

“actively participate in any litigation against the Plans” means, or should the Amendments require additional detail?

2. Do commenters believe that the Plans should require additional public disclosures of any personal, business, commercial, or financial interests, and any employment relationships that could materially affect the ability of the Advisory Committee Member to participate impartially in discussing actions of the Plans? Please explain.

3. Do commenters believe that Advisory Committee members that purchase SIP data products should participate in discussions regarding the pricing of SIP data products? If so, how do commenters believe Advisory Committee members should address that potential conflict?

Participant Statement Regarding Competition

1. The Participants state in their filing that the Amendments do not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Do commenters believe that the Amendments to the Plans impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act?

2. What effect might the Amendments have on competition, if any? Please explain. How would any effect on competition from the proposal benefit or harm the national market system and/or various market participants? Please describe and explain how, if at all, aspects of the national market system or different market participants would be affected. Please support any response with data, if possible.

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CTA/CQ-2019-01 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.
- All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CTA/CQ-2019-01. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/>

[sro.shtml](http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml)). Copies of the submission, all written statements with respect to the proposed Amendments that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed Amendments between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for website viewing and printing at the principal office of the Plans. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CTA/CQ-2019-01 and should be submitted on or before February 4, 2020.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-00363 Filed 1-13-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-87911; File No. SR-NSCC-2019-801]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Securities Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Advance Notice To Enhance National Securities Clearing Corporation’s Haircut-Based Volatility Charge Applicable to Municipal Bonds

January 8, 2020.

Pursuant to Section 806(e)(1) of Title VIII of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act entitled the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Supervision Act of 2010 (“Clearing Supervision Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4(n)(1)(i) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),² notice is hereby given that on December 13, 2019, National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the advance notice SR-NSCC-2019-801 (“Advance Notice”) as described in

¹ 12 U.S.C. 5465(e)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(n)(1)(i).

Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the clearing agency.³ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the Advance Notice from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Advance Notice

This Advance Notice consists of amendments to NSCC’s Rules & Procedures (“Rules”)⁴ in order to enhance NSCC’s haircut-based volatility charge applicable to municipal bonds (the “Bond Haircut”). References to the Bond Haircut in this document refer only to that charge as applied to municipal bonds. The proposed changes are described in greater detail below.

II. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Advance Notice

In its filing with the Commission, the clearing agency included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the Advance Notice and discussed any comments it received on the Advance Notice. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The clearing agency has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A and B below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Comments on the Advance Notice Received From Members, Participants, or Others

NSCC has not received or solicited any written comments relating to this proposal. NSCC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by NSCC.

(B) Advance Notice Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Clearing Supervision Act

Description of Proposed Changes

NSCC is proposing a number of enhancements to NSCC’s Bond Haircut, as described in greater detail below.

The Required Fund Deposit and the Bond Haircut

As part of its market risk management strategy, NSCC manages its credit exposure to Members by determining

³ On December 13, 2019, NSCC filed this Advance Notice as a proposed rule change (SR-NSCC-2019-004) with the Commission pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, 17 CFR 240.19b-4. A copy of the proposed rule change is available at <http://www.dtcc.com/legal/sec-rule-filings.aspx>.

⁴ Capitalized terms not defined herein are defined in the Rules, available at http://dtcc.com/~media/Files/Downloads/legal/rules/nsccl_rules.pdf.

the appropriate Required Fund Deposit for each Member and monitoring its sufficiency, as provided for in the Rules.⁵ The Required Fund Deposit serves as each Member's margin. The objective of a Member's Required Fund Deposit is to mitigate potential losses to NSCC associated with liquidation of the Member's portfolio in the event NSCC ceases to act for that Member (hereinafter referred to as a "default").⁶ The aggregate of all Members' Required Fund Deposits, together with certain other deposits required under the Rules, constitute the Clearing Fund of NSCC, which it would access should a defaulting Member's own Required Fund Deposit be insufficient to satisfy losses to NSCC caused by the liquidation of that Member's portfolio.

