



8.12.2015

MISSION REPORT

following the delegation of the Committee on Legal Affairs to Washington, DC, on
3-6 November 2015

Committee on Legal Affairs

Members of the mission:

Pavel Svoboda	(PPE) (Leader of the mission)
Tadeusz Zwiefka	(PPE)
Emil Radev	(PPE)
Dietmar Köster	(S&D)
Victor Negrescu	(S&D)
Angel Dzhambazki	(ECR)
Julia Reda	(Verts/ALE)
Gilles Lebreton	(ENF)

Introduction

A delegation of the Committee on Legal Affairs (JURI) visited Washington, DC, United States, on 3-6 November 2015, with the purpose of discussing primarily questions relating to civil justice, Private International Law and Intellectual Property Rights with public bodies and civil society.

Led by the JURI Chairman, Pavel Svoboda (EPP, CZ) the delegation was composed of Tadeusz Zwiefka (EPP, PL), Emil Radev (EPP, BL), Dietmar Köster (S&D, DE), Victor Negrescu (S&D, RO), Angel Dzhambazki (ECR, BL), Julia Reda (Greens/ALE, DE) and Gilles Lebreton (ENF, FR). Catherine Stihler from IMCO also participated in a number of meetings.

A delegation of the Committee on Constitutional Affairs (AFCO) visited Washington, DC, on the same dates, 3-6 November 2015, primarily to discuss questions relating to transparency, ethics, accountability, integrity, federalism and the application of the principle of subsidiarity.

The 77th EU-US Interparliamentary meeting (IPM) in the context of the Transatlantic Legislative Dialogue (TLD) also took place in Washington, DC, on 4-5 November 2015. An ad hoc delegation from the EP Delegation for relations with the United States was thus also present on the ground during the same dates.

All three EP delegations (JURI, AFCO and EP-US/TLD) therefore agreed to attend a joint debriefing by EU Ambassador David O'Sullivan and Antoine Ripoll, the Director of EPLO, on the evening of Tuesday 3 November 2015, followed by a reception at the Ambassador's residence in honour of the TLD meeting, which was due to start the following morning. Since the main part of the TLD discussions was scheduled to take place in the Congress on 4 November 2015, the JURI and AFCO delegations agreed to schedule meetings in the Congress only on 5 November 2015.

The JURI and AFCO delegations held meetings from the morning of Wednesday, 4 November 2015, until lunchtime on Friday, 6 November 2015.

Summary of meetings

Wednesday, 4 November 2015

(1) Meeting with Justice Breyer and attendance at court hearings at the US Supreme Court

The delegation started with a meeting in the chambers of Associate Justice Stephen Breyer, during which he explained the practical work of the Court and the challenges it faces. Around 80 cases are tried by the Court each year; although about 150 cases are examined each week for the purposes of

determining whether they warrant to be accepted to be tried by the Court. Since the role of the Court is not to correct errors, the most common type of cases before it are those which raise questions on which lower level (State or federal) courts have reached different decisions. The Court is unanimous in about half the cases heard and comes to a split decision (5-4) in about 20 percent of the cases. Directly following this meeting the Members attended the hearing of two cases in front of the full Court (all nine Justices): Shapiro v. McManus, a case concerning the question whether a single district judge can dismiss a complaint for failure to state a claim in the case of a challenge to the constitutionality of the apportionment of congressional and state legislative districts under the Federal Rules of Procedure without referring the case for consideration by three judges, and Bruce v. Samuels, on whether the Prison Litigation Reform Act limits the monthly payment to cover the filing fees of a prisoner to 20% of the prisoner's monthly income regardless of the number of pending cases the prisoner has in federal courts.

