

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[A-423-814, A-580-899, A-791-824]

**Acetone From Belgium, the Republic of South Africa, and the Republic of Korea: Correction to Antidumping Duty Orders**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is correcting the antidumping duty (AD) orders on acetone from Belgium, the Republic of South Africa (South Africa), and the Republic of Korea (Korea).

**DATES:** Applicable April 17, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alex Cipolla, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4956.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 31, 2020, Commerce published in the *Federal Register* the antidumping duty orders for acetone from Belgium, South Africa, and Korea.<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to section 733(d) of the Act, suspension of liquidation instructions issued pursuant to an affirmative preliminary AD determination may not remain in effect for more than four months, except where exporters representing a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise request Commerce to extend that four-month period to no more than six months. In the *Orders*, we erroneously stated that the six-month period, beginning on the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determinations*,<sup>2</sup> ended on March 22, 2020.<sup>3</sup> However, the 180-day period, beginning on the date of publication of

the *Preliminary Determinations*, ended on March 21, 2020.

AD duties will be assessed on unliquidated entries of acetone from Belgium, South Africa, and Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after September 24, 2019 (which is the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determinations*), but will not be assessed on entries occurring after the expiration of the provisional measures period on March 21, 2020, and before publication of the International Trade Commission's final affirmative injury determinations. No other changes have been made to the *Orders*.

These corrected orders are published in accordance with sections 706(a) and 736(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.211(b).

Dated: April 13, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2020-08140 Filed 4-16-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[A-533-871]

**Finished Carbon Steel Flanges From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that the producers/exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of finished carbon steel flanges (flanges) from India at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), February 8, 2017 through July 31, 2018.

**DATES:** Applicable April 17, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Fred Baker, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2924.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

This administrative review covers 37 producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. Commerce selected two companies, the Norma Group<sup>1</sup> and R.N.

Gupta & Co. Ltd. (Gupta) for individual examination as the mandatory respondents in this administrative review.<sup>2</sup> On October 29, 2019, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review and invited interested parties to comment.<sup>3</sup> On December 2, 2019, Norma Group submitted its case brief.<sup>4</sup> On the same day, Weldbend Corporation and Boltex Manufacturing Co., L.P. (collectively, the petitioners), submitted two case briefs, one related to Norma Group and one related to Gupta.<sup>5</sup> On December 9, 2019, Norma Group and Gupta each submitted a rebuttal brief.<sup>6</sup> However, Commerce rejected Gupta's rebuttal brief on February 7, 2020, because it contained untimely submitted factual information.<sup>7</sup> Gupta submitted a redacted version of its original rebuttal brief on February 10, 2020.<sup>8</sup> No other party submitted case or rebuttal briefs. On February 13, 2020, we extended the deadline for these final results, until April 10, 2020.<sup>9</sup>

& Co., and Bansidhar Chiranjilal. The agency collapsed these companies for purposes of respondent selection because they were collapsed in a prior segment of this proceeding (*i.e.*, investigation). See *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 82 FR 9719 (February 8, 2017), and accompanying Preliminary Determination Memorandum at 4 (collectively, *Preliminary Determination*); unchanged in *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 82 FR 29483 (June 29, 2017) (*Final Determination*). Norma Group presented evidence that the factual basis on which Commerce made its prior determination has not changed. See Norma Group's March 1, 2019 Supplemental Questionnaire Response (Norma Group March 1, 2019 SQR) at 12–20. Accordingly, we continue to collapse and treat these companies as a single entity for purposes of this proceeding.

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Respondent Selection," dated November 9, 2018.

<sup>3</sup> See *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018*, 84 FR 57848 (October 29, 2019), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (collectively, the *Preliminary Results*).

<sup>4</sup> See Norma Group's Case Brief, "Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Norma's Comments on the Preliminary Results," dated December 2, 2019.

<sup>5</sup> See Petitioners' Case Briefs, "Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Case Brief—Weldbend Corporation and Boltex Manufacturing Co., L.P.," dated December 2, 2019.

<sup>6</sup> See Norma Group's Rebuttal Brief, "Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Norma's Rebuttal Comments," dated December 9, 2019.

<sup>7</sup> See Commerce Letter, "Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Rejection of Rebuttal Brief," dated February 7, 2020.

<sup>8</sup> See Gupta Rebuttal Brief, "Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Redacted Rebuttal Brief of R.N. Gupta & Company Limited," dated February 10, 2020.

<sup>9</sup> See Memorandum, "Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Extension of Deadline for Final

Continued

<sup>1</sup> See *Acetone from Belgium, the Republic of South Africa, and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 85 FR 17866 (March 31, 2020) (*Orders*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Acetone from Belgium: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures*, 84 FR 49999 (September 24, 2019); see also *Acetone from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures*, 84 FR 50005 (September 24, 2019); and *Acetone from the Republic of South Africa: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures*, 84 FR 49984 (September 24, 2019) (collectively, *Preliminary Determinations*).

<sup>3</sup> See *Orders* at 17867.