Pursuant to the Rules, each Member's Required Fund Deposit amount consists of a number of applicable components, each of which is calculated to address specific risks faced by NSCC, as identified within Procedure XV.⁷ Generally, the largest component of Members' Required Fund Deposits is the volatility component. The volatility component is designed to calculate the amount of money that could be lost on a portfolio over a given period of time assumed necessary to liquidate the portfolio, within a 99% confidence level.

NSCC has two methodologies for calculating the volatility component. For the majority of Net Unsettled Positions,⁸ NSCC calculates the volatility component as the greater of (1) the larger of two separate calculations that utilize a parametric Value at Risk ("VaR") model, (2) a gap risk measure calculation based on the largest non-index position in a portfolio that exceeds a concentration threshold, and

(3) a portfolio margin floor calculation based on the market values of the long and short positions in the portfolio ("VaR Charge").⁹ Pursuant to Sections I(A)(1)(a)(ii) and I(A)(2)(a)(ii) of Procedure XV, certain positions in certain classes of securities, including municipal bonds, are excluded from the calculation of the VaR Charge and are instead charged a haircut-based volatility component that is calculated by multiplying the absolute value of such positions by a percentage designated by NSCC which shall not be less than 2%.¹⁰

Existing Municipal Bond Haircut Methodology

The existing methodology for calculating the Bond Haircut is described in Sections I(A)(1)(a)(iii)(B) and I(A)(2)(a)(iii)(B) of Procedure XV.¹¹ In order to determine the current Bond Haircut, municipal bonds are categorized into tenor-based groups (*i.e.*, based on remaining time to maturity) and separately categorized by municipal sector. Sections I(A)(1)(a)(iii)(B) and I(A)(2)(a)(iii)(B) of Procedure XV provide that NSCC shall establish a percentage applicable to each tenor-based group and pursuant to those sections NSCC has established a percentage (which is not less than 2%) for each tenor-based group which is used to calculate the haircut-based charge applicable to that group.¹² For municipal bonds rated higher than BBB+, NSCC has established a tenor-based haircut for each tenor-based group. For example, a municipal bond rated above BBB+ with 3 years to maturity and \$10MM short position, will be subject to the 2–5 years tenor-based group haircut (5%) which will be applied to the absolute market value of the positions resulting in \$500K haircut-based charge.

Sections I(A)(1)(a)(iii)(B) and I(A)(2)(a)(iii)(B) of Procedure XV provide that NSCC shall assign each municipal sector a risk factor.¹³ For municipal bonds rated lower than a pre-determined threshold, which shall be no lower than BBB+, and non-rated municipal bonds, NSCC has established a percentage based on a sector-based risk factor which is also applied to the tenor-based haircut. For example, a municipal bond in the healthcare sector, rated BBB+ or lower with 3 years to

maturity and \$10MM short position, will be subject to the 2–5 years tenor-based group haircut (5%) multiplied by the sector-based factor (1.2), resulting in 6% haircut-based charge of \$600K. This additional sector-based risk factor is added because variable risk factors exist between municipal sectors based on the various industries in which the bonds are issued and the source of repayment for the bonds. For instance, general obligation bonds are typically backed by the taxing power of their issuer and repaid from general taxes whereas transportation or healthcare-related bonds may be repaid from funds from a specific project based on the revenues of the project. Such risk factor is based on the sector index's spread to a benchmark index.¹⁴ NSCC uses a vendor to match bonds to particular sectors. If a municipal bond does not fit within any particular sector, the highest sector-based risk factor is applied to such municipal bond. Currently, the highest sector-based risk factor is 2.6 used for bonds in the housing sector.

Enhancements to Municipal Bond Haircut Methodology

NSCC regularly assesses its market and liquidity risks, as such risks are related to its margining methodologies, to evaluate whether margin levels are commensurate with the particular risk attributes of each relevant product, portfolio, and market. In connection with such regular reviews, NSCC has determined based on impact studies that, under current market conditions, the current margin levels with respect to municipal bonds using the current methodology exceed the levels necessary to offset the risks with respect to these securities. Based on impact studies, NSCC has determined that changes to its current methodology for municipal bonds would result in margin levels that are lower and more commensurate with the risk attributes of those securities. In particular, as described below, NSCC is proposing to replace the municipal sector-based risk factor for lower rated municipal bonds with a percentage derived using the historical returns of applicable benchmark indices.

