

written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available for inspection by interested parties.

The Commission may include some or all of the confidential business information submitted in the course of this investigation in the report it sends to the USTR. Additionally, all information, including confidential business information, submitted in this investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel for cybersecurity purposes. The Commission will not otherwise disclose any confidential business information in a manner that would reveal the operations of the firm supplying the information.

Summaries of Written Submissions: Persons wishing to have a summary of their position included in the report should include a summary with their written submission and should mark the summary as having been provided for that purpose. The summary should be clearly marked as “summary for inclusion in the report” at the top of the page. The summary may not exceed 500 words, should be in MS Word format or a format that can be easily converted to MS Word, and should not include any confidential business information. The summary will be published as provided if it meets these requirements and is germane to the subject matter of the investigation. The Commission will list the name of the organization furnishing the summary and will include a link to the Commission’s Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) where the full written submission can be found.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 21, 2020.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020–11359 Filed 5–26–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1201]

Certain Liquid Crystal Display Devices, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 21, 2020, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Sharp Corporation of Japan and Sharp Electronics Corporation of New Jersey. Supplements to the complaint were filed on April 22, 2020, May 4, 2020, and May 12, 2020. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain liquid crystal display devices, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,245,329 (“the ‘329 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,372,533 (“the ‘533 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,022,912 (“the ‘912 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,451,204 (“the ‘204 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 8,847,863 (“the ‘863 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, as supplemented, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Katherine Hiner, Office of the Secretary,

Docket Services Division, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–1802.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Authority:* The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2020).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 20, 2020, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1 and 4–6 of the ‘329 patent; claims 1–2 and 11–13 of the ‘533 patent; claims 1, 4, 6, 11–12, 15, 17, and 22 of the ‘912 patent; claims 1, 3, 5, 10–11, 13, 15, 17, and 22 of the ‘204 patent; and claims 8–13 of the ‘863 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “high definition televisions and display screens, LCD panels, LCD modules (consisting of LCD panels as well as a controller and backlight), and components of each”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Sharp Corporation, 1 Takumi-cho, Sakai-ku, Sakai City, Osaka, 590–8522 Japan
Sharp Electronics Corporation, 100 Paragon Drive, Montvale, New Jersey 07645

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: VIZIO Inc., 39 Tesla, Irvine, CA 92618
Xianyang CaiHong Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd., No.1, Gaoke Yilu, Qindu District, Xianyang, Shaanxi, 712000, China
TPV Technology, Ltd., Units 1208–16, 12/F, C-Bons International Center, 108

Wai Yip Street, Kwun Tong, Kowloon,
Hong Kong

TPV Display Technology (Xiamen) Co.,
Ltd., No. 1, Xianghai Road, (Xiang'an)
Industrial Zone, Torch Hi-New Zon,
Xiamen, Fujian, 361101, China

TPV International (USA), Inc., 3737
Executive Center Drive, Suite 261,
Austin, TX 78731

Trend Smart America, Ltd., 2 South
Pointe Dr., Ste. 152, Lake Forest, CA
92630

Trend Smart CE Mexico S.R.L. De D.V.,
Sor Juana Ines De La Cruz No. 196202,
Tijuana, Baja California 22435,
Mexico

