

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**GENERAL SERVICES
ADMINISTRATION****NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND
SPACE ADMINISTRATION****48 CFR Part 52**

[FAC 2020–07; FAR Case 2018–022; Item V; Docket No. FAR–2019–0010; Sequence No. 1]

RIN 9000–AN80

**Federal Acquisition Regulation: Orders
Issued via Fax or Electronic Commerce**

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule amending a Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) clause to permit the issuance of task or delivery orders via facsimile or electronic commerce and clarify when an order is considered “issued” when using these methods.

DATES: *Effective:* August 3, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Curtis E. Glover, Sr., Procurement Analyst, at (202) 501–1448 or curtis.glover@gsa.gov for clarification of content. For information pertaining to status or publication schedules, contact the Regulatory Secretariat Division at (202) 501–4755. Please cite FAC 2020–07, FAR Case 2018–022.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Background**

DoD, GSA, and NASA published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** at 84 FR 44270 on August 23, 2019, to update a clause to permit the issuance of task or delivery orders via fax or electronic commerce and clarify when an order is considered “issued” when using these methods. Two respondents submitted comments on the proposed rule.

FAR clause 52.216–18, Ordering, currently states that task or delivery orders may be issued orally, by fax, or electronic commerce only if authorized in the contract schedule. If mailed, task or delivery orders are considered “issued” when the Government puts the order in the mail. The clause is included in solicitations and contracts when an indefinite-delivery definite-quantity, requirements, or indefinite-delivery indefinite-quantity contract is contemplated.

As part of today’s business environment, the Government and Federal contractors frequently use email, fax (via computer, online service, or machine), or other electronic commerce methods to communicate with one another. In an effort to reflect current business practices and maintain speed and efficiency in the ordering process, this rule updates FAR clause 52.216–18 to no longer require a separate authorization in the contract to use electronic commerce or fax to issue task or delivery orders. The rule also identifies when a task or delivery order is considered “issued” when using such methods. As a result, contracting officers will no longer need to include supplemental ordering language in the contract when anticipating the use of fax or electronic commerce to issue task or delivery orders. Ordering information will be located in one place in the contract. A common understanding of when a task or delivery order is considered issued, in such situations, will be applied Governmentwide.

As task or delivery orders are not issued orally as frequently as other issuance methods and the use of such a method is dependent upon the particular circumstances of the procurement, the authority to issue orders orally must still be separately authorized under the contract and is not being amended by this rule.

II. Discussion and Analysis

The Civilian Agency Acquisition Council and the Defense Acquisition Regulations Council (the Councils) reviewed the public comments in the development of the final rule. Both comments were outside of the scope of this rule and no changes were made to the final rule as a result of public comments.

**III. Applicability to Contracts at or
Below the Simplified Acquisition
Threshold (SAT) and for Commercial
Items, Including Commercially
Available Off-the-Shelf (COTS) Items**

This final rule does not create any new provisions or clauses, nor does it change the applicability or burden of any existing provisions or clauses included in solicitations and contracts valued at or below the SAT, or for commercial items, including COTS items.

IV. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits

(including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under section 6(b) of E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

V. Executive Order 13771

This rule is not subject to E.O. 13771, because this rule is not a significant regulatory action under E.O. 12866.

VI. Regulatory Flexibility Act

DoD, GSA, and NASA have prepared a Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA) consistent with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.* The FRFA is summarized as follows:

The Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) are amending a Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) clause to automatically permit the issuance of task or delivery orders via fax or electronic commerce, without additional authorization text in the contract and to clarify when an order is considered to be “issued” when using these methods. The objective of the rule is to update the clause to reflect current business practices and maintain speed and efficiency when issuing task and delivery orders under a contract.

No public comments were received in response to the initial regulatory flexibility analysis. DoD, GSA, and NASA do not expect this rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.* The rule simply formalizes a current business practice. The Government does not collect data on the total number of task and delivery orders issued by mail, fax, and/or electronic commerce. However, the Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS) provides the following information for fiscal year 2018:

The Federal Government awarded approximately 17,690 new indefinite-delivery indefinite-quantity, indefinite-delivery definite-quantity, and requirements contracts; of which approximately 62 percent were awarded to approximately 7,420 unique small business entities.

This rule does not impose any Paperwork Reduction Act reporting, recordkeeping, or other compliance requirements on any small entities. There are no known significant alternative approaches to the rule that would meet the stated objectives.

Interested parties may obtain a copy of the FRFA from the Regulatory Secretariat Division. The Regulatory Secretariat Division has submitted a

copy of the FRFA to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

VII. Paperwork Reduction Act

The rule does not contain any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 52

Government procurement.

