

2.2.5–4 that identified the heat loads for the auxiliary building rooms containing instrumentation and controls (I&C) and direct current (dc) power electrical equipment. The changes proposed in this LAR applied only to auxiliary building rooms outside the main control room envelope (MCRE).

Part of the justification for granting the exemption was provided by the review of the amendment. Because the exemption is necessary in order to issue the requested license amendment, the NRC granted the exemption and issued the amendment concurrently, rather than in sequence. This included issuing a combined safety evaluation containing the NRC staff's review of both the exemption request and the license amendment. The exemption met all applicable regulatory criteria set forth in §§ 50.12, 52.7, and section VIII.A.4 of appendix D to 10 CFR part 52. The license amendment was found to be acceptable as well. The combined safety evaluation is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML20059N793.

Identical exemption documents (except for referenced unit numbers and license numbers) were issued to SNC for VEGP Units 3 and 4 (COLs NPF–91 and NPF–92). The exemption documents for VEGP Units 3 and 4 can be found in ADAMS under Accession Nos. ML20059N787 and ML20059N790, respectively. The exemption is reproduced (with the exception of abbreviated titles and additional citations) in Section II of this document. The amendment documents for COLs NPF–91 and NPF–92 are available in ADAMS under Accession Nos. ML20059N779 and ML20059N782, respectively. A summary of the amendment documents is provided in Section III of this document.

II. Exemption

Reproduced below is the exemption document issued to VEGP Units 3 and Unit 4. It makes reference to the combined safety evaluation that provides the reasoning for the findings made by the NRC (and listed under Item 1) in order to grant the exemption:

1. In a letter dated November 22, 2019, Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) requested from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) an exemption to allow departures from Tier 1 information in the certified DCD incorporated by reference in 10 CFR part 52, appendix D, “Design Certification Rule for the AP1000 Design,” as part of license amendment request (LAR) 19–010, “Auxiliary Building Room Heat-up.”

For the reasons set forth in Section 3.2 of the NRC staff's Safety Evaluation,

which can be found in ADAMS under Accession No. ML20059N793, the Commission finds that:

A. The exemption is authorized by law;

B. the exemption presents no undue risk to public health and safety;

C. the exemption is consistent with the common defense and security;

D. special circumstances are present in that the application of the rule in this circumstance is not necessary to serve the underlying purpose of the rule;

E. the special circumstances outweigh any decrease in safety that may result from the reduction in standardization caused by the exemption; and

F. the exemption will not result in a significant decrease in the level of safety otherwise provided by the design.

2. Accordingly, SNC is granted an exemption from the certified AP1000 DCD Tier 1 information, with corresponding changes to Appendix C of the Facility Combined License, as described in the licensee's request dated November 22, 2019. This exemption is related to, and necessary for the granting of License Amendment No. 181 [and 180 for Unit 4] which is being issued concurrently with this exemption.

3. As explained in Section 5.0 of the NRC staff's Safety Evaluation (ADAMS Accession No. ML20059N793), this exemption meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment needs to be prepared in connection with the issuance of the exemption.

4. This exemption is effective as of the date of its issuance.

III. License Amendment Request

By letter dated November 22, 2019 (ADAMS Accession No. ML19326D430), SNC requested that the NRC amend the COLs for VEGP, Units 3 and 4, COLs NPF–91 and NPF–92. The proposed amendment is described in Section I of this **Federal Register** notice.

The Commission has determined for these amendments that the application complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

A notice of consideration of issuance of amendment to facility operating license or COL, as applicable, proposed no significant hazards consideration determination, and opportunity for a

hearing in connection with these actions, was published in the **Federal Register** on January 14, 2020 (85 FR 2158). No comments were received during the 30-day comment period.

The Commission has determined that these amendments satisfy the criteria for categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for these amendments.

IV. Conclusion

Using the reasons set forth in the combined safety evaluation, the staff granted the exemption and issued the amendment that SNC requested on May 12, 2020. The exemption and amendment were issued on May 12, 2020, as part of a combined package to SNC (ADAMS Accession No. ML20059N763).

Dated: May 22, 2020.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Victor E. Hall,

Chief, Vogtle Project Office, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2020–11498 Filed 5–28–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2020–137 and CP2020–146; MC2020–138 and CP2020–147]

New Postal Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* June 2, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.¹

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s)*: MC2020–137 and CP2020–146; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service Contract 3 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: May 22, 2020; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 *et seq.*,

and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative*: Kenneth R. Moeller; *Comments Due*: June 2, 2020.