(2) Lunch roundtable hosted by the **Center for Democracy and Technology (CDT)**

This meeting assembled representatives from civil society, academia and the not-for-profit sector active in the area of IPR, including from the Association of Research Libraries, Georgetown University, American University, Public Knowledge, American Library Association, R Street Institute, Knowledge Ecology International and the New America Foundation's Open Technology Institute. The discussion focused on the upcoming reforms of copyright to the digital environment in the EU and the US, in particular possible exceptions and limitations which would affect academic and cultural institutions, including mass digitisation and text and data mining.

(3) Meeting with the **Office of the US Intellectual Property Enforcement Coordinator**

The meeting with Danny Marti, US Intellectual Property Enforcement Coordinator, which took place in the Eisenhower Executive Office Building of the White House complex, also included representatives from the Department of Justice/Computer Crime and IP Section, the Department of Homeland Security Immigration and Customs Enforcement and Customs and Border Protection, the National IPR Coordination Center and the USPTO/Enforcement Group. The discussion focused on how the fight against counterfeiting is carried out by the US authorities and on the increasing cooperation between Europol and the FBI. The approaches to the fight (follow the money principle) are very similar. In parallel with this meeting, Mrs Reda participated on a panel in a conference organised by the Atlantic Council.

(4) Roundtable discussion with the **United States Trade Representative** and other IPR bodies

This meeting was chaired on the US side by George York, the Deputy Assistant US Trade Representative for Intellectual Property and Innovation and lead IPR negotiator for TTIP. Other speakers included Michael Shapiro, Senior Counsel of the Office for Policy and International

Affairs at the US Patents and Trademark Office. The discussion focused on the upcoming reforms of copyright on both sides of the Atlantic, trademarks, geographical indications (GIs), trade secrets and the progress made in the TTIP negotiations on the IPR chapter. George York explained the US position on GIs, which is critical of the EU approach to the subject, with the main points of contention being alleged due process deficits and the fact that protected EU products are doing well in the US whereas similar US products are not doing very well in the EU. Part of the discussion was also devoted to technical protection measures, geo-blocking and the copyright legal basis for the upcoming legislative proposal from the European Commission on portability of on-line subscription services.

(5) Meeting at the Office of Private International Law of the State Department

This meeting was chaired by Michael Coffee, Attorney-Advisor at the Office of Private International Law in the Office of the Legal Adviser, and also included colleagues from the General Counsel Office and from the Eastern Europe regional offices in the State Department. The discussion focused on support measures in civil justice matters in the Ukraine and Montenegro and technical judicial assistance under the Hague Conference. As a general principle, the US joins multilateral conventions concerning judicial assistance where they have a track-record of working properly and delivering results, and would decline requests for entering into bilateral agreements. A consideration to take into account is the substantial time which is normally required in order to ratify international agreements according to the internal US procedures. Efforts are made to encourage countries in particular in Africa and Asia to join such multilateral treaties. Recent exchanges of experience between the US Supreme Court and the Luxemburg and Strasbourg Courts were considered very fruitful and it could be explored whether such exchanges could be expanded to include lower level courts. Some discussion also touched upon judicial aspects of the TTIP negotiations, but it was agreed that those negotiations have not sufficiently progressed when it comes to this field as to allow for any definitive conclusions.

Thursday, 5 November 2015

(6) Meeting with members of the Judiciary Committee of the House of Representatives

This meeting took place in the Judiciary Committee meeting room on Capitol Hill with the participation of the following Representatives: Darell Edward Issa (R-CA), Judy May Chu (D-CA), Suzan Kay DelBene (D-WA) and John James Conyers, Jr (D-MI). The meeting was also well attended by congressional staffers. The discussion focused on reform of copyright to the digital environment, in particular the role and capacity of the US Copyright Office and the US registration/submission systems, digital questions such as localisation and jurisdiction in the wake of the *Schrems* judgment and the Judicial Redress Bill and transparency questions relating to TPP

and the TTIP negotiations. Representative Issa stressed that the "home team advantage" has to be removed for the purposes of globalisation and that common standards must be agreed whatever the location. Both sides agreed that more structured communication should be instigated between the two committees on these and other relevant and timely questions. Chairman Svoboda extended an invitation to the members of the Judiciary Committee to visit JURI in Brussels.

