont, City of Boulder				
4. CONTACT PERSON	TITLE:		EMAIL:		
Stacey Proctor	Project Manager		sproctor@bouldercounty.org		
5. AGENCY MAILING ADDRESS:	CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:	
PO Box 471	Boulder		CO	80306	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION					
<ul><li>6. PROJECT NAME:</li><li>CO 119 Commuter Bikeway Segn</li></ul>	nent 11				
7. PROJECT LOCATION/ADDRESS:			PROJECT LIMITS: (mileposts, intersecting roads, rivers,		
CO 119	1	etc) North of Niwot Road to Airport Road			
9. COUNTY:	10. MUNICIPA	ALITY:	11. PROJECT LENGTH:		
Boulder County, unincorporated	City of Longr	mont	1.4 miles		
12. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJEC	DT:				
Segment 11 of the separated hard-surface Commuter Bikeway in the median of CO 119. Segment 11 will go from north of Airport Road to the bikeway terminus in Longmont, south of Hover St. This segment includes a bridge over Left Hand Creek and an underpass under the south-bound lanes of CO 119 to allow the Commuter Bikeway to connect into the multi-use path system in Longmont.					
13. PRIOR WORK / PHASES COMPLE	TED IN THIS LO	DCATION:			
Northwest Area Mobility Study, SH 119 BRT Study & PEL, CO 119 Safety & Mobility Project design in progress, CO 119 Bikeway design in progress					
14. PRIORITY RANKING WITHIN SUBI	REGION:				
N/A					
15. IS THIS PROJECT ON THE STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM?					
Yes					
16. WILL THIS PROJECT IMPACT ADJACENT PROPERTIES, INCLUDING ROW OR EASEMENTS?					
There is a small portion of the project in this segment that will go outside of the Right-of-Way where the project is outside of the median and connects into the Longmont multiuse path system. We are working through the CDOT process to obtain the appropriate approvals.					
17. WILL THIS PROJECT REQUIRE COORDINATION WITH ONE OR MORE RAILROADS?					
No					

# SUPPORT REQUESTED 18. TYPE OF SUPPORT REQUESTED PLEASE NOTE: CDOT AND RTD HAVE VERY LIMITED FUNDS, AND MAY NOT BE ABLE TO PROVIDE MATCHING FUNDS TO A PROJECT. IF CDOT/RTD DETERMINES THAT IT WILL PROVIDE MATCH TO SUPPORT A PROJECT, THIS SUPPORT IS LIMITED TO THE PROJECT SELECTED WITHIN THIS SUBREGIONAL CALL FOR PROJECTS, BASED ON THE FUNDS AVAILABLE WHEN THE CALL IS OPENED. ANY SUBSEQUENT CALL FOR PROJECTS OR ADDITION OF FUNDS WILL REQUIRE A SEPARATE REQUEST FORM AND WILL BE EVALUATED INDEPENDENTLY OF THIS CALL FOR PROJECTS. REQUESTING CDOT / RTD CONCURRENCE:

**√** 

REQUESTING CDOT FUNDING CONTRIBUTION:

AMOUNT SPONSOR IS REQUESTING FROM CDOT FOR THIS PROJECT: \$1,260,000

19. PROVIDE FINANCIAL PACKAGE FOR THIS PROJECT BY SOURCE, INCLUDING ANY FUNDS REQUESTED ABOVE:

Total Project Budget- \$9,000,000 Federal Request- \$7,191,000 Local Match CDOT- \$1,260,000 Local Match Boulder County- \$549,000


20. IF THIS IS A BIKE / PEDISTRIAN CONNECTION OR A TRAIL PROJECT, HOW AND BY WHOM WILL ELEMENTS OF THIS PROJECT BE MAINTAINED?

Boulder County will maintain the CO 119 Commuter Bikeway.