NSCC is proposing the following enhancements to the methodology used for calculating the Bond Haircut.

First, NSCC is proposing to recalculate the Bond Haircut not less frequently than annually. Sections I(A)(1)(a)(iii)(B) and I(A)(2)(a)(iii)(B) of

⁵ See Rule 4 (Clearing Fund) and Procedure XV (Clearing Fund Formula and Other Matters) of the Rules ("Procedure XV"), *supra* note 4. NSCC's market risk management strategy is designed to comply with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(4) under the Act, where these risks are referred to as "credit risks." 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(4).

⁶ The Rules identify when NSCC may cease to act for a Member and the types of actions NSCC may take. For example, NSCC may suspend a firm's membership with NSCC or prohibit or limit a Member's access to NSCC's services in the event that Member defaults on a financial or other obligation to NSCC. See Rule 46 (Restrictions on Access to Services) of the Rules, *supra* note 4.

⁷ Procedure XV, *supra* note 4.

⁸ "Net Unsettled Positions" and "Net Balance Order Unsettled Positions" refer to net positions that have not yet passed their settlement date, or did not settle on their settlement date, and are referred to collectively in this filing as Net Unsettled Positions. NSCC does not take into account any offsets, such as inventory held at other clearing agencies, when determining Net Unsettled Positions for the purpose of calculating the volatility component. See Procedure XV, *supra* note 4.

⁹ Sections I(A)(1)(a)(i) and I(A)(2)(a)(i) of Procedure XV, *supra* note 4.

¹⁰ Sections I(A)(1)(a)(ii) and I(A)(2)(a)(ii) of Procedure XV, *supra* note 4.

¹¹ Sections I(A)(1)(a)(iii)(B) and I(A)(2)(a)(iii)(B) of Procedure XV, *supra* note 4.

¹² *Id.*

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ The "spread" is the difference in the yield curve of the sector index to the yield curve of a benchmark index which is indicative of the added risk presented by the sector.

Procedure XV currently provide that each municipal sector is assigned a risk factor no less frequently than annually.¹⁵ As discussed above and below, the enhanced methodology for calculating Bond Haircuts would no longer include the straight risk factor by sector. The re-calibration of the Bond Haircut not less frequently than annually would replace the assignment of a straight risk factor no less frequently than annually. NSCC believes that the periodic re-calibration would help ensure that NSCC is reviewing the Bond Haircut with enough regularity to ensure that the margin levels are commensurate with the particular risk attributes of municipal bonds.

While the proposed rule change would provide that NSCC would re-calibrate not less frequently than annually, NSCC would initially re-calibrate the Bond Haircut on a quarterly basis. NSCC could change how often it recalibrates from time to time based on its regular review of margining methodologies; provided, that it would recalibrate not less frequently than annually pursuant to the proposed rule change. Changes to the frequency of calibration would be subject to NSCC's risk management practices which would require, among other things, approval by the DTCC Model Risk Governance Committee ("MRGC").¹⁶

Second, municipal bonds would be grouped into tenor-based groups and by credit rating, and municipal bonds that are rated BBB+ or lower, or that are not rated, would also be separately categorized by municipal sector. NSCC would then establish a percentage haircut for each group based on the (1) the historical returns of applicable benchmark indices, such as tenor-based indices (*i.e.*, based on time to maturity), municipal bond sector-based indices, and high-yield indices; (2) a pre-determined look-back period, which shall not be shorter than 10 years; and (3) a pre-determined calibration

percentile, which shall not be less than 99%.

