

DATES: The EA and FONSI referenced in this document are available on July 14, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2020-0044 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

Federal Rulemaking Website: Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2020-0044. Address questions about NRC docket IDs in *Regulations.gov* to Jennifer Borges Roman; telephone: 301-287-9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

• *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this document (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Yen-Ju Chen, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; telephone: 301-415-1018; email: Yen-Ju.Chen@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The NRC is reviewing an exemption request from Duke Energy, dated September 12, 2019 (ADAMS Accession No. ML19270E395), and supplemented by a letter dated February 3, 2020 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20052D934), and June 15, 2020 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20178A548). Duke Energy is requesting an exemption from the requirements of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) in § 72.212(b)(3), (b)(5)(i), and (b)(11) that require Duke Energy and its MAGNASTOR® Cask 0FCTKN045 to comply with the terms, conditions, and specifications of the Certificate of Compliance (CoC) No. 1031, Amendment No. 7 (ADAMS Accession No. ML17013A481). If approved, Duke Energy's exemption request would accordingly allow Duke Energy to

maintain MAGNASTOR® Cask 0FCTKN045 in a storage condition where the helium density is above the range specified in CoC No. 1031, Amendment No. 7, Technical Specification (TS) 3.1.1.

II. Environmental Assessment Summary

Under the requirements of §§ 51.21 and 51.30(a), the NRC staff developed an EA (ADAMS Accession No. ML20192A329) to evaluate the proposed action, which is for the NRC to grant Duke Energy an exemption from the requirements of § 72.212(b)(3), (b)(5)(i), and (b)(11), only as these requirements pertain to MAGNASTOR® Cask 0FCTKN045. The exemption would allow Duke Energy to maintain its MAGNASTOR® Cask 0FCTKN045 at McGuire Nuclear Station ISFSI in its current storage condition. The exemption would therefore not require Duke Energy to restore its MAGNASTOR® Cask 0FCTKN045 to full compliance with the helium density range specified in TS 3.1.1.

The EA defines the NRC's proposed action (*i.e.*, to grant Duke Energy's exemption request per 10 CFR 72.7) and the purpose and need for the proposed action. Evaluations of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed action and alternatives to the proposed action are presented, followed by the NRC's conclusion.

The EA evaluates the potential environmental impacts of granting the exemption. The potential environmental impact of using NRC-approved storage casks was initially analyzed in the EA for the rulemaking to provide for the storage of spent fuel under a general license on July 18, 1990 (55 FR 29181). The EA for using the MAGNASTOR® System, Amendment No. 7 (81 FR 13265) tiers off of the EA for the 1990 final rule.

The NRC staff finds that the higher helium density would not adversely affect thermal performance and the structural integrity of the cask system is maintained, and thus, there would be no release from the canister. Therefore, the proposed action does not change the types or quantities of effluents that may be released offsite, it does not increase occupational or public radiation exposure, and there will be no significant radiological impact to the environment. The proposed action will take place within the site boundary and does not have other environmental impacts. Accordingly, the environmental impacts are bounded by the previous NRC EA for the rulemaking to add the MAGNASTOR® System, Amendment No. 7, to 10 CFR 72.214.

Thus, the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared an EA and associated FONSI in support of the proposed action. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment, and that the proposed action is the preferred alternative.

The NRC provided the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services with a draft copy of the EA for a 30-day review on June 18, 2020 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20171A452) and received its response on June 23, 2020 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20177A379). The NRC also informed the North Carolina State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) of its no effects determination on January 7, 2020 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20008D559) and received the SHPO's concurrence on January 14, 2020 (ADAMS Package Accession No. ML20015A314).

The NRC staff has determined that this exemption would have no impact on ecological resources, and therefore no consultations are necessary under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C.A. 1536).

Therefore, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a FONSI is appropriate.

Dated: July 16, 2020.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John B. McKirgan,

*Chief, Storage and Transportation Branch,
Division of Fuel Management, Office of
Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 2020-15768 Filed 7-21-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 72-1050; NRC-2016-0231]

Interim Storage Partners Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Draft environmental impact statement; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On May 8, 2020, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued for public comment a draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)

for Interim Storage Partners' (ISP's) license application to construct and operate a consolidated interim storage facility (CISF) for spent nuclear fuel (SNF) and Greater-Than Class C (GTCC) waste, along with a small quantity of mixed oxide fuel. The public comment period was originally scheduled to close on September 4, 2020. Given recent events associated with the COVID-19 public health emergency, the NRC has decided to extend the public comment period to allow more time for members of the public to develop and submit their comments.

DATES: The due date of comments requested in the document published on May 8, 2020 (85 FR 27447) is extended. Comments should be filed no later than November 3, 2020. Comments received after this date will be considered, if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking website:* Go to <https://www.regulations.gov/> and search for Docket ID NRC-2016-0231. Address questions about NRC Docket IDs to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301-287-9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *Mail comments to:* Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWFN-7-A60M, ATTN: Program Management, Announcements and Editing Staff, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

- *Email comments to:* WCS_CISF@nrc.gov.

For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments, see "Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments" in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Park, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-6954; email: James.Park@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2016-0231 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this action by the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking website:* Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2016-0231. An electronic copy of the draft EIS has been posted under Docket ID NRC-2016-0231 as supporting material.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The draft EIS is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML20122A220.

