

available with an adverse inference to the sole mandatory respondent in this review, in accordance with section 776 of the Act, and because the method for determining the subsidy rate is outlined in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, there are no calculations to disclose.

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted no later than 30 days after the date on which these preliminary results publish in the **Federal Register**. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than seven days after the deadline date for case briefs. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.¹⁷ All electronically filed documents must be received successfully in their entirety via Commerce's electronic records system, ACCESS, by the date and time it is due. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until July 17, 2020, unless extended.¹⁸

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310, any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. If a party requests a hearing, Commerce will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing at a time and location to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing.

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates and Cash Deposit Requirement

Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. If the preliminary results are

unchanged for the final results, Commerce will instruct CBP to apply an assessment rate of 398.62 percent *ad valorem* to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by Shouguang. If this review is rescinded for the 18 companies listed in Appendix II, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, Commerce also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amount of 398.62 percent *ad valorem* for Shouguang on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits at the most-recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213 and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: June 17, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Intent to Rescind Review, In Part
- V. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Application of Adverse Inferences
- VI. Disclosure and Public Comment
- VII. Recommendation

Appendix II

List of Non-Selected Companies for Rescission

1. Cangnan Color Make The Bag
2. Changle Baodu Plastic Co., Ltd.
3. First Way (H.K.) Limited
4. Han Shing Chemical Co., Ltd.
5. Jiangsu Hotson Plastics Co., Ltd.

6. Ningbo Yong Feng Packaging Co., Ltd.
7. Polywell Industrial Co.
8. Polywell Plastic Product Factory
9. Shandong Qikai Plastics Product Co., Ltd.
10. Shandong Qilu Plastic Fabric Group, Ltd.
11. Shandong Youlian Co., Ltd.
12. Wenzhou Hotson Plastics Co., Ltd.
13. Zibo Aifudi Plastic Packaging Co., Ltd.
14. Zibo Linzi Luitong Plastic Fabric Co., Ltd.
15. Zibo Linzi Qitianli Plastic Fabric Co., Ltd.
16. Zibo Linzi Shuaiqiang Plastics Co., Ltd.
17. Zibo Linzi Worun Packing Product Co., Ltd.
18. Zibo Qigao Plastic Cement Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2020-13493 Filed 6-22-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-853]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Canada: Final Results of Sunset Review and Revocation of Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 1, 2020, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) initiated the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts from Canada. Because the domestic interested parties withdrew their intent to participate in this sunset review, Commerce is revoking this antidumping duty order.

DATES: Applicable June 24, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Zachary Shaykin, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2638.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 29, 2009, Commerce issued an antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts from Canada.¹ On June 24, 2015, Commerce published a continuation of the order.² On May 1, 2020, Commerce initiated a second sunset review of this order.³

¹ See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada and the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 74 FR 25703 (May 29, 2009).

² See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada and the People's Republic of China: Continuation of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Canada and the People's Republic of China, and Continuation of the Countervailing Duty Order on the People's Republic of China*, 80 FR 36318 (June 24, 2015) (2015 Continuation Notice).

³ See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 85 FR 25386 (May 1, 2020).

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2).

¹⁸ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 29615 (May 18, 2020).

On May 18, 2020, within the applicable deadline, Commerce received a Notice of Intent to Participate from Archer Daniels Midland Company, Cargill, Incorporated, and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas LLC, the domestic interested parties in this proceeding.⁴ However, on May 29, 2020, the domestic interested parties withdrew their Notice of Intent to Participate and notified Commerce that they would not be filing a substantive response to the notice of initiation for the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts from Canada.

In light of the withdrawal of domestic interested parties to this proceeding, Commerce determines that there is no domestic participation or adequate substantive responses from a domestic interested party in this sunset review, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(i)(C). On June 2, 2020, Commerce notified the International Trade Commission, in writing, that we intended to issue a final determination revoking this antidumping duty order.⁵

Scope of the Order

The scope of the order includes all grades and granulation sizes of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate in their unblended forms, whether dry or in solution, and regardless of packaging type. The scope also includes blends of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate; as well as blends with other ingredients, such as sugar, where the unblended form(s) of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate constitute 40 percent or more, by weight, of the blend. The scope of the order also includes all forms of crude calcium citrate, including dicalcium citrate monohydrate, and tricalcium citrate tetrahydrate, which are intermediate products in the production of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate. The scope of the order does not include calcium citrate that satisfies the standards set forth in the United States Pharmacopeia and has been mixed with a functional excipient, such as dextrose or starch, where the excipient constitutes at least 2 percent, by weight, of the product. The scope of the order includes the hydrous and anhydrous forms of citric acid, the dihydrate and anhydrous forms of sodium citrate, otherwise known as citric acid sodium salt, and the monohydrate and monopotassium forms of potassium citrate. Sodium citrate also includes

both trisodium citrate and monosodium citrate, which are also known as citric acid trisodium salt and citric acid monosodium salt, respectively. Citric acid and sodium citrate are classifiable under 2918.14.0000 and 2918.15.1000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), respectively. Potassium citrate and crude calcium citrate are classifiable under 2918.15.5000 and 3824.90.9290 of the HTSUS, respectively. Blends that include citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate are classifiable under 3824.90.9290 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope is dispositive.

Determination To Revoke

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3), if no domestic interested party files a notice of intent to participate, Commerce shall, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, issue a final determination revoking the order. Because all the domestic interested parties withdrew their notice of intent to participate in this sunset review, Commerce finds that no domestic interested party is participating in this sunset review. Therefore, consistent with the section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), we are revoking the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts from Canada.⁶

Effective Date of Revocation

The effective date of revocation is June 24, 2020, the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the most recent notice of continuation of this antidumping duty order.⁷

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act, Commerce intends to issue instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection, 15 days after the publication of this notice, to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to this order entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after June 24, 2020. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. Commerce will complete any pending administrative reviews of this order and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the

effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

This five-year (sunset) review and notice of revocation are published in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3) and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1)(i).

Dated: June 15, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2020–13269 Filed 6–22–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–895]

Low Melt Polyester Staple Fiber From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that the sole producer/exporter subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable June 23, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alice Maldonado or Melissa Kinter, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4682 or (202) 482–1413, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 7, 2019, based on a timely request for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review on low melt polyester staple fiber (low melt PSF) from the Republic of Korea (Korea).¹ The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2018 through July 31, 2019, and covers one producer and exporter of the subject merchandise, Toray Advanced Materials Korea, Inc. (TAK).² On April

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 53411 (October 7, 2019).

² On August 28, 2019, Commerce determined that TAK is the successor-in-interest to Toray Chemical

Continued

⁴ See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).

⁵ See Commerce's Letter, "Status Update for Sunset Review Initiated on May 1, 2020, for Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada," dated June 2, 2020.

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i); see also 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(i)(C)(1).

⁷ See 2015 Continuation Notice.