<sup>1</sup> The Norma Group consists of the following companies: Norma (India) Limited (Norma), USK Exports Private Limited, Uma Shanker Khandelwal

**Scope of the Order**<sup>10</sup>

The scope of the *Order* covers finished carbon steel flanges. Finished carbon steel flanges are currently classified under subheadings 7307.91.5010 and 7307.91.5050 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). They may also be entered under HTSUS subheadings 7307.91.5030 and 7307.91.5070. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this *Order* is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>11</sup>

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised by the parties in their case and rebuttal briefs are listed in the appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>.

The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on our analysis of the comments received, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, Commerce made certain changes to the preliminary weighted-average dumping margin for the Norma Group, and the companies not selected for individual examination in this administrative review.

**Final Results of Administrative Review**

For these final results, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period February 8, 2017 through July 31, 2018:

Producers/exporters	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
R.N. Gupta & Co., Ltd .....	1.20
Norma (India) Limited/USK Exports Private Limited/Uma Shanker Khandelwal & Co./Bansidhar Chiranjilal <sup>12</sup> .....	0.00
Adinath International .....	1.20
Allena Group .....	1.20
Alloyed Steel .....	1.20
Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited .....	1.20
C.D. Industries .....	1.20
CHW Forge Pvt. Ltd <sup>13</sup> .....	1.20
CHW Forge .....	1.20
Citizen Metal Depot .....	1.20
Corum Flange .....	1.20
DN Forge Industries .....	1.20
Echjay Forgings Limited .....	1.20
Falcon Valves and Flanges Private Limited .....	1.20
Heubach International .....	1.20
Hindon Forge Pvt. Ltd .....	1.20
Jai Auto Private Limited .....	1.20
Kinnari Steel Corporation .....	1.20
M F Rings and Bearing Races Ltd .....	1.20
Mascot Metal Manufactures .....	1.20
OM Exports .....	1.20
Punjab Steel Works (PSW) .....	1.20
R. D. Forge .....	1.20
Raaj Sagar Steels .....	1.20
Ravi Ratan Metal Industries .....	1.20
Rolex Fittings India Pvt. Ltd .....	1.20
Rollwell Forge Pvt. Ltd .....	1.20
SHM (ShinHeung Machinery) .....	1.20
Siddhagiri Metal & Tubes .....	1.20
Sizer India .....	1.20
Steel Shape India .....	1.20
Sudhir Forgings Pvt. Ltd .....	1.20
Tirupati Forge .....	1.20
Umashanker Khandelwal Forging Limited .....	1.20

**Rate for Non-Selected Respondents**

For the rate for non-selected respondents in an administrative review, generally, Commerce looks to

section 735(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation. Under section

735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally "an amount equal to the weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers

Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated February 13, 2020.

<sup>10</sup> See *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India and Italy: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 82 FR 40136 (August 24, 2017) (the *Order*).

<sup>11</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decisions Memorandum for the Final Results of Administrative Review: Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India; 2017–2018," dated concurrently

with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decisions Memorandum).

individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.” In this segment of the proceeding, we calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for Gupta that was not zero, *de minimis*, or based on facts available. Accordingly, we applied the weighted-average dumping margin calculated for Gupta to the non-individually examined respondents.

### Disclosure of Calculations

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these final results to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce shall determine and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For Gupta, because its weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), Commerce has calculated importer-specific antidumping duty assessment rates. We calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* antidumping duty assessment rates by aggregating the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales of each importer and dividing each of these amounts by the total entered value associated with those sales. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review where an importer-specific assessment rate is not zero or *de minimis*. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*.

For Norma, we will instruct CBP to liquidate its entries during the POR

imported by the importers identified in its questionnaire responses without regard to antidumping duties because its weighted-average dumping margin in these final results is zero.<sup>14</sup>

For companies that were not selected for individual examination, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries based on the methodology described in the “Rate for Non-Selected Respondents” section, above.

Consistent with Commerce’s assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by any company upon which we initiated an administrative review, for which they did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>15</sup>

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this administrative review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements for estimated antidumping duties will be effective upon publication of the notice of these final results of review for all shipments of flanges from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates established in these final results of review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the producer of the subject merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 8.91

<sup>14</sup> See *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8103, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>15</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

percent,<sup>16</sup> the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

### Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing notice of these final results in accordance with sections 751(a) and 777(i)(1) of the Act. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until May 19, 2020, unless extended.<sup>17</sup>

Dated: April 10, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

### Appendix

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes from the Preliminary Results
- V. Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1: Gupta’s Scrap Offset
  - Comment 2: Gupta’s Interest Income Offset
  - Comment 3: Operating Expenses of Bansidhar Chiranjilal
  - Comment 4: Ministerial Error

<sup>16</sup> See *Order*, 82 FR at 40138 (August 24, 2017).

<sup>17</sup> See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19*, 85 FR 17006 (March 26, 2020).

<sup>12</sup> Commerce previously collapsed these companies for purposes of respondent selection, because they were collapsed in a prior segment of this proceeding (i.e., investigation). See *Preliminary Determination*; unchanged in *Final Determination*. Norma Group presented evidence that the factual basis on which Commerce made its prior determination has not changed. See *Norma Group March 1, 2019 SQR* at 12–20. Accordingly, we continue to collapse and treat these companies as a single entity for purposes of this proceeding.