Send completed form to:

CDOT Region 1 - JoAnn Mattson at JoAnn.Mattson@state.co.us CDOT Region 4 - Josie Hadley at Josie.Hadley@state.co.us RTD - Chris Quinn at Chris.Quinn@rtd-denver.com

### Attachment D- Air Quality CMAQ Calculation



# FY2022-2027 TIP PROCESS: REQUEST FOR PEER AGENCY SUPPORT

Complete the sections with green headers below, then provide this form to the agency you are requesting support from. That agency will complete the blue section and return the form. Providing additional project materials and attending meetings of the agency/forum from whom support is requested is encouraged. 22-25 Regional Call 22-25 Subregional Call 24-27 Regional Call 🔀 24-27 Subregional Call **APPLICANT INFORMATION** Local Agency: Boulder County 1. Who is requesting support? Subregional Forum: 2. Project Sponsor: Boulder 3. Current Supporting Agency(ies): County Title: Project Manager 4. Contact Person: Stacey Proctor Email: sproctor@bouldercounty.org Phone: 303-441-1107 **PROJECT DESCRIPTION** 5. Project Title: CO 119 Bikeway- Foothills Parkway to Jay Total Project Cost: \$7.5 million Project Location: CO 119 Project Limits: (mileposts, intersecting roads, rivers, etc.) Pleasant View Sports Complex/Foothills Parkway to south of Jay Road County: Boulder Municipality(ies): City of Project Length: 4,500 Boulder/Unincorporated Boulder Feet County Brief Description of Project: This project is to complete Segment 1 of the separated hard-surface Commuter Bikeway. Segment 1 will begin near the Pleasant View Fields in Boulder, connect to the Fourmile Canyon Creek trail, cross Fourmile Canyon Creek via a bridge, and then cross under the south-bound lanes of CO 119 to enter the median. This segment will end just south of Jay Road. This is the southern terminus of the CO 119 Bikeway that will connect into the existing multiuse path system within the City of Boulder. **SUPPORT REQUEST** 6. Based on who is requesting support (see #1), from whom are you are requesting support? If you are requesting support from multiple forums or local agencies, please fill out and send a separate form to each. Subregional Forum, Specify: Local Agency, Specify: City of Longmont 7. Type of Support Requested: Support Only Financial Pledge: Subregional Funds: Amount: Local (non-DRCOG) Funds: Amount: 8. Please type your name and date below which certifies the above information is accurate and complete: Name: Stacey Proctor Date: 12/13/22 RESPONSE (to be completed by agency/subregion from whom support is requested) 9. The forum/agency in #1 above has requested for you to support their project. Who are you? Subregional Forum: Boulder County Local Agency: City of Longmont 10. Contact person at supporting forum/agency: Phil Greenwald

Title: Transportation	Email:	Phone: 303-651-8335			
Planning Manager	phil.greenwald@longmontcol	orado.gov			
11. Does your subregion/agency support this project? X Yes No					
12. Does your subregion/age	12. Does your subregion/agency pledge financial support to this project, if requested?				
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	Yes No N/A				
If yes, provide amount: \$	If yes, provide amount: \$ Fiscal year(s) funds are provided in:				
If yes, where are funds coming from:					
Local Agency (i.e., non-DRCOG funds)					
Subregional Funding Target (forum must approve)					
13. Please enter your name and date below which certifies the above information is accurate and					
complete, and your subregion/agency will honor any financial commitments made above:					
Name: Phil Greenwald	Date: 01/23/2	2023			