- *Project web page:* Information related to the ISP CISF project can be accessed on the NRC's ISP CISF web page at <https://www.nrc.gov/waste/spent-fuel-storage/cis/waste-control-specialist.html>.

- *Public Libraries:* A Web link to the electronic copy of the draft EIS has been made available at the following public library websites:

- *Eunice Public Library:* <https://www.cityofeunice.org/134/Library-Services>, under "U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Information."

- *Hobbs Public Library:* <http://www.hobbspublishing.org/>, under "News & Updates."

- *Andrews County Library:* <https://www.andrews.lib.tx.us/news-events>, under "News & Events."

B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC-2016-0231 in your comment submission. Written comments may be submitted during the draft EIS comment period as described in the **ADDRESSES** section of the document.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at <https://www.regulations.gov> as well as enter the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment

submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Discussion

On May 8, 2020, the NRC issued for public comment a draft EIS for ISP's license application to construct and operate a CISF for SNF and GTCC waste, along with a small quantity of mixed oxide fuel. The proposed CISF would be located on an approximately 130-hectare (320-acre) site, within the approximately 5,666-hectare (14,000-acre) Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. The proposed action is the issuance of an NRC license authorizing a CISF to store up to 5,000 metric tons of uranium (MTUs) [5,500 short tons] of SNF for a license period of 40 years. ISP plans to subsequently request amendments to the license to store an additional 5,000 MTU for each of seven expansion phases of the proposed CISF (a total of eight phases), to be completed over the course of 20 years. The proposed facility could eventually store up to 40,000 MTUs [44,000 short tons] of SNF.

The draft EIS for ISP's license application includes the NRC staff's preliminary analysis that evaluates the environmental impacts of the proposed action and the No-Action alternative to the proposed action. After comparing the impacts of the proposed action to those of the No-Action alternative, the NRC staff, in accordance with the requirements in part 51 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, recommends the proposed action, which is the issuance of an NRC license to ISP to construct and operate a CISF at the proposed location to temporarily store up to 5,000 MTUs [5,500 short tons] of SNF for a licensing period of 40 years. This recommendation is based on (i) the ISP license application, which includes the environmental report and supplemental documents, and ISP's responses to the NRC staff's requests for additional information; (ii) the NRC staff's consultation with Federal, State, Tribal, and local agencies and input from other stakeholders; (iii) the NRC staff's independent review; and (iv) the NRC staff's assessments provided in the EIS.

The public comment period was originally scheduled to close on September 4, 2020. The NRC has decided to extend the public comment until November 3, 2020, to allow more time for members of the public to submit their comments. Comments of Federal, State, and local agencies, Indian Tribes or other interested

persons will be made available for public inspection when received.

Dated: July 16, 2020.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jessie M. Quintero,

Acting Chief, Environmental Review Materials Branch, Division of Rulemaking, Environmental, and Financial Support, Office of Nuclear Material Safety, and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2020–15809 Filed 7–21–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

Notice Initiating Docket(s) For Recent Postal Service Negotiated Service Agreement Filings

Issued July 17, 2020.

	Docket No.
Competitive Product Prices, Priority Mail Contracts, Priority Mail Contract 642	MC2020–202
Competitive Product Prices, Priority Mail Contract 642 (MC2020–202), Negotiated Service Agreements	CP2020–229

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.¹

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and

39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s).*: MC2020–202 and CP2020–229; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 642 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: July 16, 2020; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through 3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative*: Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due*: July 24, 2020.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Erica A. Barker,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–15871 Filed 7–21–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[SEC File No. 270–17, OMB Control No. 3235–0018]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request]

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–2736

Extension:

Rule 15b6–1 and Form BDW

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (“PRA”) (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) a request for approval of extension of the previously approved collection of information provided for in Rule 15b6–1 (17 CFR 240.15b6–1), under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*).

Registered broker-dealers use Form BDW (17 CFR 249.501a) to withdraw

from registration with the Commission, the self-regulatory organizations, and the states. On average, the Commission estimates that it would take a broker-dealer approximately one hour to complete and file a Form BDW to withdraw from Commission registration as required by Rule 15b6–1. The Commission estimates that approximately 317 broker-dealers withdraw from Commission registration annually¹ and, therefore, file a Form BDW via the internet with the Central Registration Depository, a computer system operated by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. that maintains information regarding registered broker-dealers and their registered personnel. The 317 broker-dealers that withdraw from registration by filing Form BDW would incur an aggregate annual reporting burden of approximately 317 hours.²

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information under the PRA unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The public may view background documentation for this information collection at the following website: www.reginfo.gov.

Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to (i) www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain and (ii) David Bottom,

¹ This estimate is based on Form BDW data collected over the past three years for fully registered broker-dealers. This estimate is based on the numbers of forms filed; therefore, the number may include multiple forms per broker-dealer if the broker-dealer's initial filing was incomplete. In fiscal year (from 10/1 through 9/30) 2017, 328 broker-dealers withdrew from registration. In fiscal year 2018, 328 broker-dealers withdrew from registration. In fiscal year 2019, 296 broker-dealers withdrew from registration. $(328 + 328 + 296) / 3 = 317$ (rounded down from 317.33).

² $(317 \times 1 \text{ hour}) = 317 \text{ hours}$.

¹ See Docket No. RM2018–3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19–22 (Order No. 4679).