

respondent) \* .20 (12 minutes or the time taken to prepare each response).

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E.405A, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 21, 2020.

**Melody Braswell,**  
*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2020–16125 Filed 7–23–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–14–P**

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1117–0021]

**Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection, eComments Requested; Extension Without Change of a Previously Approved Collection Dispensing Records of Individual Practitioners**

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-day Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until September 22, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have comments on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Scott A. Brinks, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration; Mailing Address: 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; Telephone: (571) 362–3261.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information proposed to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other forms of

information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Overview of This Information Collection**

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.
2. *Title of the Form/Collection:* Dispensing Records of Individual Practitioners.
3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* No form number is associated with this collection. The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Drug Enforcement Administration, Diversion Control Division.
4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Affected public: Business or other for-profit.  
*Abstract:* Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 827(c), practitioners who regularly dispense or administer controlled substances to patients and charge them for the substances and those practitioners who administer controlled substances in the course of maintenance or detoxification treatment shall keep records of such activities, and accordingly must comply with the regulations on recordkeeping.
5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* The below table presents information regarding the number of respondents, responses and associated burden hours.

Activity	Number of annual respondents	Number of annual responses	Average annual time per response (hours)
Dispensing records of individual practitioners .....	62,392	62,392	.5
Recordkeeping requirements of collectors .....	9,941	9,941	.5
Total .....	72,333	72,333	N/A

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the proposed collection:* DEA estimates that this collection takes 36,167 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required please contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, Suite 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 21, 2020.

**Melody Braswell,**  
*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2020–16123 Filed 7–23–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–09–P**

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

**[Notice (20–063)]**

**Notice of Availability of the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for Soil Cleanup Activities at Santa Susana Field Laboratory**

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability (NOA) of the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) for Soil

Cleanup Activities at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL).

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as amended, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA, and NASA's NEPA-implementing regulations, policy, and procedures, NASA has prepared a Final SEIS for soil cleanup activities at SSFL in Ventura County, California. The purpose of this NOA is to announce the issuance and public availability of the Final SEIS and inform the public of where the document may be viewed. The Final SEIS is intended to inform NASA decision-makers, regulating agencies, and the public about the environmental impact of proposed soil cleanup in the NASA-administered portion of SSFL.

**DATES:** NASA will execute a Record of Decision (ROD) no sooner than 30 calendar days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register** (FR) of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) NOA of the Final SEIS.

**ADDRESSES:** The Final SEIS may be reviewed at the following locations:

1. Simi Valley Library, 2969 Tapo Canyon Road, Simi Valley, CA 93063, Phone: (805) 526-1735.
2. Platt Library, 23600 Victory Blvd., Woodland Hills, CA 91367, Phone: (818) 340-9386.
3. California State University, Northridge Oviatt Library, 18111 Nordhoff Street, 2nd Floor, Room 265, Northridge, CA 91330, Phone: (818) 677-2285.
4. Department of Toxic Substances Control, 9211 Oakdale Avenue, Chatsworth, CA 91311, Phone: (818) 717-6521.

The Final SEIS is also available on the internet at: <https://www.nasa.gov/feature/environmental-impact-statement-eis-for-demolition-and-environmental-cleanup-activities>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Peter Zorba, SSFL Project Director, by email at [msfc-ssfl-information@mail.nasa.gov](mailto:msfc-ssfl-information@mail.nasa.gov), or 202-714-0496. Additional information about NASA's SSFL Site, the proposed soil cleanup activities, and the associated planning process and documentation (as available) may be found on the internet at <https://ssfl.msfc.nasa.gov> or on the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) website at [https://www.dtsc.ca.gov/SiteCleanup/Santa\\_Susana\\_Field\\_Lab/](https://www.dtsc.ca.gov/SiteCleanup/Santa_Susana_Field_Lab/).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The SSFL site is 2,850 acres located in Ventura

County, California, approximately 7 miles northwest of Canoga Park and approximately 30 miles northwest of downtown Los Angeles. SSFL is composed of four areas known as Areas I, II, III, and IV and two "undeveloped" areas. The NASA-administered portion is 41.7 acres within Area I and all 409.5 acres of Area II and was historically used for developing and testing rocket engines. The Boeing Company (Boeing) owns the remaining 2,398.8 acres within Areas I, III, IV, and the two undeveloped areas. The Department of Energy (DOE) is responsible for building demolition and cleanup of soils and groundwater in Area IV and the Northern Buffer Zone.