## FY2022-2027 TIP PROCESS: REQUEST FOR PEER AGENCY SUPPORT

Complete the sections with green headers below, then provide this form to the agency you are requesting support from. That agency will complete the blue section and return the form. Providing additional project materials and attending meetings of the agency/forum from whom support is requested is encouraged. 22-25 Regional Call 22-25 Subregional Call 24-27 Regional Call 🔀 24-27 Subregional Call **APPLICANT INFORMATION** Local Agency: Boulder County 1. Who is requesting support? Subregional Forum: 2. Project Sponsor: Boulder 3. Current Supporting Agency(ies): County 4. Contact Person: Stacey Proctor Title: Project Manager Email: sproctor@bouldercounty.org Phone: 303-441-1107 **PROJECT DESCRIPTION** 5. Project Title: CO 119 Bikeway- Foothills Parkway to Total Project Cost: \$7.5 million Jay Road Project Location: CO 119 Project Limits: (mileposts, intersecting roads, rivers, etc.) Pleasant View Sports Complex/Foothills Parkway to south of Jay Road County: Boulder Municipality(ies): City of Project Length: 4,500 Feet Boulder/Unincorporated Boulder County Brief Description of Project: This project is to complete Segment 1 of the separated hard-surface Commuter Bikeway. Segment 1 will begin near the Pleasant View Fields in Boulder, connect to the Fourmile Canyon Creek trail, cross Fourmile Canyon Creek via a bridge, and then cross under the south-bound lanes of CO 119 to enter the median. This segment will end just south of Jay Road. This is the southern terminus of the CO 119 Bikeway that will connect into the existing multiuse path system within the City of Boulder. **SUPPORT REQUEST** Based on who is requesting support (see #1), from whom are you are requesting support? If you are requesting support from multiple forums or local agencies, please fill out and send a separate form to each. Subregional Forum, Specify: Local Agency, Specify: City of Boulder 7. Type of Support Requested: Support Only Financial Pledge: Subregional Funds: Amount: Local (non-DRCOG) Funds: Amount: Please type your name and date below which certifies the above information is accurate and complete: Name: Stacey Proctor Date: 12/13/22 RESPONSE (to be completed by agency/subregion from whom support is requested) 9. The forum/agency in #1 above has requested for you to support their project. Who are you? Subregional Forum: Local Agency: City of Boulder 10. Contact person at supporting forum/agency: Jean Sanson Title: Principal Planner Email: sansonj@bouldercolorado.gov Phone: 303.870.5227

11. Does your subregion/agency support this project? Yes \square No			
12. Does your subregion/agency pledge financial support to this project, if requested?			
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A			
If yes, provide amount: \$ Fiscal year(s) funds are provided in:			
If yes, where are funds coming from:			
Local Agency (i.e., non-DRCOG funds)			
Subregional Funding Target (forum must approve)			
13. Please enter your name and date below which certifies the above information is accurate and			
complete, and your subregion/agency will honor any financial commitments made above:			
Name: Jean Sanson Date: 1/2/23			





### TO:

Denver Regional Council of Governments Todd Cottrell, Senior Planner 1001 17th Street, Suite 700 Denver, CO 80202 tcottrell@drcog.org

### FROM:

John Tayer, President & CEO, Boulder Chamber

Amanda Mansfield, Executive Director, Boulder Transportation Connections & Senior Manager of Transportation, Boulder Chamber

Jonathan Singer, Senior Director of Policy Programs, Boulder Chamber

### **SUBJECT:**

**Boulder County TIP Funding Applications** 

January 23, 2023

Dear Mr. Cottrell:

The Boulder Chamber and Boulder Transportation Connections (BTC) is pleased to provide this letter of support for all of Boulder County's ten Subregional TIP applications. The complete list of applications is below.

1.	CO 119 Bikeway: Foothills - Jay
2.	CO 119 Bikeway: Airport - Hover
3.	CO 119 Bikeway: Niwot - Airport
4.	LoBo Trail - Jay Rd Connection
5.	Vision Zero Safe Routes to School Action Plan
6.	Countywide Strategic Transit Plan
7.	South Boulder Rd Rapid Transit and Multimodal Corridor Plan
8.	Lafayette/Louisville/Boulder Protected Bikeway Feasibility Study
9.	Super Flex SE Boulder County
10.	CO 93 Bikeway Feasibility Study

Boulder County's proposed projects will provide safe, convenient, affordable, accessible and environmentally sustainable regional transportation options for residents, employees and the broader public traveling throughout Boulder County. Each one of these projects would take Boulder County one step closer to its Vision Zero goals, reduce greenhouse gases and provide more viable options and choices beyond the single occupant vehicle for traveling in and around the county. All the projects together will help Boulder County and the larger community make significant progress toward reaching these goals.





All of Boulder County's projects for this round of TIP funding build off prior studies and reports and are consistent with regional planning documents.