(7) Roundtable hosted by the Computer and Communications Industry Association (CCIA)

This meeting was chaired by Ed Black, President and CEO of the CCIA, and brought together private stakeholders active in the IPR sector, in particular representatives from tech companies such as Amazon, British Telecom, eBay, Facebook, Google and Twitter, and representatives from the Internet Association and the Internet Infrastructure Coalition. The discussion focused on digital intermediary liability questions, such as the EU e-Commerce Directive and the notice and take down procedure under the US Digital Millennium Copyright Act, portability and geo-blocking, ancillary and flexible copyright and Safe Harbour. It was emphasised that many of these questions are not black and white, but considerably nuanced, that we are furthermore all in this together (end-users, intermediaries and rightsholders), that it should not be considered a zero-sum game where a gain for one is a loss for another, and that the focus should always be on the long-term perspective since the kind of markets involved in the digital sphere take a long time to develop.

(8) Meeting with Senator Orrin Hatch

The discussions with Senator Orrin Hatch (D-UT), which took place in the President Pro Tempore office in the Capitol, focused on TPP and TTIP against the backdrop of IPR questions. While TPP and TTIP are two different beasts, the fate of the latter is highly dependent upon the successful approval in Congress of the former. At the time of the meeting, the text of TPP was not yet available, and the Senator underlined that he would withhold his final judgment until after he had indeed read it. With IPR questions being more pronounced in TPP than TTIP, and seeing that many of the TPP counterparts have less developed IPR systems in place, in particular on enforcement, the fact that the pharmaceutical and biotech industry do not seem to get the 12 years of market exclusivity that it had hoped for but rather 8 years (5 years + 3 years potentially), it might not be enough for the agreement to be approved. Stronger incentives for industry might be needed, not least in order to ensure that research can take place and for investments to be fully recovered. This is also worrying since TPP might possibly set standards to which TTIP must conform. TPP however imposes labour standards, which will not be likely to be the case for TTIP. Currently only 13 Democrat senators are supporting TPP, and strong support from the Republicans is therefore needed for approval. Since there can be no TTIP without TPP, there is a pronounced need to bring people together in order to raise support and it is furthermore important that both Republicans and Democrats, and indeed Congress and the European Parliament, work together on this. While TPP is likely to be ushered in by President Obama, the Senator does not believe that TTIP will be finalised before the President's term in office ends next year.

(9) Lunch meeting hosted by the **Trans-Atlantic Business Council (TABC)**

The participants were: Tim Bennett, Director-General/CEO, TABC, Tina Chappell, Associate General Counsel; Director of Intellectual Property Policy, Intel Corporation, Jason Olson, AT&T, Thomas Whitehead, BT, Gina Vetere, Covington & Burling LLP, Anastacio Ramos, Verizon, Tim Bennett, TABC, and Kara Sutton, TABC Council. Discussions ranged over patents and copyright, liability of ISPs and platforms, TTIP and Investor-State Dispute Settlement.

(10) Roundtable discussion with **think-tanks, academics and congressional staffers**

This meeting was organised by the Digital Liberty and Property Rights Alliance and took place in the Capitol Hill Visitors Center. It brought together an extensive group of organisations, academic institutions and congressional staffers which all identify as standing to the right on the political spectrum, at least by European standards, and therefore representative of a suasive part of US society. Participants included representatives from Americans for Tax Reform, Citizens Against Government Waste, American Commitment and the American Legislative Exchange Council. The meeting started with shorter presentations from book authors and researchers on timely projects and then broke up into more informal encounters.

(11) Launch reception of the **Annual Global Intellectual Property Summit**

The Members attended the launch reception at Capitol Hill of the Annual Global Intellectual Property Summit, organised by the US Chamber of Commerce.