(4) For the investigation so instituted,
the Chief Administrative Law Judge,
U.S. International Trade Commission,
shall designate the presiding
Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import
Investigations will not be named as a
party to this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the
notice of investigation must be
submitted by the named respondents in
accordance with section 210.13 of the
Commission's Rules of Practice and
Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to
19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as
amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19,
2020), such responses will be
considered by the Commission if
received not later than 20 days after the
date of service by complainants of the
complaint and the notice of
investigation. Extensions of time for
submitting responses to the complaint
and the notice of investigation will not
be granted unless good cause therefor is
shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely
response to each allegation in the
complaint and in this notice may be
deemed to constitute a waiver of the
right to appear and contest the
allegations of the complaint and this
notice, and to authorize the
administrative law judge and the
Commission, without further notice to
the respondent, to find the facts to be as
alleged in the complaint and this notice
and to enter an initial determination
and a final determination containing
such findings, and may result in the
issuance of an exclusion order or a cease
and desist order or both directed against
the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 21, 2020.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020-11360 Filed 5-26-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—UHD Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May
12, 2020, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the
National Cooperative Research and
Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301
et seq. ("the Act"), UHD Alliance, Inc.
("UHD Alliance") filed written
notifications simultaneously with the
Attorney General and the Federal Trade
Commission disclosing changes in its
membership. The notifications were
filed for the purpose of extending the
Act's provisions limiting the recovery of
antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages
under specified circumstances.
Specifically, MediaTek, Inc., Hsinchu,
TAIWAN has become a party to this
venture. Also, Onkyo Corporation,
Osaka, JAPAN has withdrawn as a party
to this venture.

No other changes have been made in
either the membership or planned
activity of the group research project.
Membership in this group research
project remains open, and UHD Alliance
intends to file additional written
notifications disclosing all changes in
membership.

On June 17, 2015, UHD Alliance filed
its original notification pursuant to
Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department
of Justice published a notice in the
Federal Register pursuant to Section
6(b) of the Act on July 17, 2015 (80 FR
42537).

The last notification was filed with
the Department on March 10, 2020. A
notice was published in the **Federal
Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the
Act on March 20, 2020 (85 FR 16133).

Suzanne Morris,

*Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics,
Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2020-11367 Filed 5-26-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Open Mobile Alliance

Notice is hereby given that, on May
11, 2020, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the
National Cooperative Research and
Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301
et seq. ("the Act"), Open Mobile
Alliance ("OMA") filed written
notifications simultaneously with the
Attorney General and the Federal Trade

Commission disclosing changes in its
membership. The notifications were
filed for the purpose of extending the
Act's provisions limiting the recovery of
antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages
under specified circumstances.
Specifically, grandcentrix GmbH,
Cologne, GERMANY; Institute for
Information Industry (III), Taipei City,
TAIWAN; Vasala Oyj, Vantaa,
FINLAND, have been added as parties to
this venture.

Also, Adups Tech. Co., Ltd.,
Zhangjiang, Shanghai, PEOPLE'S
REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Communications
Global Certification Inc., Gweishan,
Tao-Yuan, TAIWAN; Huawei
Technologies Co., Ltd Shenzhen,
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Invigo
Offshore SAL, Beirut, LEBANON;
Nautes Technology Inc, Anyang-si,
Gyeonggido, REPUBLIC OF KOREA;
Open Source Alliance, Jung-gu, Seoul,
REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Orange SA,
Bristol, UNITED KINGDOM; Redstone
Sunshine (Beijing) Technology Co., Ltd.,
Haidian District, Beijing, PEOPLE'S
REPUBLIC OF CHINA; RETHING IoT
Technologies pc, Chalandri, Attiki,
GREECE; SigMast Communications,
Bedford, NS, CANADA;
TeleCommunication Systems, Inc.,
Annapolis, MN; Works Systems, Inc.,
San Jose, CA; ZTE Corporation,
Shenzhen, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF
CHINA, have withdrawn as parties to
this venture.

No other changes have been made in
either the membership or planned
activity of the group research project.
Membership in this group research
project remains open, and OMA intends
to file additional written notifications
disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 18, 1998, OMA filed its
original notification pursuant to Section
6(a) of the Act. The Department of
Justice published a notice in the **Federal
Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the
Act on December 31, 1998 (63 FR
72333).

The last notification was filed with
the Department on April 26, 2019. A
notice was published in the **Federal
Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the
Act on May 10, 2019 (84 FR 20660).

Suzanne Morris,

*Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics,
Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2020-11364 Filed 5-26-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Meeting of the NDCAC Executive Advisory Board

AGENCY: Justice Department.