For municipal bonds that are rated higher than BBB+, NSCC is proposing to use a tenor-based index (*i.e.*, based on time to maturity) as the applicable benchmark index. While the proposed rule change would provide that NSCC would base such percentage for bonds that are rated higher than BBB+ on historical returns of applicable benchmark indices, such as tenor-based indices (*i.e.*, based on time to maturity), municipal bond sector-based indices, and high-yield indices; NSCC would initially base the percentage derived from a benchmark municipal tenor-based index over a 3-day price return from the index. NSCC could change which applicable benchmark indices it uses and the applicable period for the price return used in the calculation from time to time based on its regular review of margining methodologies. Changes to the frequency of calibration would be subject to NSCC's risk management practices which would require, among other things, approval by the MRGC.¹⁷

For municipal bonds that are rated BBB+ or lower, or are not rated, NSCC is proposing to use a percentage derived from the maximum of the applicable tenor-based index, municipal bond sector-based indices and a high-yield index. Rather than multiply the tenor-based haircut by a straight risk factor for each municipal sector, as is done under the current methodology, the Bond Haircut for these lower rated or non-rated municipal bonds would be determined by using the maximum percent derived from either the applicable tenor-based index, the municipal bond sector-based indices or a high yield index. The enhancement would account for risks represented by the tenor, sector and high-yield characteristics that may be presented by these municipal bonds by using the maximum percent that is derived from either a tenor-based index, sector-based indices or a high yield index, rather than addressing these risks by multiplying the percent derived from a tenor-based index by a straight sector-based risk factor. Based on analysis of the impact studies, NSCC believes that the use of a risk factor based on the tenor-based index, municipal bond sector-based indices and a high-yield index would result in lower margins with respect to these securities that are sufficient to offset the risks with respect to these securities.

While the proposed rule change would provide that NSCC would base such percentage on historical returns of

applicable benchmark indices, such as tenor-based indices (*i.e.*, based on time to maturity), municipal bond sector-based indices, and high-yield indices; NSCC would initially base the percentage derived from a tenor-based index, municipal bond sector-based indices and a high-yield index over a 3-day price return from the indices. NSCC could change which applicable benchmark indices it uses and the applicable period for the price return used in the calculation from time to time based on its regular review of margining methodologies in accordance with its risk management practices which would require, among other things, approval by the MRGC.¹⁸

In extraordinary circumstances, a certain municipality or issuer may present unique risks beyond the calibrated tenor, sector and high-yield factors. For example, the market price risk for issues of a municipality facing technical default following a natural disaster may not be fully captured due to the liquidity profile of municipal securities. Therefore, NSCC would reserve the right to apply the highest haircut of all municipal bonds to a specific issuer in such instances. NSCC would apply the highest haircut in accordance with its risk management practices, including approval by an officer of NSCC in the risk management department, following a review of the circumstances facing the municipality and a finding that the market price movement raises risks that are not accounted for by the Bond Haircut methodology.

Finally, the recalibration of the Bond Haircut would apply a pre-determined look-back period. NSCC would initially apply a look-back period of a 10-year rolling window plus a one calendar year "worst case scenario" stress period. NSCC believes this look-back period is appropriate because it would capture relevant data and is adequate to cover enough market activity, while not diluting the "tail" with an abundance of data.¹⁹

While the proposed rule change would provide that NSCC would apply a pre-determined look-back period, which shall not be shorter than 10 years, NSCC would initially apply a look-back period of a 10-year rolling window plus a one calendar year "worst case scenario" stress period. NSCC could

¹⁵ Sections I(A)(1)(a)(iii)(B) and I(A)(2)(a)(iii)(B) of Procedure XV, *supra* note 4.

¹⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 81485 (August 25, 2017), 82 FR 41433 (August 31, 2017) (File No. SR-NSCC-2017-008) (describes the adoption of the Clearing Agency Model Risk Management Framework ("Model Risk Management Framework") of NSCC which sets forth the model risk management practices of NSCC) and Securities Exchange Act Release No. 84458 (October 19, 2018), 83 FR 53925 (October 25, 2018) (File No. SR-NSCC-2018-009) (amends the Model Risk Management Framework). The Model Risk Management Framework describes the model management practices adopted by NSCC, which have been designed to assist NSCC in identifying, measuring, monitoring, and managing the risks associated with the design, development, implementation, use, and validation of "models" which would include the methodology for the Bond Haircut. *Id.*

¹⁷ See note 16.

