List of Subjects

15 CFR Part 740

Administrative practice and procedure, Exports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, part 740 of the Export Administration Regulations (15 CFR parts 730–774) is amended as follows:

PART 740—LICENSE EXCEPTIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 740 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 50 U.S.C. 4801–4852; 50 U.S.C. 4601 *et seq.*; 50 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*; 22 U.S.C. 7201 *et seq.*; E.O. 13026, 61 FR 58767, 3 CFR, 1996 Comp., p. 228; E.O. 13222, 66 FR 44025, 3 CFR, 2001 Comp., p. 783.

■ 2. Section 740.2 is amended by revising paragraphs (a)(12) and (13) introductory text and by adding new paragraph (a)(23) to read as follows:

§ 740.2 Restrictions on all License Exceptions.

(a) * * *

(12) The item is described in a 9x515 or "600 series" ECCN and is destined to, shipped from, or was manufactured in a destination listed in Country Group D:5 or Hong Kong (see Supplement No. 1 to part 740 of the EAR), except that:

(13) "600 series" items that are controlled for missile technology (MT) reasons may not be exported, reexported, or transferred (in-country) under License Exception STA (§ 740.20 of the EAR). Items controlled under ECCNs 9D610.b, 9D619.b, 9E610.b, or 9E619.b or .c are not eligible for license exceptions except for License Exception GOV (§ 740.11(b)(2) of the EAR). Only the following license exceptions may be used to export "600 series" items to destinations other than those identified in Country Group D:5 or Hong Kong (see Supplement No. 1 to part 740 of the EAR):

and is for export to Hong Kong, reexport to Hong Kong or transfer (in-country) within Hong Kong under License Exceptions LVS—Shipments of Limited Value (§ 740.3); GBS—Shipments to Group B Countries (§ 740.4); TSR-Technology and Software under Restriction (§ 740.6); APP—Computers, Tier 1 only (§ 740.7(c)); TMP Temporary Imports, Exports, Reexports, and Transfers (in-country)—(§ 740.9(a)(11) and (b)(2)(ii)(C) and (b)(5) only); RPL-Servicing and Replacement Parts and Equipment (§ 740.10(a)(3)(viii), (a)(4), (b)(1) except as permitted to Country Group D:5, and (b)(3)(i)(F) and (ii)(C)

only); GOV—Cooperating Governments

(23) The item is subject to the EAR

only (§ 740.11(c)(1)); GFT—Gift Parcels (except as permitted by § 740.12(a)(3)); TSU Technology and Software Unrestricted—only § 740.13(f); BAG—Baggage (except as permitted by § 740.14(d)); AVS Aircraft, Vessels, and Spacecraft—(§ 740.15(b)(1), (b)(2), (c), and (f) only); APR—Additional Permissive Reexports (§ 740.16(a) and (j)); and STA—Strategic Trade Authorization (§ 740.20). Reexports of items subject to the EAR from Hong Kong under License Exception APR § 740.16(a) are also restricted.

Matthew S. Borman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Export Administration.

[FR Doc. 2020–16278 Filed 7–30–20; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–33–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC-2012-0068]

16 CFR Part 1225

Safety Standard for Hand-Held Infant Carriers

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; delay of effective

SUMMARY: On May 20, 2020, the Consumer Product Safety Commission (Commission, or CPSC) issued a direct final rule revising the CPSC's mandatory standard for hand-held infant carriers to incorporate by reference the most recent version of the applicable ASTM standard. We are publishing this final rule to delay the effective date of the CPSC's mandatory standard for hand-held infant carriers, due to the COVID—19 pandemic.

DATES: The effective date for the direct final rule published on May 20, 2020, at 85 FR 30605, is delayed from August 3, 2020, until January 1, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Keysha L. Walker, Compliance Officer, Office of Compliance and Field Operations, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814–4408; telephone: 301–504–6820; email: kwalker@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

On May 20, 2020, the Commission published a direct final rule (DFR), revising 16 CFR part 1225, the CPSC's mandatory standard for hand-held infant carriers, to incorporate by reference the most recent version of the applicable ASTM standard, ASTM F2050–19, Standard Consumer Safety Specification for Hand-Held Infant Carriers. See 85 FR 30605. The DFR was originally set to become effective by operation of law on August 3, 2020, unless the Commission received a significant adverse comment by June 19, 2020.

Since Commission approval of the DFR in April 2020, Executive Order (E.O.) 13924, "Regulatory Relief to Support Economic Recovery," was issued on May 19, 2020. 85 FR 31385. E.O. 13924 encourages federal agencies to address the economic consequences of COVID–19 "by rescinding, modifying, waiving, or providing exemptions from regulations and other requirements that may inhibit economic recovery, consistent with applicable law and with protection of the public health and safety."