### **Connection to Community Economic Vitality**

An effective transportation system focuses on regional multi-modal forms of transportation that serve the transportation needs of all travelers, including historically underserved groups (HUGs). Such a transportation system is a key requirement for a community's economic vitality, including its ability to attract and retain workers across the full economic spectrum. This is particularly the case for Boulder County due to the high cost of living and limited housing options, resulting in a local economy that depends on a workforce that commutes from long distances into our region.

Each of Boulder County's Subregional TIP grant applications are an important step in providing more convenient, cost-effective and environmentally sustainable transportation options for corridor-wide employees and residents. We strongly support the Boulder County project application team in requesting funding to contribute to the buildout of much needed regional pedestrian, bicycle, and transit connections serving communities across the County.

For all these reasons we support funding all of Boulder County's TIP grant applications. Thank you for your consideration of Boulder County's applications for these important and impactful projects.

Sincerely,

18

John Tayer, President & CEO, Boulder Chamber

A.H.

Amanda Mansfield, Executive Director, Boulder Transportation Connections & Senior Manager of Transportation, Boulder Chamber

Jonken Sign

Jonathan Singer, Senior Director of Policy Programs, Boulder Chamber



Denver Regional Council of Governments Todd Cottrell, Senior Planner 1001 17th Street, Suite 700 Denver, CO 80202 tcottrell@drcog.org

10 January, 2023

Mr. Cottrell:

Cyclists 4 Community, 501(c)(3) is pleased to provide this letter of support of the Boulder County's Subregional TIP application for CO 119 Commuter Bikeway: Foothills Parkway to Jay Road for the DRCOG FY 2024-2027 Share (Call #4) Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) track.

The proposed project would fund construction of approximately 0.85 miles of a planned 9-mile commuter bikeway along Colorado Highway 119 (CO 119) between Boulder and Longmont in Boulder County, a pedestrian/bikeway bridge across Fourmile Canyon Creek, and an underpass south of Jay Road. The segment includes construction of the separated bike facility starting immediately north of the Foothills Parkway intersection near the Pleasant View Fields to immediately south of the Jay Road intersection. The commuter bikeway will be 12-feet wide located primarily within the median of CO 119 and will be a hard surface concrete facility that will be maintained for year-round use.

CO 119 between Longmont and Boulder is the second most travelled corridor in Boulder County. This corridor is the primary connection between Boulder County's two largest municipalities, which together make up about two thirds of the total population of Boulder County. Daily travel volumes demonstrate the importance of the corridor: it has the second highest travel volumes in Boulder County. However, this vital link has no safe, direct, comfortable, and appealing bicycle connection.

CO 119 has the second-highest number of severe bicycle and pedestrian traffic crashes of all corridors in unincorporated Boulder County, and survey data shows that the majority of the population finds the shoulders of CO 119 too stressful to consider cycling there.

With only 9 miles separating Boulder and Longmont, the corridor has a lot of untapped potential for bicycle commuting, particularly as e-bikes become more popular.

The project is consistent with the Boulder County Transportation Master Plan, City of Boulder Transportation Master Plan, Envision Longmont, Northwest Area Mobility Plan, and the CDOT 10 Year Plan.

It is the opinion of C4C that the sum of Boulder County's Transportation Master Plan is a national leader in its vision for multi-modal network connectivity that leads on our greatest challenges. Let's fund it..

Sincerely,

Matt Muir, Operations Manager matt@c4community.org | c4community.org





COMMUNITYCYCLES.ORG

Community Cycles is made up of people who ride bicycles, love bicycles, and support bicycle-based transportation. We promote abundant and equitable access to safe cycling infrastructure for everyone in our community.

Community Cycles Letter of Support for the **CO 119 Bikeway: Foothills - Jay Road** TIP Application FY 2024-2027 (Call #4)

Increasing numbers of people want to travel by bicycle between Boulder and Longmont and inbetween for work, for school, and for recreation, including e-bikes which are becoming more popular. But because Highway 119 is nearly a super-highway, and has a very large volume of automobiles, moving at high speeds, most cyclists do not even consider using it for travel between Longmont, Niwot, and Boulder. CO 119 has the second-highest number of severe bicycle and pedestrian traffic crashes of all corridors in unincorporated Boulder County.