¹⁸ See note 16.

¹⁹ NSCC believes that a 10-year window with a one-year stress period is typically long enough to capture at least two recent market cycles. NSCC believes that data over a longer period will "flatten" out the results because recent volatile periods will be offset by non-volatile periods, making the more recent volatility appear less significant.

change the look-back period from time to time based on its regular review of margining methodologies in accordance with its risk management practices which would require, among other things, approval by the MRGC.²⁰

Proposed Rule Changes to Procedure XV

In order to implement the proposed enhancements to the Bond Haircut methodology described above, Sections I(A)(1)(a)(iii)(B) and I(A)(2)(a)(iii)(B) of Procedure XV would be revised to provide that: (i) Municipal bonds would be grouped by both “remaining time to maturity” and credit rating, and municipal bonds that are BBB+ or lower, or that are not rated, would be separately categorized by municipal sector, (ii) NSCC would establish the Bond Haircut percentages no less frequently than annually, (iii) the Bond Haircut percentage to be applied to municipal bonds would apply to each grouping of municipal bonds and (iv) the Bond Haircut percentage to be applied to municipal bonds would be based on (1) the historical returns of applicable benchmark indices, such as tenor-based indices (*i.e.*, based on time to maturity), municipal bond sector-based indices, and high-yield indices; (2) a pre-determined look-back period; and (3) a pre-determined calibration percentile, which shall not be less than 99%. In addition, Sections I(A)(1)(a)(iii)(B) and I(A)(2)(a)(iii)(B) of Procedure XV would be revised to remove the references to the municipal sector factor and the current application of the municipal sector factor in the last four sentences in Sections I(A)(1)(a)(iii)(B) and I(A)(2)(a)(iii)(B) of Procedure XV. A sentence would also be added to Sections I(A)(1)(a)(iii)(B) and I(A)(2)(a)(iii)(B) of Procedure XV to provide that in extraordinary circumstances where NSCC determines that a certain municipality or issuer of municipal bonds presents unique risks that are not captured by the grouping set forth in those subsections, NSCC may, in its discretion, apply the highest percentage being applied to any municipal bond group pursuant to those subsections to municipal bonds issued by such municipality or issuer.

Expected Effect on and Management of Risk

NSCC believes that the proposed changes to enhance the Bond Haircut would enable NSCC to better limit its risk exposures to Members arising out of their Net Unsettled Positions.

First, the proposal to enhance the methodology for determining the Bond

Haircut would improve NSCC’s ability to limit its risk exposures posed by Net Unsettled Positions in these municipal bonds by allowing it to (1) better identify the risks with respect to municipal bonds, and (2) calculate a volatility margin component that is appropriate for those risks.

Second, the proposal to re-calibrate the Bond Haircut no less frequently than annually, to calibrate the percent to a pre-determined percentile that would be not be less than 99% and to apply a pre-determined look back period would ensure that the Bond Haircut continues to accurately measure the risks presented by municipal bonds and to better limit its credit exposures posed by municipal bonds. The proposal would more appropriately address the risks presented by a Net Unsettled Position in municipal bonds by applying a calculation that more accurately measures the risks when determining the Bond Haircut to be used in that calculation. Therefore, by enabling NSCC to calculate and collect margin that more accurately reflects the risk characteristics of municipal bonds, these proposals would enhance NSCC’s risk management capabilities.

By providing NSCC with a more effective measurement of its exposures, as described above, the proposed change would also mitigate risk for Members because lowering the risk profile for NSCC would in turn lower the risk exposure that Members may have with respect to NSCC in its role as a central counterparty.