B. Delaying the Effective Date of the Rule

CPSC received two comments in response to the DFR notice. Neither comment is considered to be a "significant adverse comment." 1 However, one commenter, who was anonymous, noted that in the last few months, the pandemic has "caused drastic changes in consumer behavior and manufacturing capabilities, including reduced sales and otherwise unforeseen production stoppages." The commenter stated: "As a consequence, inventory levels of some previously ordered components have been extended further into the year than typical. Lead times for new material have also increased as manufacturers struggle to return to pre-pandemic production output capabilities." The commenter recommends the effective date should be pushed back, "perhaps as far as to the end of the calendar year, to allow manufacturers more time to use up existing inventory before implementing the required changes."

¹The Commission considers a significant adverse comment to be "one where the commenter explains why the rule would be inappropriate," including an assertion challenging "the rule's underlying premise or approach," or a claim that the rule would be "ineffective or unacceptable without change." 60 FR 43108, 43111. One commenter asserted that the incorporation by reference process does not allow the public free access to the law without paying for the incorporated voluntary standard. CPSC did not consider that comment to be a significant adverse comment because a copy of the standard can be inspected at the National Archives and Records Administration, or at the CPSC, and a read-only copy of the standard will be available for viewing on the ASTM website at www.astm.org/READINGLIBRARY.

This comment is not a considered a significant adverse comment because it does not challenge the premise or purpose of the underlying rule. Nevertheless, the Commission recognizes that as a result of the COVID–19 pandemic, disruptions in the U.S. economy may limit manufacturers' ability to comply with the new labeling requirements of ASTM F2050–19.

CPSC staff conducted a review of the safety impact of delaying the effective date for the revised hand-held infant carriers' standard. As detailed in the staff briefing package for the DFR,² staff determined that the changes made by ASTM F2050–19 were either neutral or improved the safety for hand-held infant carriers. Based on staff's findings, the Commission allowed the revised voluntary standard to become the consumer product safety standard for hand-held infant carriers. The substantive changes adopted by the Commission include:

 Exempting hand-held bassinets/ cradles from the requirement to display a "NEVER leave child unattended" warning message;

• Changing the definition of "handheld" infant carriers to include "semirigid" infant carriers within the scope of the standard; and

• Including a new warning icon and warning statement regarding the fall hazard with shopping cart use to be included in instructional literature.

Staff's review of the impact on safety of delaying the effective date indicates that the above changes to the standard could be delayed until the end of the calendar year, consistent with the protection of public health and safety. Continuing to display a "NEVER leave child unattended" warning message would not adversely impact safety. Delaying the expansion of the definition of "hand-held" infant carrier in the voluntary standard does not reduce safety because "semi-rigid" infant carriers are already included in CPSC's mandatory standard, 16 CFR 1225(b)(1); the effect of the change to the voluntary standard is to match the current mandatory standard's definition. Finally, although the requirement for including the new shopping cart fall hazard warning in instructional literature would be delayed, shopping carts that meet ASTM F2372-15, Standard Consumer Safety Performance Specification for Shopping Carts, will still be required to display the onproduct warning, often on the seat flap, providing an important safety message

addressing the same hazard as the new hazard warning in the voluntary standard.

Based on staff's safety assessment that indicates delaying the effective date will not adversely impact safety, and the direction in E.O. 13294 to address the economic consequences of COVID-19, the Commission is delaying the effective date of the hand-held carriers' standard until January 1, 2021. The delayed effective date should provide manufacturers that are not already compliant with the new standard the necessary time to comply with the new labeling requirement of the revised hand-held carriers' standard without negatively impacting the safety of handheld infant carriers.

C. The APA and Good Cause Finding

The Commission is issuing this final rule without an additional opportunity for public comment. Pursuant to section 553(b)(3)(B) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), general notice and the opportunity for public comment are not required with respect to a rulemaking when an "agency for good cause finds (and incorporates the finding and a brief statement of reasons therefor in the rules issued) that notice and public procedure thereon are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." ³

As a result of this rule, the DFR published by the Commission on May 20, 2020, which revised the Commission's standard for hand-held infant carriers, will not be reflected in the Code of Federal Regulations until January 1, 2021. The COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted economic activity in the United States. E.O. 13294 urges federal agencies to take actions to reduce regulatory burdens that arise as a result of the pandemic "consistent with applicable law and with protection of the public health and safety." As previously discussed in section B of the preamble, manufacturers may be handicapped in their ability to comply with the new labeling requirements of the revised hand-held carriers' standard by the August 3, 2020 effective date set in the DFR. Therefore, the Commission has determined that delaying the effective date until January 1, 2021 is warranted, because delaying the effective date will not have an adverse impact on public health and safety, and as encouraged by E.O. 13924, it will help reduce regulatory burdens exacerbated by the pandemic. Delaying the effective date until January 1, 2021 will allow manufacturers to come into compliance with the new labeling

The APA generally requires a 30-day delayed effective date for final rules, except for: (1) Substantive rules which grant or recognize an exemption or relieve a restriction; (2) interpretative rules and statements of policy; or (3) as otherwise provided by the agency for good cause.⁵ The Commission believes that the public interest is best served by having this final rule become effective immediately upon publication in the Federal Register, instead of the usual 30-day delayed effective date normally required by the APA. Therefore, the Commission finds that there is good cause to delay the effective date of the previously approved change to 16 CFR part 1225 of the Commission's standard, for the reasons noted above.

D. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires that agencies review proposed and final rules for their potential economic impact on small entities, including small businesses, and prepare regulatory flexibility analyses. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. The RFA applies to any rule that is subject to notice and comment procedures under section 553 of the APA. Id. As discussed previously, consistent with section 553(b)(B) of the APA, the Commission has determined for good cause that general notice and opportunity for public comment is unnecessary. Thus, the RFA's requirements relating to initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis do not apply.

However, the Commission is extending the effective date because economic disruptions affecting inventory levels could potentially affect a subset of manufacturers, although the exact number is not known. Although the cost to firms of having to dispose of an inventory of out-of-date printed instructions is probably low as a percent of their total costs or their total revenue, extending the effective date of the rule may provide some relief to manufacturers who may face delays in having new materials printed due to backlogs in print shops or because of

² https://cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/ASTM%27s%20 Revisions%20to%20Safety%20Standard%20for %20Hand-Held%20Infant%20Carriers.pdf.

requirements in the hand-held carriers' standard while providing regulatory relief to manufacturers impacted by the COVID–19 pandemic. Because of the short time frame until the original August 3, 2020 effective date is scheduled to go into effect, and for the reasons discussed above, the Commission finds that there is good cause consistent with the public interest to issue the rule without advance notice and comment.⁴

⁴ 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B); 553(d)(3).

^{5 5} U.S.C. 553(d).

^{3 5} U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B).

local stay-at-home restrictions or other delays related to the COVID–19 pandemic. The additional time will allow manufacturers to come into compliance with the new requirements as they deplete their inventory of noncompliant materials.

E. Paperwork Reduction Act

The standard for hand-held infant carriers contains information-collection requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520). The revisions made no changes to that section of the standard. Thus, the revisions will have no effect on the information-collection requirements related to the standard.

F. Environmental Considerations

The Commission's regulations provide a categorical exclusion for the Commission's rules from any requirement to prepare an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement where they "have little or no potential for affecting the human environment." 16 CFR 1021.5(c)(2). This rule falls within the categorical exclusion, so no environmental assessment or environmental impact statement is required.

G. Preemption

Section 26(a) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2075(a), provides that where a consumer product safety standard is in effect and applies to a product, no state or political subdivision of a state may either establish or continue in effect a requirement dealing with the same risk of injury unless the state requirement is identical to the federal standard. Section 26(c) of the CPSA also provides that states or political subdivisions of states may apply to the CPSC for an exemption from this preemption under certain circumstances. Section 104(b) of the CPSIA deems rules issued under that provision "consumer product safety rules." Therefore, once a rule issued under section 104 of the CPSIA takes effect, it will preempt in accordance with section 26(a) of the CPSA.

H. The Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act (CRA; 5 U.S.C. 801–808) states that, before a rule may take effect, the agency issuing the rule must submit the rule, and certain related information, to each House of Congress and the Comptroller General. 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1). The submission must indicate whether the rule is a "major rule." The CRA states that the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) determines whether a rule qualifies as a "major

rule." Pursuant to the CRA, this rule does not qualify as a "major rule," as defined in 5 U.S.C. 804(2). To comply with the CRA, the Office of the General Counsel will submit the required information to each House of Congress and the Comptroller General.

Alberta E. Mills,

Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020–16137 Filed 7–30–20; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2019-0183; FRL-10008-04]

Trichoderma atroviride Strain SC1; Exemption From the Requirement of a Tolerance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation establishes an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of Trichoderma atroviride strain SC1 in or on all food commodities when used in accordance with label directions and good agricultural practices. Bi-PA nv submitted a petition to EPA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), requesting an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance. This regulation eliminates the need to establish a maximum permissible level for residues of Trichoderma atroviride strain SC1 in or on all food commodities under FFDCA.

DATES: This regulation is effective July 31, 2020. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before September 29, 2020 and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178 (see also Unit I.C. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**).

ADDRESSES: The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2019-0183, is available at http://www.regulations.gov or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744,

and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305–5805.

Please note that due to the public health emergency the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC) and Reading Room was closed to public visitors on March 31, 2020. Our EPA/DC staff will continue to provide customer service via email, phone, and webform. For further information on EPA/DC services, docket contact information and the current status of the EPA/DC and Reading Room, please visit https://www.epa.gov/dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert McNally, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division (7511P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001; main telephone number: (703) 305–7090; email address: BPPDFRNotices@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

B. How can I get electronic access to other related information?

You may access a frequently updated electronic version of 40 CFR part 180 through the Government Printing Office's e-CFR site at http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?&c=ecfr&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title40/40tab_02.tpl.

C. How can I file an objection or hearing request?

Under FFDCA section 408(g), 21 U.S.C. 346a(g), any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. You must file your objection or request a hearing on this regulation in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify docket ID number EPA–HQ–