



**Business Process Audit
Office of Special Education Procedural
Support
Report Reference Number: 24-13540**

February 2024

Prepared by
Office of Auditor General

8115 Gatehouse Road, Suite 5500
Falls Church, VA 22042

Table of Contents

Executive Summary 1

Background, Scope and Objectives, and Methodology 1

 Background..... 1

 Scope and Objectives 1

 Methodology 2

 Sample Selection 2

Audit Findings, Recommendations, and Management’s Responses 3

Executive Summary

This audit report summarizes the results of the Office of Auditor General's (OAG) Business Process Audit (BPA) at the Office of Special Education Procedural Support (OSEPS), within the Department of Special Services (DSS), conducted on January 5, 2024. The audit was performed in accordance with the Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 audit plan approved by the Fairfax County School Board (School Board). The primary objective of the audit was to determine the adequacy of controls and processes in place for managing appropriated funds during FY 2024. There were no reportable findings identified during the audit.

We appreciate the consultation, cooperation, and courtesies extended to our staff by the administrative assistant and director at OSEPS.

Background, Scope and Objectives, and Methodology

Background

All Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS) departments and schools are responsible for business processes that support their core mission. These processes include procuring goods and services needed to meet their objectives and processing cash receipts. For departments, most of these processes are limited to appropriated fund transactions.

These audits will be performed on a cycle basis. The potential risks are (1) job duties not performed in accordance with required policies, procedures, and guidance; and (2) questionable transactions not timely identified. The primary regulations include, but are not limited to:

- Regulation 5111 *Financial Management Reports (FMR)*
- Notice 5111 *Financial Management Report (FMR) Distribution and Reconciliation Schedule*
- Regulation 5350 *Procurement Card Management*
- Regulation 5012 *Purchasing Goods and Services Using Appropriated and Nonappropriated Funds*

Scope and Objectives

We conducted this audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. The audit covered FY 2024 financial activity for OSEPS. The audit's objectives were to:

- Evaluate the effectiveness of processes and compliance with applicable regulations and policies,
- Determine if internal controls are adequate and functioning as intended, and
- Determine if transactions are reasonable and do not appear to be fraudulent.

OAG is free from organizational impairments to independence in our reporting as defined by government auditing standards. The office reports directly to the School Board through the Audit Committee. We report the results of our audits to the Audit Committee and the reports are made available to the public via the FCPS website.

Methodology

OAG's audit approach assessed the department's current management of internal controls covering appropriated funds. The structure of this audit was designed to assist departments and offices in understanding the question "where are we now?" and what processes and controls must be in place to ensure compliance with FCPS regulations and best practice guidelines moving forward. The audit examined monthly expenditures, records, and statements; reviewed monthly reconciliations; conducted interviews with appropriate employees; with the goal of understanding the department's current standing moving forward. Information was extracted from PaymentNet, FMR reconciliation application, and Fairfax County Unified System (FOCUS) for sampling and verification to source documentation during the audit; however, our audit did not include an independent review of system controls.

To satisfy the audit objectives, OAG performed the following:

- Met with office staff.
- Reviewed relevant laws, rules, FCPS policies and regulations.
- Reviewed prior audits and reviews.
- Performed a test of transactions, on a sampling basis, to ensure expenditures are accurate and allowable, complied with FCPS requirements, and proper supporting documentation is maintained.

Sample Selection

Procurement Card Transactions and FMR Expenditures

OAG utilized ACL Analytics, a data analytical software from Diligent, to randomly select samples, as follows:

- Ten appropriated procurement card transactions, and
- Five FMR expenditures.

OAG also utilized ACL Analytics to review FMR expenditures for:

- Duplicate payments, and
- Fraudulent payments (weekend charges, keyword searches, Benford's Law analysis).

Procurement Card Reconciliations and Asset Security Procedures

OAG reviews current reconciliations and assets, as follows:

- Most current month FMR reconciliation,
- Three most current months of appropriated procurement card reconciliations for up to two procurement cards, and
- Physical assets such as safes, drop boxes, and procurement cards.

Transaction samples are pulled from the available population and can result in less transactions being tested than what is stated above.

Audit Findings, Recommendations, and Management’s Responses

Finding(s) within this report are attributed a risk rating in accordance with established risk criteria as defined in Table 1.

Table 1 – Risk Criteria

Type	Description
High	One or more of the following exists: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Controls are not in place or are inadequate. • Compliance with legislation and regulations or contractual obligations is inadequate. • Important issues are identified that could negatively impact the achievement of program/operational objectives.
Moderate	One or more of the following exists: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Controls are in place but are not sufficiently complied with. • Compliance with subject government regulations or FCPS policies and established procedures is inadequate, or FCPS policies and established procedures are inadequate. • Issues are identified that could negatively impact the efficiency and effectiveness of operations.
Low	One or more of the following exists: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Controls are in place, but the level of compliance varies. • Compliance with government regulations or FCPS policies and established procedures varies. • Issues identified are less significant, but opportunities exist that could enhance operations.

All completed Business Process Audits with findings in which the risk ratings are deemed moderate or high will require a management response. During this audit, OAG did not identify any reportable findings.