<sup>13</sup> The name of this company was incorrectly spelled “CHQ Forge Pvt. Ltd.” in the initiation notice. See *Petitioners’ Letter*, “Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Request for Administrative Review,” dated August 31, 2018; and *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 50077 (October 4, 2018).

## VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-08137 Filed 4-16-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## National Institute of Standards and Technology

## Request for Nominations for Members To Serve on National Institute of Standards and Technology Federal Advisory Committees

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST or Institute) invites and requests nomination of individuals for appointment to seven existing Federal Advisory Committees (Committees): Board of Overseers of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award; Judges Panel of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award; Information Security and Privacy Advisory Board; Manufacturing Extension Partnership Advisory Board; National Construction Safety Team Advisory Committee; Advisory Committee on Earthquake Hazards Reduction; and Visiting Committee on Advanced Technology. NIST will consider nominations received in response to this notice for appointment to the Committees, in addition to nominations already received. Registered Federal lobbyists may not serve on NIST Federal Advisory Committees in an individual capacity.

**DATES:** Nominations for all Committees will be accepted on an ongoing basis and will be considered as and when vacancies arise.

**ADDRESSES:** See below.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Board of Overseers of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award

*Address:* Please submit nominations to Robert Fangmeyer, Director, Baldrige Performance Excellence Program, NIST, 100 Bureau Drive, Mail Stop 1020, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-1020. Nominations may also be submitted via fax to 301-975-4967. Additional information regarding the Committee, including its charter, current membership list, and executive summary, may be found at <http://www.nist.gov/baldrige/community/overseers.cfm>.

*Contact Information:* Robyn Verner, Designated Federal Officer, Baldrige

Performance Excellence Program, NIST, 100 Bureau Drive, Mail Stop 1020, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-1020; telephone 301-975-2361; fax 301-975-4967; or via email at [robyn.verner@nist.gov](mailto:robyn.verner@nist.gov).

## Committee Information

The Board of Overseers of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award (Board) was established in accordance with 15 U.S.C. 3711a(d)(2)(B), pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C. App.

## Objectives and Duties

1. The Board shall review the work of the private sector contractor(s), which assists the Director of NIST in administering the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award (Award). The Board will make such suggestions for the improvement of the Award process as it deems necessary.

2. The Board shall make an annual report on the results of Award activities to the Director of NIST, along with its recommendations for the improvement of the Award process.

3. The Board will function solely as an advisory committee under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C. App.

4. The Board will report to the Director of NIST.

## Membership

1. The Board will consist of at least five and approximately 12 members selected on a clear, standardized basis, in accordance with applicable Department of Commerce guidance, and for their preeminence in the field of organizational performance excellence. There will be a balanced representation from U.S. service, manufacturing, nonprofit, education, and health care industries. The Board will include members familiar with the quality, performance improvement operations, and competitiveness issues of manufacturing companies, service companies, small businesses, nonprofits, health care providers, and educational institutions.

2. Board members will be appointed by the Secretary of Commerce for three-year terms and will serve at the discretion of the Secretary. All terms will commence on March 1 and end on the last day of February of the appropriate years.

## Miscellaneous

1. Members of the Board shall serve without compensation, but may, upon request, be reimbursed travel expenses,

including per diem, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5701 *et seq.*

2. The Board will meet at least annually, but usually two times a year. Additional meetings may be called as deemed necessary by the NIST Director or by the Chairperson. Meetings are usually one day in duration.

3. Board meetings are open to the public. Board members do not have access to classified or proprietary information in connection with their Board duties.

## Nomination Information

1. Nominations are sought from the private and public sector as described above.

2. Nominees should have established records of distinguished service and shall be familiar with the quality improvement operations and competitiveness issues of manufacturing companies, service companies, small businesses, educational institutions, health care providers, and nonprofit organizations. The category (field of eminence) for which the candidate is qualified should be specified in the nomination letter. Nominations for a particular category should come from organizations or individuals within that category. A summary of the candidate's qualifications should be included with the nomination, including (where applicable) current or former service on Federal advisory boards and Federal employment. In addition, each nomination letter should state that the person agrees to the nomination, acknowledges the responsibilities of serving on the Board, and will actively participate in good faith in the tasks of the Board. Besides participation at meetings, it is desired that members be able to devote the equivalent of seven days between meetings to either developing or researching topics of potential interest, and so forth, in furtherance of their Board duties.

3. The Department of Commerce is committed to equal opportunity in the workplace and seeks a broad-based and diverse Board membership.

## Judges Panel of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award

*Address:* Please submit nominations to Robert Fangmeyer, Director, Baldrige Performance Excellence Program, NIST, 100 Bureau Drive Mail Stop 1020, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-1020. Nominations may also be submitted via fax to 301-975-4967. Additional information regarding the Committee, including its charter, current membership list, and executive summary, may be found at [https://www.nist.gov/baldrige/how-baldrige-](https://www.nist.gov/baldrige/how-baldrige)