Rocket engine testing has been discontinued at SSFL and the property has been excessed to the General Services Administration (GSA). GSA has conditionally accepted the Report of Excess pending certain environmental cleanup requirements are met.

NASA entered into an Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) for Remedial Action with DTSC on December 6, 2010, "to further define and make more specific NASA's obligations with respect to the cleanup of soils at the Site." Based on the 2010 Order, NASA is required to complete a federal environmental impact analysis pursuant to NEPA and NASA Procedural Requirement (NPR) 8580.1.

NASA completed a Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) per NEPA for demolition of site infrastructure, soil cleanup, and groundwater remediation within Area II and a portion of Area I (former Liquid Oxygen [LOX] Plant) of SSFL on March 14, 2014 (79 FR 14545). NASA subsequently issued a ROD for building demolition on April 25, 2014. A ROD for groundwater cleanup was published in the **Federal Register** on October 17, 2018 (83 FR 52570). On October 25, 2019, an NOA was published in the FR (84 FR 57490) for the Draft SEIS, which initiated a 45-day public comment period. On December 9, 2019, NASA published a notice in the FR that advised the public that the comment period would be extended by 30 days to January 8, 2020 (84 FR 67296).

This Final SEIS has been prepared by NASA for soil cleanup within its administered portion of SSFL.

**Alternatives:** The CEQ's implementing regulations for NEPA require that where significant new information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on a proposed action or its impacts exists, an SEIS must be prepared for the original EIS so that the Agency has the best possible information to make any necessary substantive changes in its decisions

regarding the proposed action. NASA initiated preparation of this Final SEIS when it determined that pursuant to information found in the DTSC's Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Review for the SSFL cleanup, the soil quantity which may need to be removed from the SSFL site far exceeded the estimate NASA used in its 2014 Final EIS. NASA determined this constituted significant new information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the proposed action.

NEPA requires analysis of a range of reasonable alternatives to the proposed action. The alternatives section is the heart of any NEPA document, including this Final SEIS. In determining the scope of alternatives to be considered, NASA considered those alternatives that are: (1) Practical and feasible using both the AOC framework and a risk-based approach based on criteria provided for in the National Contingency Plan and as set forth in applicable California state law, (2) protective both environmentally and from a human health-based perspective, and (3) feasible from a technical implementability standpoint.

As it prepared the Final SEIS and evaluated the alternatives set forth below, NASA was mindful of its responsibility to implement an environmental cleanup of residual contaminants in Area II and the portion of Area I over which it has continued oversight in a manner that is fully protective of public health and the environment, preserves to the maximum extent possible the Site's natural and cultural resources, and is feasible (*i.e.*, implementable). The Final SEIS takes into account other responsible party cleanup obligations for the areas of SSFL that surround NASA's property to ensure a long-term, cohesive, and uniform remedial action is implemented. The Final SEIS considers the following range of alternatives that meet NASA's objectives to clean up soil at the portion of the SSFL site administered by NASA.

- **Alternative A:** AOC Cleanup using DTSC-proposed Look-up Table (LUT) values (similar to the Proposed Action from the 2014 Final EIS with the impacts of increased soil volumes considered)

- **Alternative B:** Revised LUT Cleanup (this alternative involves proposed revisions to seven of the 139 LUT values to reflect standard California Water Board and California Human Health screening values. All other DTSC-proposed LUT values would remain the same.)

- **Alternative C:** Suburban Residential Cleanup (based on the DTSC-approved Standardized Risk Assessment

Methodology [SRAM] Revision 2 Addendum, EPA risk assessment guidelines for residential land use, and California Environmental Protection Agency [Cal EPA] risk assessment guidance)

- *Alternative D: Recreational Cleanup* (based on DTSC-approved SRAM Revision 2 Addendum, EPA risk assessment guidelines for recreational land use, and Cal EPA risk assessment guidance)

A No Action alternative, which is required per NEPA, was also included in the analysis, though it would not meet the purpose and need of the proposed action.