Consistency With the Clearing Supervision Act

Although the Clearing Supervision Act does not specify a standard of review for an advance notice, its stated purpose is instructive: To mitigate systemic risk in the financial system and promote financial stability by, among other things, promoting uniform risk management standards for systemically important financial market utilities and strengthening the liquidity of systemically important financial market utilities.²¹

Section 805(a)(2) of the Clearing Supervision Act²² authorizes the Commission to prescribe risk management standards for the payment, clearing and settlement activities of designated clearing entities, like NSCC, and financial institutions engaged in designated activities for which the Commission is the supervisory agency or the appropriate financial regulator. Section 805(b) of the Clearing

Supervision Act²³ states that the objectives and principles for the risk management standards prescribed under Section 805(a) shall be to, among other things, promote robust risk management, promote safety and soundness, reduce systemic risks, and support the stability of the broader financial system. The Commission has adopted risk management standards under Section 805(a)(2) of the Clearing Supervision Act²⁴ and Section 17A of the Exchange Act (“Covered Clearing Agency Standards”).²⁵ The Covered Clearing Agency Standards require registered clearing agencies to establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to meet certain minimum requirements for their operations and risk management practices on an ongoing basis.²⁶

(i) Consistency With Section 805(b) of the Clearing Supervision Act

For the reasons described below, NSCC believes that the proposed changes in this advance notice are consistent with the objectives and principles of these risk management standards as described in Section 805(b) of the Clearing Supervision Act and in the Covered Clearing Agency Standards.

As discussed above, NSCC is proposing changes to the way it calculates the volatility component of the Clearing Fund as applied to Net Unsettled Positions in municipal bonds. The volatility charge is one of the components of its Members’ Required Fund Deposits—a key tool that NSCC uses to mitigate potential losses to NSCC associated with liquidating a Member’s portfolio in the event of Member default. NSCC believes the proposed changes are consistent with promoting robust risk management because they are designed to enable NSCC to better limit its exposure to Members in the event of a Member default.

First, NSCC’s proposal to re-calibrate the Bond Haircut no less frequently than annually, to calibrate the percent to a pre-determined percentile that would be not be less than 99% and to apply a pre-determined look back period would better enable NSCC to limit its exposures to Net Unsettled Positions in municipal bonds. Second, the proposal to apply a risk factor based on a tenor-based index, municipal bond sector-based indices and a high-yield index for lower rated or non-rated municipal

²³ 12 U.S.C. 5464(b).

²⁴ 12 U.S.C. 5464(a)(2).

²⁵ See 17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(e).

²⁶ *Id.*

²⁰ See note 16.

²¹ See 12 U.S.C. 5461(b).

²² 12 U.S.C. 5464(a)(2).

bonds rather than a straight sector-based risk factor would better enable NSCC to limit its exposures to Members by basing this calculation on a more accurate measure of the risks relating to municipal bonds.

Furthermore, NSCC believes that the changes proposed in this advance notice are consistent with promoting safety and soundness, which, in turn, is consistent with reducing systemic risks and supporting the stability of the broader financial system, consistent with Section 805(b) of the Clearing Supervision Act.²⁷ The proposed changes are designed to better limit NSCC's exposures to Members in the event of Member default. As discussed above, the proposed enhancements to the Bond Haircut are designed to more accurately calculate the necessary margin relating to municipal bonds and would allow NSCC to limit its exposure to Members by applying a volatility component that is a more appropriate measure of volatility for Net Unsettled Positions in municipal bonds. The proposed enhancements to the Bond Haircut would allow NSCC to collect margin at levels that reflect the risk presented by Net Unsettled Positions in municipal bonds and would help NSCC limit its exposures to Members.

By better limiting NSCC's exposures to Members in the event of a Member default, the proposed changes are consistent with promoting safety and soundness, which, in turn, is consistent with reducing systemic risks and supporting the stability of the broader financial system.

(ii) Consistency With Rule 17Ad-22(e)(4)(i) and (e)(6)(i) and (v) Under the Act

NSCC believes that the proposed changes are consistent with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(4)(i) and (e)(6)(i) and (v), each promulgated under the Act.²⁸

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(4)(i) under the Act²⁹ requires that NSCC establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to effectively identify, measure, monitor, and manage its credit exposures to participants and those arising from its payment, clearing, and settlement processes, including by maintaining sufficient financial resources to cover its credit exposure to each participant fully with a high degree of confidence.