Friday, 6 November 2015

(12) Meeting with the **US Patent and Trademark Office**

This meeting, which took place at the EU delegation premises, provided an opportunity to follow up on the discussion at the USTR on Wednesday, 4 November 2015, which Shira Perlmutter, Chief Policy Officer and Director for International Affairs of the US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), was unable to attend. She was joined by Michael Shapiro, Senior Counsel at the Office for Policy and International Affairs. The discussion focused exclusively on the upcoming reforms of copyright to the digital environment in the EU and the US. The latter should not be described as a comprehensive review but rather a targeted approach concerning specific questions, such as the legal status of remixes, which is a form of user-generated content, the question of ensuring the

equivalent exhaustion environment for digital works as compared to analogue works (currently exhaustion is not considered to have taken place when a work is transmitted digitally) and statutory damages, which is to say pre-set amounts ranging from individual file-sharers to large scale or mass-sharing. The USPTO has drafted a white paper on these and other questions which is currently going through a governmental clearance process and will be published by the end of the year. A lot of focus is put on the non-legislative area, for instance a document has been drawn up which outlines good and bad practices when it comes to the notice and take down procedure, which is available on the USPTO website. Since the US rules in this area are fundamentally similar to the EU acquis, we should both work together in order to get the rest of the world to follow our example. US stakeholders are following the Digital Single Market initiative with interest, and since a single copyright title is available for one single market in the US, the USPTO is willing to share their experience. They look favourably at the prospect of the creation of a voluntary registration system and would like to see global connectivity between possible future data bases. It should be emphasised that territoriality and geo-blocking are not exclusively European phenomena; for example, in the US not only the availability and price of sporting events but generally cable TV offers and prices differ in different parts of the US. The major strength of the US copyright system is arguably the so-called fair use doctrine whereby the unlicensed use of copyright-protected work is permitted in certain circumstances, such as for criticism, comment, news reporting, teaching, scholarship and research, regardless of whether the purpose is commercial. It is however necessary to leave it to the courts to determine the exact limits of this legal doctrine.

(13) Meeting with the Smithsonian Institution

The meeting which was held at the Smithsonian Castle Library was chaired by Dietmar Köster for the Legal Affairs Committee. The US side was made up of Aviva Rosenthal, David Mees, Liz Tunick Cedar, Rebecca Wall, and Paula DePriest, of the Smithsonian and David Feinman from the Conference on Jewish Material Claims Against Germany, Inc. and the World Jewish Restitution Organization. It was recalled that in 2003 the Legal Affairs Committee adopted under the rapporteurship of the late Willy De Clercq a report on a legal framework for free movement within the internal market of goods whose ownership is likely to be contested. The committee coordinators have decided to commission a study with a view to considering whether to draw up a legislative initiative report on the protection of tangible heritage and preventing trafficking or trade in plundered heritage. The discussions centered around what the Smithsonian and the US is doing to protect and preserve the world's cultural heritage, particularly in conflict zones. There was a general discussion on how to protect tangible heritage and prevent trafficking in plundered heritage and an analysis of the difference between US law and European law, in particular the lack of good faith provisions in US law. In parallel with this meeting, Mr Svoboda participated in a panel at the IP Summit conference on multilateral rulemaking in the field of IPR.