2. *Docket No(s)*: MC2020–138 and CP2020–147; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 617 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: May 22, 2020; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 *et seq.*, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative*: Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due*: June 2, 2020.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Erica A. Barker,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–11614 Filed 5–28–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. C2020–1; Order No. 5519]

Complaint of Randall Ehrlich

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing an order denying a motion to expand issues in the Complaint of Randall Ehrlich v. United States Postal Service, which relates to alleged discrimination by Postal Service management in continuing a suspension of mail service due to a dog hold on the Complainant's residence. This notice informs the public of that denial.

ADDRESSES: For additional information, Order No. 5519 can be accessed electronically through the Commission's website at <https://www.prc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction and Procedural History
- II. Motion To Expand Issues
- III. Commission Analysis

I. Introduction and Procedural History

On December 23, 2019, Randall Ehrlich (Complainant) filed a complaint pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3662(a) and 403(c) concerning an ongoing suspension of mail service to his home.¹ The Complainant alleges that the Postal Service unreasonably discriminated against him in its suspension of mail delivery to his front porch mailbox. Complaint at 13. He requests that the Commission require delivery to be

restored to his front porch mailbox and that “all discriminatory acts and omissions” against Complainant “cease immediately.” *Id.* at 14. On January 13, 2020, the Postal Service filed a motion to dismiss the Complaint.² Complainant responded to the Motion to Dismiss on January 31, 2020.³

Chairman's Information Request No. 1 was issued on January 16, 2020,⁴ which the Postal Service responded to on January 23, 2020.⁵ Chairman's Information Request No. 2⁶ was issued February 4, 2020, to which the Postal Service responded on February 18, 2020,⁷ after requesting⁸ and receiving⁹ an extension of time to respond. Complainant replied to the Response to CHIR No. 2 on February 24, 2020.¹⁰

On March 17, 2020, the Commission concluded that the Complaint raised material issues of fact, and therefore denied the Postal Service's Motion to Dismiss pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3662(b) and 39 CFR 3030.30(a)(1).¹¹ Additionally, the Commission appointed a Presiding Officer to set a procedural schedule and conduct limited discovery for the purpose of determining disputed issues of fact in the case.¹² The Commission stated that the scope of the discovery proceeding would be limited only to fact-finding conducted by the Presiding Officer on specific issues of fact to resolve whether a violation of 39 U.S.C. 403 occurred, namely:

1. Whether any dogs remain at Complainant's residence that are

² United States Postal Service Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice the Complaint of Randall Ehrlich, January 13, 2020 (Motion to Dismiss).

³ Response to Motion to Dismiss, January 31, 2020 (Response).

⁴ Chairman's Information Request No. 1, January 16, 2020 (CHIR No. 1).

⁵ Responses of the United States Postal Service to Questions No 1–2 of Chairman's Information Request No. 1, January 23, 2020 (Response to CHIR No. 1).

⁶ Chairman's Information Request No. 2, February 4, 2020 (CHIR No. 2).

⁷ Response of the United States Postal Service to Questions 1–4 of Chairman's Information Request No. 2, February 18, 2020 (Response to CHIR No. 2).

⁸ United States Postal Service Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to Questions 1–4 of Chairman's Information Request No. 2, February 11, 2020 (Postal Service Motion).

⁹ Order Granting Motion for Extension of Time to File Responses to Chairman's Information Request No. 2, February 12, 2020 (Order No. 5425). Order No. 5425 also granted Complainant additional time to respond to the Postal Service's answers. Order No. 5425 at 2.

¹⁰ Response to USPS's Answers to Chairman Information Request No. 2, with Third Ehrlich Declaration, February 24, 2020 (Reply to CHIR No. 2 Responses).

¹¹ Order Denying Postal Service Motion to Dismiss Complaint and Notice of Limited Formal Proceedings, March 17, 2020, at 7 (Order No. 5455).

¹² Order No. 5455 at 8; see 39 CFR 3030.21.

¹ See Docket No. RM2018–3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19–22 (Order No. 4679).

¹ Complaint of Randall Ehrlich, December 23, 2019 (Complaint).