As described above, NSCC believes that the proposed changes would help

enable it to better identify, measure, monitor, and, through the collection of Members' Required Fund Deposits, manage its credit exposures to Members by maintaining sufficient resources to cover those credit exposures fully with a high degree of confidence. More specifically, the proposed changes to the methodology for Bond Haircuts to apply a risk factor based on multiple benchmark indices for lower rated or non-rated municipal bonds rather than a straight risk factor by sector would help allow NSCC to more accurately identify the credit exposure relating to Net Unsettled Positions in municipal bonds for purposes of applying an appropriate margin charge and to help provide NSCC with a more effective measure of the risks that may be presented to NSCC by positions in the securities. The proposed changes to (i) re-calibrate the Bond Haircut no less frequently than annually, (ii) calibrate the percent to a pre-determined percentile that would not be less than 99% level, and (iii) apply a pre-determined look-back period would enable NSCC to apply the proposed enhanced methodology discussed above and to better monitor its credit exposure relating to Net Unsettled Positions in municipal bonds. By providing that NSCC would be required to re-calibrate the Bond Haircut no less frequently than annually, the proposed rule change would help ensure that NSCC would periodically review the Bond Haircut to ensure that it continued to accurately reflect the risks presented by municipal bonds. Finally, by reserving the right to apply the highest group factor in extraordinary circumstances, NSCC would help protect itself in circumstances where the assigned factor does not adequately account for risks presented by extraordinary events, such as natural disasters.

Based on backtesting results in which the proposed methodology was applied, NSCC believes that the proposed changes would help allow it to collect Required Fund Deposits that are more accurate to offset the risks presented by municipal bonds and provide a better method of managing risks presented by those securities. Therefore, NSCC believes that the proposed changes would help enhance NSCC's ability to effectively identify, measure, monitor and manage its credit exposures and would help enhance its ability to maintain sufficient financial resources to cover its credit exposure to each participant fully with a high degree of confidence. As such, NSCC believes the

proposed changes are consistent with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(4)(i) under the Act.³⁰

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(6)(i) under the Act³¹ requires that NSCC establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to cover its credit exposures to its participants by establishing a risk-based margin system that, at a minimum, considers, and produces margin levels commensurate with, the risks and particular attributes of each relevant product, portfolio, and market.

The Required Fund Deposit is made up of risk-based components (as margin) that are calculated and assessed daily to limit NSCC's credit exposures to Members. NSCC is proposing changes that are designed to more effectively address risk characteristics of Net Unsettled Positions in municipal bonds by capturing risks more accurately by applying multiple indices. Rather than multiply the tenor-based haircut for lower rated bonds by a straight risk factor for each municipal sector, the Bond Haircut for lower rated or non-rated municipal bonds would be determined by using the maximum percent derived from either the tenor-based index, the municipal bond sector-based indices or a high yield index. Based on backtesting results, NSCC believes that deriving the percent using a maximum of the indices more accurately captures the risk of such municipal bonds that may be presented by tenor, sector and the higher yield of these securities compared to the present use of a straight sector-based risk factor. Based on such results, NSCC believes that these changes would help enable NSCC to produce margin levels that are more commensurate with the particular risk attributes of these securities. These proposed changes are designed to assist NSCC in maintaining a risk-based margin system that considers, and produces margin levels commensurate with, the risks and particular attributes of portfolios relating to municipal bonds, including risks and attributes related to tenor, municipal sector and higher yields. Therefore, NSCC believes the proposed change is consistent with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(6)(i) under the Act.³²

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(6)(v) under the Act³³ requires that NSCC establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to cover its credit exposures to its participants by establishing a risk-based margin system

²⁷ 12 U.S.C. 5464(b).

²⁸ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(4)(i) and (e)(6)(i) and (v).

²⁹ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(4)(i).

³⁰ *Id.*

³¹ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(6)(i).