(14) Meeting with the Legal Department of the World Bank

This meeting was chaired on the World Bank side by Alberto Ninio, Deputy General Counsel at the Legal Department. It was also attended by Georgia Harley, Justice Reform Specialist, Global Governance Practice. The focus of discussions was civil justice cooperation and development in Eastern Europe, in particular Bulgaria, Serbia, Montenegro, Turkey, Ukraine and Moldova. On Bulgaria, focus is put on the independence of the judiciary. On Moldova, the EU support system has not worked out as planned, partly due to failed budget support initiatives, and a dialogue between the EU and the World Bank is welcomed, in particular in order to implement an evidence-based approach. On Turkey, bureaucratic difficulties are hampering progress, and the cooperation between the European Commission and the World Bank has been described as two elephants hugging. The World Bank is very interested in the EU small claims initiative since this is something that could bring concrete added value for ordinary citizens and small and medium sized enterprises. In general, a lot of the work in all of these countries is focused on roll-out and implementation gaps, which is to say activities aiming at ensuring that what the legislature decides is actually realised on the ground, which often relates to very practical questions, such as the correct way to fill out a form. In Ukraine, one can identify three problems today which have to be addressed: the unclear coordination between donors, the rapid adoption of a very large number of laws and the lack of an evidence-based approach which makes it difficult to know where to start and where to focus activities.

(15) Meeting with the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID)

The focus of this highly appreciated meeting was a presentation by Meg Kinnear, the Secretary General of ICSID on the settlement of international investment disputes in general and the activities of ICSID in particular. Martina Polasek, Senior Counsel at ICSID, also participated. The organisation is both international and impartial and brings together considerable knowledge in the fields of International Investment Law, Public International Law and arbitration/conflict resolution. The ICSID Convention has 151 state signatories, with all EU Member States except Poland being members. English, French and Spanish are the official languages. There are only 35 years of case law in the field, with a considerable increase of cases from the mid-1990s onwards. On average, a successful investor recovers about 38 percent. About 18 percent of cases concern individuals, large multinational corporations are rare in this field, although the average cost for a procedure is USD 4 million per party. Cases today are dominated by developing and transition countries. There has recently been an increase in the number of investment treaties and there is a move today towards regional treaties, such as TPP and TTIP. Most often such treaties include provisions on arbitration under the ICSID convention, but conciliation is also on the rise. An interesting discussion in the context of TTIP is the possible creation of a standing body for appointing arbitrators. The international investment community has also long sought a standing international appellate body for investment disputes, and TTIP might possibly be a start toward this, but it is still unclear whether such a body would fix the problem of diverging rulings of tribunals. ICSID welcomes a continued discussion with JURI on these questions.

Conclusions

The Members of the delegation held very fruitful discussions with a wide array of public and private American stakeholders and with the World Bank, with a clear focus on Intellectual Property Law, in particular the upcoming reform of copyright, and civil justice cooperation in Eastern Europe, notably concerning candidate and neighbourhood countries such as Montenegro and Ukraine. The exchanges held during the mission provided very useful information and contacts with a view to the future activities of the Committee in these and other fields.

As follow-up to this mission, Members have proposed to take different concrete actions, including to ensure a more structured exchange of views with the House Judiciary Committee and to invite its members to visit Brussels, and to hold further detailed exchanges with American stakeholders concerning IPR and copyright reform, including with tech companies.



Европейски парламент Parlamento Europeo Evropský parlament Europa-Parlamentet Europäisches Parlament
Euroopa Parlament Ευρωπαϊκό Κοινοβούλιο European Parliament Parlement européen Parlaimint na hEorpa
Europski parlament Parlamento europeo Eiropas Parlaments Europos Parlamentas Európai Parlament
Parlament Ewropew Europees Parlement Parlament Europejski Parlamento Europeu Parlamentul European
Európsky parlament Evropski parlament Euroopan parlamentti Europaparlamentet

COMMITTEE ON LEGAL AFFAIRS

MISSION TO WASHINGTON, DC, UNITED STATES

3 - 6 November 2015

PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW, JUDICIAL COOPERATION AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