Public meetings on the Draft EIS were held in the vicinity of SSFL on November 20 and 21, 2019. During the review period, NASA received approximately 1,200 comments, over 800 of which were form letters. After considering all comments received, NASA prepared the Final SEIS. There are no substantive changes to the range of alternatives considered. Alternative C, Suburban Residential Cleanup, is identified as the Agency's Preferred Alternative, and, along with Alternative D, the Agency's Environmentally Preferable Alternative.

**Cheryl Parker,**

*Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2020–16076 Filed 7–23–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510–13–P**

## NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Contractor Budget and, Contractor Representation and Certification

**AGENCY:** National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The National Credit Union Administration (NCUA), as part of a continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on the following extension of a currently approved collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before September 22, 2020 to be assured consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the information collection to Mackie Malaka, National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Suite

6060, Alexandria, Virginia 22314; Fax No. 703–519–8579; or email at [PRAComments@NCUA.gov](mailto:PRAComments@NCUA.gov). Given the limited in-house staff because of the COVID–19 pandemic, email comments are preferred.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Address requests for additional information to Mackie Malaka at the address above or telephone 703–548–2704.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OMB Number:** 3133–0189.

**Title:** Contractor Budget and Contractor Representation and Certification.

**Form:** NCUA 3249a and 3249b.

**Type of Review:** Extension of a currently approved collection.

**Abstract:** Standardized information from prospective outside counsel is essential to the NCUA in carrying out its responsibility as regulator, conservator, and liquidating agent for federally insured credit unions. The information will enable the NCUA to further standardize the data it uses to select outside counsel, consider additional criteria in making its selections, and improve efficiency and recordkeeping related to its selection process.

**Affected Public:** Private Sector: Business or other for-profits.

**Estimated No. of Respondents:** 100.

**Estimated No. of Responses per**

**Respondent:** 1.

**Estimated Total Annual Responses:** 100.

**Estimated Burden Hours per Response:** 2.

**Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:** 200.

**Request for Comments:** Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. The public is invited to submit comments concerning: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper execution of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of the information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

By Gerard Poliquin, Secretary of the Board, the National Credit Union Administration, on July 20, 2020.

Dated: July 20, 2020.

**Mackie I. Malaka,**

*NCUA PRA Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2020–16027 Filed 7–23–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7535–01–P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Sunshine Act Meetings; National Science Board

The National Science Board (NSB), pursuant to NSF regulations (45 CFR part 614), the National Science Foundation Act, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 1862n–5), and the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), hereby gives notice of the scheduling of meetings for the transaction of NSB business as follows:

**TIME AND DATE:** Wednesday, July 29, 2020 from 11:00 a.m. to 6:45 p.m., and Thursday, July 30, 2020 from 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. EDT.

**PLACE:** These meetings will be held by videoconference. There will be no in-person meetings to attend. The public may observe the public meetings, which will be streamed to the NSF You Tube channel. For meetings on Wednesday, July 29, go to: <https://youtu.be/3CXXjWbwsYE>. For meetings on Thursday, July 30, go to: <https://youtu.be/rjs0ny0zgR4>.

**STATUS:** Some of these meetings will be open to the public. Others will be closed to the public. See full description below.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

##### Wednesday, July 29, 2020

###### Plenary Board Meeting

Open session: 11:00 a.m.–12:10 p.m.; 12:20 p.m.–1:35 p.m.

- NSB Chair's Welcome
- Swearing-In New Members of the Class 2020–2026
- NSF Director's Remarks
- NSB Chair Activity Summary
- *Vision 2030* Implementation Working Group Update
- NSF HBCU Programs Overview
- Framing Black Experiences in Science and Engineering Panel Discussion

###### Committee on External Engagement (EE)

Open session: 1:35 p.m.–2:15 p.m.

- Committee Chair's Opening Remarks
- Approval of Prior Minutes
- NSB Messages on the “Missing Millions” and Engaging Partners on *Vision 2030* Roadmap Actions
- Update on NSB Resources for External Engagement
- Honorary Awards 2021 Call for Nominations