• Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866;

• Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

• Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

• Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

• Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

• Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

• Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

• Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: June 4, 2020. **Mary Walker**, *Regional Administrator, Region 4.* [FR Doc. 2020–12691 Filed 6–29–20; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P** 

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R03-OAR-2018-0042; FRL-10011-05-Region 3]

### Air Plan Disapproval; Maryland; Interstate Transport Requirements for the 2010 1-Hour Sulfur Dioxide National Ambient Air Quality Standard; Withdrawal

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is withdrawing its proposed action disapproving the interstate transport portion of the state implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland (Maryland) to address the infrastructure requirements of the 2010 primary sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS). EPA proposed disapproval of the interstate transport element of the SIP because it did not contain provisions prohibiting emissions from Maryland that were contributing significantly to or interfering with maintenance of the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS in another state. This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** The proposed rule, published on April 22, 2020 (85 FR 22381), proposing disapproval of the transport portion of Maryland's August 17, 2016 SIP submission for the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS, is withdrawn as of June 30, 2020.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marilyn Powers, Planning & Implementation Branch (3AD30), Air & Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. The telephone number is (215) 814–2308. Ms. Powers can also be reached via electronic mail at *powers.marilyn@epa.gov.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 17, 2016, the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE), on behalf of the State of Maryland, submitted a SIP revision to EPA to address all of the then applicable CAA section 110(a)(2) requirements for the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. On June 16, 2020, EPA approved this 2016 SIP submittal, known as an

infrastructure SIP, except for certain elements related to nonattainment area requirements and the portion dealing with interstate transport of pollution (section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I)). 85 FR 36343. In the final rulemaking, EPA noted that it would take action on the interstate transport portion (110(a)(2)(D(i)(I)) at a later date. On April 22, 2020 (85 FR 22381), EPA proposed to disapprove the interstate transport portion of Maryland's SO<sub>2</sub> infrastructure SIP submission because that portion did not contain adequate provisions prohibiting SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from stationary sources in Maryland which were contributing significantly to nonattainment with, or interfering with maintenance of, the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS in another state. Specifically, EPA identified the Verso Luke Paper Mill (Luke) as the source contributing to violations of the SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS in West Virginia, based on ambient monitoring data from 2017 to 2019.

Following publication of the proposed disapproval, EPA received from MDE, on May 8, 2020, a letter from Verso, the owner of the Luke facility, surrendering all of its CAA operating permits for the facility. The Verso letter is in the docket for this rulemaking action. The Luke facility had been shut down by Verso on June 30, 2019, and the surrender of its CAA permits means that the facility cannot return to operation without obtaining new CAA permits from Maryland. On this basis, EPA is withdrawing its April 22, 2020 proposed disapproval of the CAA section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) interstate transport portion of Maryland's August 17, 2016 SIP submittal for the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. EPA will consider this new information and publish a new proposal providing another opportunity for notice and comment after analyzing this recent development. Please note that EPA is not accepting comments on this withdrawal of the April 22, 2020 proposed disapproval. Any comments received on the proposed disapproval will be placed into any new docket related to a future action on the section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) element of the SO<sub>2</sub> infrastructure SIP but will not be answered as part of this action.

# List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: June 18, 2020.

#### Cosmo Servidio,

Regional Administrator, Region III. [FR Doc. 2020–13584 Filed 6–29–20; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P