FINAL PROGRAMME

Final version of 4 November 2015

Tuesday 3 November		
	Arrival of Members and staff to Dulles Airport, individual transfer to hotels	
	<u>Members:</u> The Capital Hilton Hotel 1001 16th Street NW, Tel. +1-202-393-1000	<u>Staff:</u> The Hamilton Crowne Plaza Hotel 1001 14th Street NW, Tel. +1-202-682-0111
17.00	Bus departure from the Capital Hilton Hotel to EPLO	
17.30- 18.30	Briefing by <u>Ambassador David O'Sullivan</u> , EU Ambassador to the United States, and <u>Antoine Ripoll</u> , Director of the European Parliament Liaison Office to the US Congress (EPLO)	EU Delegation 2175 K Street NW Meeting room on 7th floor
18.40	Bus departure from EPLO to the Ambassador's residence	
P.M.	Reception hosted by <u>Ambassador David O'Sullivan</u> , EU Ambassador to the United States	Ambassador's residence:

	2534 Belmont Road, NW, Washington, DC 20008
	Transfer from the Ambassador's residence to the Capital Hilton Hotel

Wednesday 4 November		
8.15	Bus departure from the Capitol Hilton Hotel to the Supreme Court	
9.00-11.00	Meeting with Justice Breyer and attendance at a Supreme Court hearing (Only Members and RB) <u>POC: Toni Daluge</u>	US Supreme Court First Street NE between East Capitol Street and Maryland Avenue
11.15	Bus departure from the Supreme Court to CDT	
12.00-12.50	Lunch roundtable with civil society hosted by the Center for Democracy and Technology (CDT) on Intellectual Property Rights and Digital Single Market In parallel: Ms Reda and Ms Stihler speaking at Atlantic Council event from 1 to 2 p.m. (they need to leave at 12.45) at 1030 15th Street, NW, 12th Floor, Washington DC 20005.	CDT 1634 Eye Street NW Suite 1100
12.50	<u>Walk</u> from CDT to EEOB and security procedure	
13.15-14.15	Meeting with the Office of the U.S. Intellectual Property Enforcement Coordinator (IPEC) and enforcement agency representatives, including the Department of Justice/Computer Crime & IP Section, Department of Homeland Security Immigration and Customs Enforcement and Customs and Border Protection, the National IPR Coordination Center,	White House Complex /Eisenhower Executive Office Building (EEOB) Room 208

	<p>USPTO/Enforcement Group, and other interested agencies</p> <p>Participants from the US side:</p> <p>Danny Marti Intellectual Property Enforcement Coordinator</p> <p>Pippa Scarlett (supporting staff) Todd Reves (supporting staff) Steve Aitken (supporting staff) JoEllen Urban (supporting staff) Anjam Aziz (supporting staff) Taylor Barnard (supporting staff)</p> <p><u>POC: Taylor Barnard-Hawkins</u></p>	
14.15	<u>Walk</u> from EEOB to USTR	
14.30-15.30	<p>Roundtable discussion on IPR at the <u>United States Trade Representative</u> (USTR) and representatives of other U.S. agencies and departments involved in negotiating the TTIP chapter on IPR</p> <p>Participants from US side:</p> <p>George York - Deputy Assistant US Trade Representative for Intellectual Property and Innovation and lead IPR negotiator for TTIP</p> <p><u>POC: Anita Kyler</u></p>	<p>This meeting will be held in the Annex which is across the street from the main building at <u>1724 F. St.</u></p>
15.30-16.15	<p>Meeting with the <u>U.S. Patent and Trademark Office</u> (Department of Commerce), and other interested agencies as well as representatives of the U.S. Copyright Office</p> <p>Participants from US side:</p> <p>Michael Shapiro - Senior Legal Advisor for Copyright</p>	<p>Remain at USTR, USPTO to chair this session</p>
16.20	Bus departure from USTR to the State Department	

16.45-17.30	Meeting at the <u>State Department</u> with representatives of the Office of the Assistant Legal Advisor for Private International Law (L/PIL), and other interested sections <u>POC: Michael Coffee</u>	State Department 22nd Street and C Street NW
17.40	Bus departure from the State Department to the Hotel	
P.M.	Free evening	

