

**Federal Register.** Complainant may file replies to any written submissions no later than three calendar days after the date on which any initial submissions were due. Any submissions and replies filed in response to this Notice are limited to five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to § 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 3455") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, Electronic Filing Procedures<sup>1</sup>). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this Investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel,<sup>2</sup> solely for cybersecurity purposes. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.<sup>3</sup>

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of §§ 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the

Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 18, 2020.

**William Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2020–11032 Filed 5–21–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–1200]

### Certain Electronic Devices, Including Streaming Players, Televisions, Set Top Boxes, Remote Controllers, and Components Thereof; Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 16, 2020, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Universal Electronics Inc. of Scottsdale, Arizona. Supplements were filed on April 21, April 24, and May 1, 2020. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain devices, including streaming players, televisions, set top boxes, remote controllers, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,911,325 ("the '325 Patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,589,642 ("the '642 Patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,969,514 ("the '514 Patent"); U.S. Patent No. 10,600,317 ("the '317 Patent"); U.S. Patent No. 10,593,196 ("the '196 Patent"), and U.S. Patent No. 9,716,853 ("the '853 Patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3He1p@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3He1p@usitc.gov). Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be

obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Katherine Hiner, Office of the Secretary, Docket Services Division, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–1802.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2019).

**Scope of Investigation:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 18, 2020, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 4, 6–9, and 11–16 of the '325 patent; claims 1, 2–7, 12, 14, 19, 20, and 22–25 of the '642 patent; claims 1–6 and 20 of the '514 patent; claims 1–11 of the '317 patent; claims 1–22 of the '196 patent; claims 1–3 and 5–8 of the '853 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is "televisions, set-top boxes, remote control devices, streaming devices, and sound bars that incorporate the infringing technology";

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

Universal Electronics, Inc., 15147 N Scottsdale Road, Suite H300, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254

(b) The respondents is/are the following entities alleged to be in

<sup>1</sup> Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures: [https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook\\_on\\_filing\\_procedures.pdf](https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements.

<sup>3</sup> Electronic Document Information System (EDIS): <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

violation of section 337, and is/are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Roku Inc., 150 Winchester Circle, Los Gatos, CA 95032

TCL Electronics Holdings Limited, f/k/a, TCL Multimedia Holdings Limited, 7th Floor, Building 22E, 22 Science Park East Avenue, Hong Kong Science Park, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong

Shenzhen TCL New Technology Company Limited, 5 Shekou Industrial Avenue Shenzhen, 518067, P.R. China

TCL King Electrical Appliances, (Huizhou) Company Limited, 78 Zhongkai Development Zone, Huizhou, 516006, P.R. China

TTE Technology Inc. d/b/a/TCL USA and TCL North America, 555 South Promenade Avenue, Suite 103, Corona, CA 92879

TCL Corp., TCL Technology Building, 17 Huifeng 3rd Road, Zhongkai Hi-Tech Development District, Huizhou City, Guangdong Province, P.R. China

TCL Moka, Int'l Ltd., 13/F, TCL Tower, 8 Tai Chung Road Tsuen Wan, New Territories, Hong Kong

TCL Overseas Marketing Ltd., 13/F, TCL Tower, 8 Tai Chung Road Tsuen Wan, New Territories, Hong Kong

TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd., 13/F, TCL Tower, 8 Tai Chung Road Tsuen Wan, New Territories Hong Kong

TCL Smart Device (Vietnam) Company, Ltd., No. 26 VSIP II-A, Street 32, Vietnam Singapore Industrial Park II-A, Tan Binh Commune, Bac Tan Uyen District, Binh Duong Province, Vietnam

Hisense Co. Ltd., Hisense Tower, No. 17 Donghai West Road, South District, Qingdao, Shandong Province 266071, P.R. China

Hisense Electronics Manufacturing Company of America Corporation d/b/a Hisense USA, 7310 McGinnis Ferry Road, Suwanee, Georgia 30024

Hisense Import & Export Co. Ltd., Hisense Tower, No. 17 Donghai West Road, South District, Qingdao, Shandong Province 266071, P.R. China

Qingdao Hisense Electric Co., Ltd., 218 Qianwangang Road, Economic Technology Development Zone, Qingdao, Shandong Province 266555, P.R. China

Hisense International (HK) Co., Ltd., Room 3101-5, Singga Coml Ctr, 148

Connaught Road West, Sheng Wan Hong Kong (SAR)

Funai Electric Co., Ltd., 7-7-1 Nakagaito, Daito city, Osaka 574-0013, Japan

Funai Corporation Inc., 201 Route 17 North, Suite 903, Rutherford, NJ 07070

Funai (Thailand) Co., Ltd., 835 Moo 18, Pakchong-Lumsompung Road, Tambon Chantuek, Amphur Pakchong, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand, 30130

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this Investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 18, 2020

**William Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2020-11026 Filed 5-21-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### **United States v. Novelis Inc., et al., No. 1:10-cv-02033 (CAB); Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement**

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio in *United States of America v. Novelis Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-02033 (CAB). On September 4, 2019, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that Novelis Inc.'s proposed acquisition of Aleris Corporation's North American aluminum automotive body sheet ("ABS") business would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed on May 12, 2020, requires Novelis Inc. to divest Aleris Corporation's North American aluminum ABS operations in their entirety. The divestiture includes two facilities: One production facility in Lewisport, Kentucky, and one technical service center located in Madison Heights, Michigan; and all other tangible and intangible assets related to or used in connection with the Lewisport, Kentucky facility.

Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection on the Antitrust Division's website at <http://www.justice.gov/atr> and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, including the name of the submitter, and responses thereto, will be posted on the Antitrust Division's website, filed with the Court, and, under certain circumstances, published in the **Federal Register**. Comments should be directed to Katrina Rouse, Chief, Defense, Industrials and Aerospace Section, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 450 Fifth Street NW, Suite