William F. Clark,

Director, Office of Government-wide Acquisition Policy, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Government-wide Policy.

Therefore, DoD, GSA, and NASA amend 48 CFR part 52 as set forth below:

PART 52—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

■ 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 51 U.S.C. 20113.

- 2. Amend section 52.216–18 by—
- a. Revising the date of the clause;
- b. Revising paragraph (c); and
- c. Adding paragraph (d).

The revisions and addition read as follows:

52.216–18 Ordering.

* * * * *

Ordering (Aug 2020)

* * * * *

(c) A delivery order or task order is considered “issued” when—

- (1) If sent by mail (includes transmittal by U.S. mail or private delivery service), the Government deposits the order in the mail;
- (2) If sent by fax, the Government transmits the order to the Contractor’s fax number; or
- (3) If sent electronically, the Government either—

(i) Posts a copy of the delivery order or task order to a Government document access system, and notice is sent to the Contractor; or

(ii) Distributes the delivery order or task order via email to the Contractor’s email address.

(d) Orders may be issued by methods other than those enumerated in this clause only if authorized in the contract.

(End of clause)

[FR Doc. 2020–12766 Filed 7–1–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–EP–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Parts 4, 5, 9, 18, 27, and 52

[FAC 2020–07; Item VI; Docket No. FAR–2020–0052; Sequence No. 2]

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Technical Amendments

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document makes amendments to the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) in order to make needed editorial changes.

DATES: Effective: July 2, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Lois Mandell, Regulatory Secretariat Division (MVCB), at 202–501–4755 or GSARegSec@gsa.gov. Please cite FAC 2020–07, Technical Amendments.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In order to update certain elements in 48 CFR parts 5, 9, 27, and 52 this document makes editorial changes to the FAR.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 4, 5, 9, 18, 27, and 52

Government procurement.

William F. Clark,

Director, Office of Government-wide Acquisition Policy, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Government-wide Policy.

Therefore, DoD, GSA, and NASA amend 48 CFR parts 4, 5, 9, 18, 27, and 52 as set forth below:

■ 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR parts 4, 5, 9, 18, 27, and 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 51 U.S.C. 20113.

PART 4—ADMINISTRATIVE AND INFORMATION MATTERS

4.1603 [Amended]

■ 2. In section 4.1603 amend paragraph (a)(1) by removing “http://www.gsa.gov/graphics/fas/Civilian_contacts.pdf” and adding “<https://community.max.gov/x/24foL>” in its place.

PART 5—PUBLICIZING CONTRACT ACTIONS

5.205 [Amended]

■ 3. In section 5.205 amend paragraph (f) by removing “national buy”.

PART 9—CONTRACTOR QUALIFICATIONS

9.109–4 [Amended]

■ 4. Amend section 9.109–4 in paragraph (a)(1)(i) by removing “<https://www.state.gov/t/avc/rls/rpt/>” and adding “<https://www.state.gov/bureaus-offices/under-secretary-for-arms-control-and-international-security-affairs/bureau-of-arms-control-verification-and-compliance/>” in its place.

PART 18—EMERGENCY ACQUISITIONS

18.205 [Amended]

■ 5. In section 18.205 amend paragraph (a) by removing “<http://www.fema.gov/emergency/nrf/>” and adding “<https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/117791>” in its place.

PART 27—PATENTS, DATA, AND COPYRIGHTS

27.405–3 [Amended]

■ 6. In section 27.405–3 amend paragraph (b) by removing “with paragraph (a)(1) of” and adding “with paragraph (a) of” in its place.

PART 52—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

■ 7. Amend section 52.209–13 by:

■ a. Revising the date of the provision; and

■ b. Removing from paragraphs (b)(1)(i) and (ii) “<https://www.state.gov/t/avc/rls/rpt/>” and adding “<https://www.state.gov/bureaus-offices/under-secretary-for-arms-control-and-international-security-affairs/bureau-of-arms-control-verification-and-compliance/>” in its place.

The revision reads as follows:

Violation of Arms Control Treaties or Agreements—Certification

Violation of Arms Control Treaties or Agreements—Certification (JUL 2020)

* * * * *

■ 8. Amend section 52.212–5 by—

■ a. Revising the date of the clause;

■ b. Removing from paragraph (b)(14)(ii) “(MAR 2020)” and adding “(MAR 2020) of 52.219–6” in its place;

■ c. Redesignating paragraph (b)(18) as (b)(18)(i) and adding (b)(18)(ii);