³² *Id.*

³³ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(6)(v).

that, at a minimum, uses an appropriate method for measuring credit exposure that accounts for relevant product risk factors and portfolio effects across products. NSCC is proposing to enhance the Bond Haircut because NSCC believes that the proposed methodology would help provide NSCC with a more effective measure of the credit exposure presented by municipal bonds. In particular, as described above, NSCC believes that the enhancements would result in a more effective measure of the tenor, sector and higher yield risks presented by municipal bonds that are rated BBB+ or lower, or are not rated. Therefore, NSCC believes the proposed change is consistent with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(6)(v) under the Act.³⁴

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Advance Notice, and Timing for Commission Action

The proposed change may be implemented if the Commission does not object to the proposed change within 60 days of the later of (i) the date that the proposed change was filed with the Commission or (ii) the date that any additional information requested by the Commission is received. The clearing agency shall not implement the proposed change if the Commission has any objection to the proposed change.

The Commission may extend the period for review by an additional 60 days if the proposed change raises novel or complex issues, subject to the Commission providing the clearing agency with prompt written notice of the extension. A proposed change may be implemented in less than 60 days from the date the advance notice is filed, or the date further information requested by the Commission is received, if the Commission notifies the clearing agency in writing that it does not object to the proposed change and authorizes the clearing agency to implement the proposed change on an earlier date, subject to any conditions imposed by the Commission.

The clearing agency shall post notice on its website of proposed changes that are implemented.

The proposal shall not take effect until all regulatory actions required with respect to the proposal are completed.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the Advance Notice is consistent with the Clearing Supervision Act. Comments may be

submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NSCC-2019-801 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NSCC-2019-801. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the Advance Notice that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the Advance Notice between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of NSCC and on DTCC's website (<http://dtcc.com/legal/sec-rule-filings.aspx>). All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NSCC-2019-801 and should be submitted on or before January 29, 2020.

By the Commission.

Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-00366 Filed 1-13-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-87908; File No. S7-24-89]

Joint Industry Plan; Notice of Filing of the Forty-Fourth Amendment to the Joint Self-Regulatory Organization Plan Governing the Collection, Consolidation and Dissemination of Quotation and Transaction Information for Nasdaq-Listed Securities Traded on Exchanges on an Unlisted Trading Privileges Basis

January 8, 2020.

I. Introduction

Pursuant to Section 11A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 608 of Regulation National Market System ("NMS") thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on July 5, 2019,³ the Joint Self-Regulatory Organization Plan Governing the Collection, Consolidation and Dissemination of Quotation and Transaction Information for Nasdaq-Listed Securities Traded on Exchanges on an Unlisted Trading Privileges Basis ("Nasdaq/UTP Plan" or "Plan")⁴ participants ("Participants")⁵ filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") a proposal to amend the Nasdaq/UTP Plan. The amendment represents the 44th amendment to the Nasdaq/UTP Plan ("Amendment"). As described in the Amendment, the Participants propose to make mandatory a conflicts

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78k-1.

² 17 CFR 242.608.

³ See Letter from Robert Books, Chair, Nasdaq/UTP Plan Operating Committee, to Vanessa Countryman, Secretary, Commission, dated July 3, 2019 ("Transmittal Letter").

⁴ The Plan governs the collection, processing, and dissemination on a consolidated basis of quotation information and transaction reports in Eligible Securities for its Participants. This consolidated information informs investors of the current quotation and recent trade prices of Nasdaq securities. It enables investors to ascertain from one data source the current prices in all the markets trading Nasdaq securities. The Plan serves as the required transaction reporting plan for its Participants, which is a prerequisite for their trading Eligible Securities. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 55647 (April 19, 2007), 72 FR 20891 (April 26, 2007).

⁵ The Participants are the national securities association and national securities exchanges that submit trades and quotes to the Plan and include: Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc., Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc., Cboe EDGA Exchange, Inc., Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc., Cboe Exchange, Inc., NYSE Chicago, Inc., Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., The Investors' Exchange LLC, Long-Term Stock Exchange, Inc., Nasdaq BX, Inc., Nasdaq ISE, LLC, Nasdaq PHLX, Inc., The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, New York Stock Exchange LLC, NYSE American LLC, NYSE Arca, Inc., and NYSE National, Inc. (each a "Participant" and collectively, the "Participants"). Participants are also members of the Plan's Operating Committee.

³⁴ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(6)(v).