Thursday 5 November		
8.15	Bus departure from the Capital Hilton Hotel to Capitol Hill	
9.00-10.00	<p>Meeting with <u>Chairman Bob Goodlatte</u> and other members of the <u>House Judiciary Committee:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Jerrold Nadler, Ranking Member of the Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet (contact: Jason Everett) - Ted Deutch (contact Alex Rocha) - Zoe Lofgren (contact Lauren Mylott) - Tom Marino (contact Sara Rogers) - Suzan DelBene (tbc) (contact Melissa Plumer) - Congresswoman Judy Chu (tbc) (contact Mina Kato) <p><u>POC: Mary Pritschau</u></p>	Capitol Hill 2237 Rayburn

10.15	Bus departure from Capitol Hill to Google	
10.30-11.20	Roundtable with tech companies organised by the <u>Computer & Communications Industry Association</u> (CCIA) on IPR and Digital Single Market (Google, Facebook and Amazon, among others, invited)	Google 25 Massachusetts Avenue NW
11.20	Bus departure from Google to Capitol Hill	
12.00-12.30	Meeting with <u>Senator Orrin Hatch</u> <u>POC: Ruth Montoya and Celeste Gold</u>	President Pro Tempore office in the Capitol, room S-125
12.45	Bus departure from Capitol Hill to TABC	
13.15-14.30	Lunch hosted by the <u>Trans-Atlantic Business Council</u> (TABC)	Intel Corporation 1155 F St NW Suite 1025 Washington, DC 20004
14.45	Bus departure from the TABC to Capitol Hill	
15.30-16.45	Roundtable discussion with think tanks and Hill staff organised in cooperation with Digital Liberty and Property Rights Alliance <u>POC: Katie McAuliffe</u>	Capitol Hill Visitors Center SVC 215
16.45-18.00	Free time	
18.00-20.00	Reception for the launch of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce's 3 rd Annual Global Intellectual Property Summit	Cannon Caucus Room

20.00	Bus departure from Capitol Hill to Capital Hilton Hotel
-------	---------------------------------------------------------

Friday 6 November		
8.10	Bus departure from the Capital Hilton Hotel to EPLO	
8.30-9.30	Meeting with <u>Shira Perlmutter</u> , Chief Policy Officer and Director for International Affairs at the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)	EU Delegation 2175 K Street NW
9.30	Bus departure from EPLO to the Smithsonian Institution	
10.00-11.00	<p>Meeting with the <u>Smithsonian Institution</u>, - Protecting tangible heritage and preventing trafficking in plundered heritage</p> <p>Participants from the US side: Aviva Rosenthal, David Mees, Liz Tunick Cedar, Rebecca Wall, and Paula DePriest and David Feinman from the Conference on Jewish Material Claims Against Germany, Inc. and World Jewish Restitution Organization</p> <p>In parallel: Mr Svoboda speaking at the panel at the IP Summit</p> <p>10:30am-11:20am (please meet in Lee Anderson Room at 10:20am) U.S. Chamber of Commerce 1615 H St NW, Washington D.C. 20062</p> <p><u>POC: Ashley Mergen</u></p>	Smithsonian Castle Library 1000 Jefferson Drive SW
11.10	Bus departure from the Smithsonian Institution to the World Bank	
	<p>Meetings at the <u>World Bank</u>:</p> <p>- Civil justice and EU neighbouring countries,</p>	World Bank 700 18th Street, N.W. Washington DC (at

11.30-13.30	<p>Alberto Ninio, Deputy General Counsel of the Legal Department</p> <p><u>POC: Lauren Cato</u></p> <p>- International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID), Meg Kinnear, Secretary General</p> <p><u>POC: Candice Ayento</u></p>	<p>the corner of 18th and H)</p> <p>ICSID Secretariat 701 18th St, 2nd Floor, Room J 2-110 (right across the WB Main Complex).</p>
13.40	Bus departure from the World Bank to the Capital Hilton Hotel	
14.00	End of delegation, individual departures from Washington	