It is called "The Diagonal" for good reason. No other route connects these communities so effectively. We are happy and proud that our local and state governments have prioritized the addition of a separated and thus protected route for bicycling and pedestrian travel in the corridor that will be maintained for year-round use. Good plans have been developed!

Specifically, this project's proposal of a separated bike facility and the infrastructure required to safely and directly travel from Boulder to Jay Road.

Community Cycles supports the funding of the CO 119 Commuter Bikeway: Foothills to Jay Road. Thank you for your consideration of Boulder County's application for this important project.

Sincerely Community Cycles Advocacy Committee



**Denver Regional Council of Governments** 

Todd Cottrell, Senior Planner 1001 17th Street, Suite 700 Denver, CO 80202 tcottrell@drcog.org

1/12/2023

Mr. Cottrell:

Commuting Solutions is pleased to provide this letter of support for all of Boulder County's Subregional TIP applications. Complete list of applications is below.

CO 119 Bikeway: Foothills- Jay
CO 119 Bikeway: Airport- Hover
CO 119 Bikeway: Niwot- Airport
LoBo Trail - Jay Rd Connection
Vision Zero Safe Routes to School Action Plan
Countywide Strategic Transit Plan
South Boulder Rd Rapid Transit and Multimodal Corridor Plan
Lafayette/Louisville/Boulder Protected Bikeway Feasibility Study
Super Flex SE Boulder County
CO 93 Bikeway Feasibility Study

Each one of the projects would take Boulder County one step closer to their Vision Zero goals, reduce greenhouse gases and provide more viable options and choices beyond the single occupant vehicle for traveling in and around the county. All the projects taken as a whole propel us leaps towards the goals.

All of the projects in the applications build off of prior studies and reports and are consistent with regional planning documents.

For all these reasons we support funding all ten of Boulder County's TIP grant applications. Thank you for your consideration of Boulder County's application for these important projects.

Sincerely,

Audrey DeBarros Executive Director



Denver Regional Council of Governments Todd Cottrell, Senior Planner 1001 17th Street, Suite 700 Denver, CO 80202 tcottrell@drcog.org

January 17, 2023

Mr. Cottrell:

Bicycle Colorado is pleased to provide this letter of support of the Boulder County's Subregional TIP application for **CO 119 Commuter Bikeway: Foothills Parkway to Jay Road** for the DRCOG FY 2024-2027 Share (Call #4) Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) track.

The proposed project would fund construction of approximately 0.85 miles of a planned 9-mile commuter bikeway along Colorado Highway 119 (CO 119) between Boulder and Longmont in Boulder County, a pedestrian/bikeway bridge across Fourmile Canyon Creek, and an underpass south of Jay Road. The segment includes construction of the separated bike facility starting immediately north of the Foothills Parkway intersection near the Pleasant View Fields to immediately south of the Jay Road intersection. The commuter bikeway will be 12-feet wide located primarily within the median of CO 119 and will be a hard surface concrete facility that will be maintained for year-round use.

CO 119 between Longmont and Boulder is the second most traveled corridor in Boulder County. This corridor is the primary connection between Boulder County's two largest municipalities, which together make up about two thirds of the total population of Boulder County. Daily travel volumes demonstrate the importance of the corridor: it has the second highest travel volumes in Boulder County. However, this vital link has no safe, direct, comfortable, and appealing bicycle connection.

CO 119 has the second-highest number of severe bicycle and pedestrian traffic crashes of all corridors in unincorporated Boulder County, and survey data shows that the majority of the population finds the shoulders of CO 119 too stressful to consider cycling there.

With only 9 miles separating Boulder and Longmont, the corridor has a lot of untapped potential for bicycle commuting, particularly as e-bikes become more popular.

The project is consistent with the Boulder County Transportation Master Plan, City of Boulder Transportation Master Plan, Envision Longmont, Northwest Area Mobility Plan, and the CDOT 10 Year Plan.

For all these reasons we support funding the CO 119 Commuter Bikeway: Foothills Parkway to Jay Road. Thank you for your consideration of Boulder County's application for this important project.

Sincerely,

Rachel Hultin

Sustainable Transportation Director, Bicycle Colorado

Racupylulin